

Credit Risk as an Intervening Variable in the Effect of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) Implementation on Banking Companies Performance (Study on Banking Companies Listing on BEI 2012-2016 Period)

by I Nyoman Nugraha Ardana Putra

Submission date: 09-Jul-2020 06:05PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1355357210

File name: B-32.pdf (810.88K)

Word count: 5971

Character count: 31931

Credit Risk as an Intervening Variable in the Effect of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) Implementation on Banking Companies Performance (Study on Banking Companies Listing on BEI 2012-2016 Period)

I Made Gilang Candra Pradipta Rata¹, Ni Ketut Surasni², I Nyoman Nugraha AP³

¹ Master of Management Program, Mataram University, Majapahit Street No.62, Gomong, Selaparang, Mataram City, Nusa Tenggara Barat, 83115, Indonesia

^{2,3} Faculty of Economics and Business, Mataram University, Majapahit Street No.62, Gomong, Selaparang, Mataram City, Nusa Tenggara Barat, 83115, Indonesia

Abstract: *The phenomenon of economic crisis that occurred in 1997-1998 has led Indonesia to the worst financial crisis and banking performance. Several factors contributed to the Indonesia economic collapse such as extremely poor financial regulation, irregular banking practices were pervasive and high default loans ratio of the state banks. Inadequate enforcement of central bank regulations meant that rules were routinely violated with impunity. Some efforts have been made to improve banking sector performance and regain public trust, the implementation of corporate governance practices in banking industry being the main concern of central bank. As for the purpose of this study was to determine whether GCG had an effect on banking performance through credit risk. The object was several banks which listed on IDX and participated in HCG survey during 2012-2016. Using purposive sampling, 7 bank samples were obtained and the statistic analysis was carried out using Path Analysis Method. The results of this study indicate: 1) GCG has negative effect on credit risk, 2) GCG has positive impact on company performance, 3) credit risk has negative impact on company performance and 4) credit risk was able being referred as an intervening variable in the effect of GCG on banking companies performance. The results of this study can be used as a tool for improving company management related to GCG implementation, credit risk and company performance.*

Keywords: bank, GCG, NPL, ROE.

1. Introduction

The bank is an institution that functions as a financial intermediary between parties who have excess funds and those who are underfunded. As a financial institution that plays an important role in supporting the economy in Indonesia, banks face increasingly complex risks and challenges both internal and external. The existence of these risks and challenges needs to be carried out assessments related to the soundness of commercial banks in Indonesia. Assessment of the soundness level of commercial banks is regulated in Bank Indonesia Regulation Number 13/1 / PBI / 2011 about Rating of Commercial Bank Soundness Levels. Assessment includes the integration of bank risk profiles, good corporate governance (GCG), profitability and bank capital.

The implementation of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) was a very important concern for the Indonesian banking industry after the economic crisis of 1997-1998 which caused a decline in banking performance. The crisis phenomenon is nothing else due to bad governance, which leads a country to a financial crisis (Setiawaty, 2016). Bank Indonesia (BI) as the central bank has given special attention to the implementation of GCG in the banking industry in Indonesia. This can be seen in the enactment of Bank Indonesia

Regulation (PBI) Number 8/4 / PBI / 2006 which regulates the implementation of GCG standards for commercial banks in Indonesia. A survey conducted by the Asian Corporate Governance Association (ACGA) shows that Indonesia still occupies the last place in terms of GCG implementation in 2016.

There are several factors that influence the bank's financial performance, one of the high risk of bad credit. Credit activities are very important for the banking sector because they have unwittingly become the backbone of key banking operations. Credit risk is a risk that arises as a result of a customer's failure to fulfill his obligations. NPL (net performing loan) is one indicator of measurement for credit risk. The higher this ratio, the worse is the quality of bank credit which causes the number of problem loans to increase and cause losses, whereas if the NPL is lower, the profit or profitability of the bank will increase (Puspitasari, 2009). Good GCG implementation can improve the risk management faced by the banking industry to be more effective and will have an impact on improving the company's performance in the form of profits to shareholders. Profitability is an important indicator for investors in assessing the performance of a company because it shows the company's ability to obtain profits and the rate of return that will be received by investors so that it can describe whether a

Volume 8 Issue 1, January 2019

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

company has good opportunities or prospects in the future. The higher the profitability of a business company, the more the company's ability to maintain its survival will be guaranteed (Hermuningsih, 2013). One of the profitability ratios that are often used to measure company performance is the ROE (Return on Equity) ratio. ROE is the calculation of post-tax profit divided by core capital. In banking, the greater the ROE, the greater the level of profit achieved by the bank so that the existence of sufficient capital is considered to be able to cover all risks that may be faced by the bank. Performance for banks is also important in terms of being a publicly traded company whose shares can be freely owned through the stock exchange, which is one way that can be achieved through good corporate governance. According to (Daniri & Achmad, 2005) management of the company in an effort to balancing between profit and continuity can be achieved through the implementation of corporate governance.

The results of previous studies still show mixed results related to the effect of GCG and credit risk on company performance. Research by (Iannotta, Giuliano, Noera, & Sironi, 2007) states that GCG has a positive effect on risk. This is supported by research (Permatasari & Novitasary, 2014) which states that GCG composite values have a positive effect on NPL while research by (Ariestya & Sidiqiana, 2016) states that GCG implementation has a significant negative effect on credit risk of financial sector companies listed on the IDX. Research conducted by (Indiael Kaaya & Dickson Pastory, 2013) that there is a positive and significant relationship between credit risk and performance. Research by (WPPW & Priyadi, 2013) states that the application of good corporate governance has proven to have a significant effect on the company's financial performance. Based on the explanation of the importance of implementation of GCG and credit risk management that affect the performance of companies, especially banks, which are the driving forces of the country's economic growth, there are still research gaps from the results of earlier studies, so this research is still necessary. This study aims to check the effect of GCG on banking performance with credit risk as an intervening variable. The motivation of this research is the results of research on the relationship between GCG and inconsistent company performance, so that credit risk factors are thought to indirectly give a relationship between GCG and company performance

37

2. Literature Review

2.1 Agency Theory

Agency theory suggests the relationship between the principal (owner) and agent (manager) in terms of management of the company, where the principal is the party who will delegate authority to the agent to manage the company. According to Jensen and Meckling (in Kawatu, 2009: 407), agency theory explains about contractual relations between parties who delegate certain decisions (principal / owner / shareholders) with those who receive the delegation (agent / management). The occurrence of a conflict may be caused by the agent acting in accordance

with the interests of the principal, so that it will trigger agency costs.

2.2 Signaling Theory

According to Arifin (2005: 11) signal theory is a theory developed to find out the possibility that information related to the condition and prospects of the company in the future is more known by insiders than companies who are outsiders. Signal theory is an act of the company in providing signals to users of financial statements and to give instructions and information about management's perspective on the company's prospects. Signals can be classified into two, namely signals in the form of good news such as the performance of banking companies that continue to increase every year and bad news such as the performance of banking companies that continue to decline every year.

2.3 Asymmetry Information Theory

Asymmetry theory says the sides that related to the company do not have the same information about the prospects and risks of the company. Certain sides will have better information than others. Managers usually have better information than outsiders (investors) because it can be said that information asymmetry has occurred between the two parties. More information that is owned by the manager can trigger it to take actions that are in accordance with the wishes and interest maximizing the new utility itself. While for investors it will be difficult to effectively control the actions taken by management due to limited information. Therefore, the manager is obliged to give a signal about company information to the owner. The signal provided can be done through the disclosure of accounting information such as financial statements (Hanafi, 2004).

2.4 Bank Definition

Law Number 10 of 1998 concerning changes to Law Number 7 of 1992 concerning banking, explained that banks are Business Entities that collect funds from the public in the form of deposits and distribute them to the public in the form of loans or other forms in order to improve the lives of many people. Banks must also continue to maintain their performance and maintain public trust given their duty that banks work with public funds deposited with banks on the basis of trust. From this understanding it can be concluded that the bank's business is always related to financial problems, namely raising funds, channeling funds, and providing other bank services.

22

2.5 Good Corporate Governance (GCG) Definition

Generally, good corporate governance (GCG) is a system and structure that has a better way in managing a company by increasing shareholder value to accommodate various stakeholders in the company (stakeholders), such as: creditors, suppliers, business associations, consumers, workers, the government, and wider society (Syakhroza, 2000) in (Indrayani, May, & Nurkholis, 2001). GCG which contains four important elements, namely justice,

transparency, accountability, and accountability, is expected to become a way to improve company performance.

2.6 Good Corporate Governance (GCG) Principle

(Effendi & Achmand, 2009) to realize the principles of GCG in a public company, then the principle of independence (independency), transparency and disclosure (transparency and disclosure), accountability (accountability) and responsibility (fairness) must be the main foundation for audit committee activities.

2.7 Corporate Governance Perception Index (CGPI) Definition

CGPI values can be calculated by summing the last values of the steps above. The results of the research program and ranking of the application of GCG to company participants by giving a score in accordance with the guidelines that have been made. CGPI ranking is divided into three categories based on reliable levels which can be explained according to the GCG implementation score presented in the following table:

Table 1: CGPI Rating Category

No	Stages	Value
1	≥ 85 - 100	Very Reliable
2	≥ 70 - < 85	Trusted
3	≥ 55 - < 70	Less Reliable

Source: CGPI report, 2016

2.8 Credit Risk Definition

³¹ this study, the ratio of Non Performing Loans (NPL) was used to show the ability of bank management in managing non-performing loans channeled by the bank. According to Kasmir (2009: 228) Credit Risk Ratio is a ratio used to measure the risk of loans channeled by comparing the value of bad credit with the total amount of credit that has been channeled. The higher the NPL ratio, the greater the operational costs that have the potential to cause losses because it erodes the level of profit / profitability and affects the soundness of the bank. The formula for calculating the NPL ratio are:

$$NPL = (\text{Non-Performing Loans}) / (\text{Total Credit}) \times 100\%$$

2.9 Company Performance Definition

Performance is the work achievement that has been achieved by the company in a certain period. Robertson (2002) in (Mahmudi, 2007) explains that performance measurement is a process of evaluating the progress of work towards achieving predetermined goals. The higher the company's performance, the higher the level of achievement of the company's goals. (Bastian, Indra, & Suhardjono, 2006) suggest that a company's financial performance can be measured using ⁷ profitability ratios consisting of: ROA and ROE. ROA is a ratio used to measure the ability of bank management to obtain ⁷ profits by utilizing the total assets owned and ROE is used to measure the ability of banks to obtain net profits using their own capital. Here's the formula for calculating the ROE ratio:

$$ROE = (\text{Earning after tax}) / (\text{Total Equity}) \times 100\%$$

2.10 Previous Research

Research ²⁹ Permatasari and Novitasary (2014) on "The Effect of Implementation of Good Corporate Governance on Capital and Banking Performance in Indonesia: Risk Management as an Intervening Variable". The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of GCG implementation on credit risk, bank capital, and banking performance in Indonesia. GCG implementation is measured by the composite value of GCG which is the result of the bank's self-assessment. Credit risk is measured by Non Performing Loans (NPL). Bank capital is measured by the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) and bank performance is measured by Return on Equity (ROE). The sample used in the study was in the form of ⁵¹ balanced panel data, from 119 banks during 2006-2012. The analysis technique used in this study is path analysis. The results showed that GCG had an effect on credit risk, GCG and credit risk had no effect on bank capital, GCG had ² effect on performance, but credit risk had an effect on performance. Thus credit risk can be an intervening variable between GCG and bank performance.

3. Research Methodology

The type of research used in this study uses an associative quantitative approach. According to Sugiyono (2013: 37), associative research is research that the purpose to determine ³⁴ the relationship between two or more variables. The population in this study were all financial sector companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange and included in survey participants by the Indonesian Institute for Corporate Governance (IICG) in 2012-2016. This research uses a population of banking companies because banks have different characteristics than most other companies. The sample ³ taken using a purposive sampling technique, from 41 total commercial banks that went public on the Indonesia Stock Exchange since 2012, then obtained a sample of 7 commercial banks with the criteria of banks operating from 2012-2016, IICG 2012-2016 survey participants and reporting annual report for the 2012-2016 period. The variables that will be examined in this study are Good Corporate Governance (X1) as an independent variable, credit risk (X2) as a variable intervening and company performance (Y1) as the dependent variable.

3.1 Variable Operational Description

1) Good Corporate Governance (GCG)

⁵ The variable used to measure GCG is the Corporate Governance Perception Index (CGPI). CGPI is the result of ranking the implementation ⁵⁸ of good corporate governance in public companies and ⁵ state-owned enterprises in Indonesia conducted by the Indonesian Institute for Corporate Governance (IICG). The scale used is scoring, the higher the scoring given the better and vice versa.

2) Credit Risk

³⁵ This variable is represented by the ⁴⁶ Non-Performing Loan (NPL), which is the ratio between the total non-performing

loans and the total loans provided by the bank to the debtor. Referring to SEBI Number 5/21/2003 the parameters that used in measuring the application of credit risk management are NPL.

3) Company Performance

This variable is measured by using Return on Equity (ROE) which is the ability of companies to use their capital to make a profit. ROE shows the rate of return given by the bank to shareholders. The higher the ROE, the better the bank's condition. However, the lower the ROE, the worse the condition of the bank concerned.

Testing the hypothesis in this study uses the path analysis model with the SPSS 23 program for windows to process the data. Path Analysis is used to analyze the pattern of relationships between variables to find out the direct or indirect effects of a set of independent variables (exogenous) on the dependent variable (endogenous) (Riduwan and Kuncoro, 2010: 2). Path coefficient is an individual regression coefficient, standardized regression coefficient is regression coefficient calculated from a database that has been set in a standard number or Z-score (data set with an average value = 0 and standard deviation = 1). Standardize path coefficient is used to explain the effect instead of predicting the independent variable on the dependent variable. In the SPSS program in the regression analysis menu, path coefficient is indicated by the output coefficient or Beta value (Riduwan and Kuncoro, 2010: 116). If there is a simple flow diagram containing one element of the relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable, then the path coefficient will be the same as the simple correlation coefficient.

In this study there is an intervening variable, namely credit risk. Testing the mediation hypothesis can be done with the Sobel Test procedure. The sobel test is done by testing the strength of the indirect effect of the independent variable (X) on the dependent variable (Y) through the intervening variable (M). The indirect effect of X to Y through M is calculated by multiplying line X - M (a) with line M - Y (b) or ab. So the coefficient ab = (c-c') where c is the effect of X on Y without controlling M, while c' is the coefficient of influence of X on Y after controlling M. Standard error coefficients a and b are written with Sa and Sb, the amount of standard error of influence indirect (indirect effect) Sat is calculated by the formula below:

$$S_{ab} = \sqrt{(b^2) (S_a)^2 + a^2 (S_b)^2 + (S_a)^2 (S_b)^2}$$

Information:

a = Regression coefficient from independent variable (X) to mediator variable (M).

sa = standard error from a.

b = Regression coefficient from mediator variable (M) to dependent variable (Y)

sb = standard error from b

To test the significance of indirect effects, we need to calculate the value of t from the coefficient with the following formula:
t=ab/Sab

The t-count value is compared with the t-table value and if the t-count value is greater with a significance level of 0.05, it can be concluded that there is a mediating effect (Ghozali, 2016)

4. Result

4.1 Path Analysis

Path analysis is a development of correlation analysis built from path diagrams hypothesized to explain the mechanism of causal relationships between variables by describing the correlation coefficients into direct and indirect influences (Yamin and Kurniawan, 2011: 151). This analysis can also be said as a linear regression analysis with standardized variables. This path analysis model, Good Corporate Governance (X1) is used as an independent variable and credit risk (X2) is used as an intervening variable, while company performance (Y1) is used as the dependent variable. Table 3 and Table 4 present the results of path analysis of the study.

Based on the results of stage 1 regression testing, the SPSS output results in the standardized beta value of GCG in equation (1) - 0.579 and significant at 0.000 (<0.05), which means that GCG has a negative effect and is significant to Credit Risk (NPL). Standardized coefficient value beta - 0.579 is the value of path or path P1. So, the function of the analysis equation for the path as follows: Y1 = - 0.579X1 + e

Based on the results of stage 2 regression testing, the results of the SPSS regression equation (2) the standardized beta value for GCG is 0.262 and significant at 0.026 (<0.05), which means that GCG has a positive and significant effect on company performance (ROE). The standardized beta coefficient value for GCG of 0.262 is the value of path or path P2. While the standardized beta value for credit Risk (NPL) is - 0.677 and significant at 0.000 (<0.05), which means that NPL has a negative and significant effect on ROE. The standardized beta coefficient for NPL of - 0.677 is the value of path or path P3. So, the function of the analysis equation for the path formed is as follows: Y2 = 0.262X1 + - 0.677X2

The standard error values are as follows.

$$Pe1 = \sqrt{(1-R^2)}$$

$$Pe1 = \sqrt{(1-0,336)} = 0,814$$

$$Pe2 = \sqrt{(1-R^2)}$$

$$Pe2 = \sqrt{(1-0,732)} = 0,517$$

Based on the calculation results, the value of e1 (Pe1) is 0.814 (81,4 persen) which means that 81.4 percent of variation in credit risk variables cannot be explained by the Good Corporate Governance variable, while the value of e2 (Pe2) is 0.517 (51,7 persen) which means that 51.7 percent of the variation in performance variables cannot be explained by the variables of Good Corporate Governance and credit risk.

4.2 Direct and Indirect Effect

The amount of direct effect and indirect effect and the total effect between variables based on the path analysis model

47 can be seen in the following table. The results of these calculations show that the indirect effect obtained a number of results of 0.392. This number means the effect of GCG on company performance (ROE) through credit risk (NPL) of 0.392. This shows that the credit risk variable acts as an intermediary in the relationship between GCG and company performance. So it can be concluded that the credit risk variable is needed to mediate the presence of GCG variables and company performance. The summary table of calculation of effect is as follows:

The feasibility test model (model fit) is carried out before testing the hypothesis. If the results of the F test are significant, it means that the two independent variables simultaneously affect the dependent variable and the model used is considered feasible. The F-count value of 16.675 and 43.761, while the significant value of the F test for the two equations above equal to 0,000 which is smaller than 0.05. This indicates that the independent variables have an effect simultaneously on the dependent variable at the 5 percent significance level, so that this model is considered feasible to test and prove the hypothesis can be done.

4.3 Hypothesis test results (t test)

The results of the t statistical test (hypothesis test) show the influence of each variable independently in explaining the variation of the dependent variable. Partial testing results of each independent variable on the dependent variable can be shown as follows:

Good Corporate Governance (GCG) effect to Credit Risk

GCG has $t_{count} = -4.083$ where $t_{count} < t_{table}$ is $t_{count} = -4.083 < t_{table} = 2.035$, while P1 for the Good Corporate Governance variable is -0.579 with a significance level of 0,000 less than 0.05. This shows that H3 is accepted, which means that Good Corporate Governance has a negative effect on credit risk.

Good Corporate Governance (GCG) effect to company performance

GCG on company performance has $t_{count} = 2.333$ where $t_{count} > t_{table}$ is $t_{count} = 2.333 > t_{table} = 2.037$, while P2 value for Good Corporate Governance variable is 0.262 with significance level 0.026 smaller than 0.05. This shows that H2 is accepted which means that Good Corporate Governance has a positive effect on performance.

Credit Risk effect to Company Performance

Credit risk has $t_{count} = -6.029$ where $t_{count} < t_{table}$ is $t_{count} = -6.029 < t_{table} = 2.037$, while the P3 value for the credit risk variable is -0.667 with a significance level of 0,000 less than 0,05. This shows that H3 is accepted, which means that credit risk has a negative effect on performance.

4.4 Sobel Test Result

To test the significance of credit risk as a mediator variable in the relationship between variables good corporate governance and company performance, the Sobel Test is used (Ghozali, 2016). The Sobel Test is formulated as follows

$$Z = \frac{(-0,384 \times -3,164) / \sqrt{[((-3,164)]^2 \cdot [(0,094)]^2 + [(-0,384)]^2 \cdot [(0,525)]^2 + [(0,094)]^2 \cdot [(0,525)]^2}}{1,2150 / \sqrt{[(0,0885)]^2 + [(0,0406)]^2 + [(0,0024)]^2}}$$

$$Z = 1,2150 / 0,03430,3627 = 3,350$$

Based on the calculation above, the Z value is 3,350. After obtaining the value of Z, the next calculation is assisted by using Microsoft Excel software. Then the results are as follows:

Table 2: Sobel Test Result

Sobel	Value
Z	3.350026612
Normdist Z	0.999595981
Sig.	0.000404019

From the table it can be seen that the Z value is 3.350 where this value is greater than the specified value 1.96 (absolute Z value) with a significance level of 0.000404019. This shows that the credit risk variable is able to mediate the relationship between GCG and company performance.

5. Discussion

5.1 Good Corporate Governance (GCG) Effect to Credit Risk

The value of P1 the Good Corporate Governance variable is -0.579 with a significance level of 0.000 smaller than 0.05. This shows that H1 is accepted, which means that Good Corporate Governance has a negative effect on credit risk. This condition illustrates that the higher the Good Corporate Governance of a company, the lower the credit risk that is owned.

Some studies have found that GCG has an effect on the implementation of a bank's credit risk as research conducted by Setiawaty (2016) shows that a significance level of 0.006 is smaller than 0.05. This shows that H0 is rejected and H1 is accepted, which means that the implementation of GCG affects the NPL of financial sector companies listed on the IDX, with β of -0.359. This indicates that the implementation of GCG has a significant negative effect on the credit risk of financial sector companies listed on the IDX. The same is the case with the results of Permatasari and Novitasary (2014), Iannotta, et al (2007), Laeven and Levine (2009). The study concluded that the GCG mechanism was able to play a role in increasing risk taking so that bank credit risk would also improve.

5.2 Credit Risk Effect to Company Performance

The P3 value for the credit risk variable is -0.677 with a significance level of 0,000 less than 0,05. This shows that H3 is accepted, which means that credit risk has a negative effect on performance. This condition illustrates that the higher the credit risk possessed, the lower the company's performance. Signal theory explains the importance of credit risk in influencing company value as a result of the performance of

banking companies related to the quality of loans that have been channeled. The greater the credit value channeled, the greater the potential interest income that will be obtained so that the banking performance will be good in the eyes of investors.

The research conducted by Setiawaty (2016) found that credit risk negatively affected banking performance. This result concludes that credit risk proxied by a low composite NPL value indicates that banks have carried out good risk management so as to improve banking performance. This result is in line with the results of the research of Permatasari and Novitasary (2014), Lestari (2013), Nusantara (2009), Andersen (2008), Collier (2006) which shows that risk management especially credit risk can improve company performance.

5.3 Credit Risk Effect to Company Performance

The P3 value for the credit risk variable is -0,677 with a significance level of 0,000 less than 0,05. This shows that H3 is accepted, which means that credit risk has a negative effect on performance. This condition illustrates that the higher the credit risk possessed, the lower the company's performance. Signal theory explains the importance of credit risk in influencing company value as a result of the performance of banking companies related to the quality of loans that have been channeled. The greater the credit value channeled, the greater the potential interest income that will be obtained so that the banking performance will be good in the eyes of investors.

The research conducted by Setiawaty (2016) found that credit risk negatively affected banking performance. This result concludes that credit risk proxied by a low composite NPL value indicates that banks have carried out good risk management so as to improve banking performance. This result is in line with the results of the research of Permatasari and Novitasary (2014), Lestari (2013), Nusantara (2009), Andersen (2008), Collier (2006) which shows that risk management especially credit risk can improve company performance.

5.4 Good Corporate Governance (GCG) effect to Company Performance through Credit Risk

Based on the results shown in this study, the indirect effect of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) on Company Performance through Credit Risk is 0,392, where the direct effect is 0,262. Then from that the comparison of indirect effects and direct effects is $0,392 > 0,262$, so it can be stated that credit risk can function as a partial intervening variable in the effect of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) on Performance in banking companies.

The results of this study are in line with the research of Setiawaty (2016) wherein the results of his research indicate an indirect effect of GCG on performance. According to research conducted by Ratna (2017), it was shown that GCG had an indirectly significant effect on ROE through NPL with a significance value of $0,026 > 0,05$ with the sobel test.

With good corporate governance, banks can reduce the credit risk ratio so that bank performance can increase. According to Greuning (2011), good corporate governance has been proven to improve operational performance and reduce the risk of financial difficulties and can also positively affect investors' perceptions to channel their funds.

6. Conclusion

Based on the results of research on 7 banking companies described in the previous chapter, regarding the influence of the variables of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) on Banking Performance through Credit Risk, it can be concluded as follows:

6.1 Good Corporate Governance (GCG) has a negative effect on credit risk.

This condition illustrates that the higher the Good Corporate Governance (GCG) of a company, the lower the credit risk that is owned.

6.2 Good Corporate Governance (GCG) has a positive effect on company performance.

This can be interpreted that the better GCG performance, the level of trust from customers and investors shows a positive response. With good corporate governance, companies can work more effectively and prevent significant errors in company strategies to achieve company goals.

6.3 Credit risk has a negative effect on company performance.

It can be interpreted that the smaller the credit risk ratio, the better the performance of the bank. In other words, the bank has demonstrated management's ability to manage problem loans, so that it does not erode profits from the bank itself.

6.4 Credit risk is able to mediate the influence of good corporate governance (GCG) on company performance

It can be interpreted that good corporate governance can enable banks to reduce credit risk ratios so that bank performance can increase.

References

- [1] AACG (2017) Market Category Score Corporate Governance In Asia diakses tanggal 30 Juli 2018 dari <https://www.aacg-asia.org/>
- [2] Ali, H. Masyhud (2006), Manajemen Risiko : Strategi Perbankan dan Dunia Usaha Menghadapi Tantangan Globalisasi Bisnis, Jakarta: PT. Rajagrafindo Persada.
- [3] Arifin, Z. (2005), Teori Keuangan dan Pasar Modal, Jogjakarta: Ekonisia.
- [4] Ariestya, P., & Ardiana, P. (2016), "Implementasi Good Corporate Governance pada Kinerja Perusahaan Sektor Keuangan dengan Manajemen Risiko sebagai Variabel Intervening", Akuntansi, 16(2).
- [5] Daniri, & Achmad, M. (2005), Good Corporate Governance: Konsep dan Penerapannya di Indonesia (Vol. 1), Jakarta: PT Ray Indonesia.
- [6] Effendi, & Achmand, M. (2009), The Power of Good Corporate Governance Teori dan Implementasi, Jakarta: PT Salemba Empat.

- [7] Ghozali, I. (2016), Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program IBM SPSS 23. (Vol. Edisi 8), Semarang : Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro
- [8] Hanafi (2004), Manajemen Keuangan, Yogyakarta: FE UGM.
- [9] Hasibuan, Malayu S.P. (2006), Manajemen Dasar, Pengertian dan Masalah, Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- [10] Hermuningsih, S. (2013), "Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Growth Opportunity, Struktur Modal Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Pada Perusahaan Publik di Indonesia", Buletin Ekonomi Perbankan dan Moneter, 16(2).
- [11] IICG (2017), Update: Indonesian Good Corporate Governance, The Indonesian Institute For Corporate Governance.
- [12] Indrayani, Mei, & Nurkholis (2001), "Persepsi Manajemen Perusahaan Terhadap Prinsip-Prinsip Good Corporate Governance", Ekonomi, Manajemen dan Akuntansi, II, 136-156.
- [13] Jensen, Michael C dan William H. Meckling. (1976), "Theory of the Firm : Managerial Behavior, Agency Cost, and Ownership Structure", Journal of Financial Economics, pp.305-360.
- [14] Kasmir (2009), Analisis Laporan Keuangan, Jakarta : Raja Grafindo Persada.
- [15] Kawatu, F. S. (2009), "Mekanisme Corporate Governanceterhadap Nilai Perusahaan dengan Kualitas Laba sebagai Variabel Intervening", Jurnal Keuangan dan Perbankan, Vol. 13, No. 3 : 405-417.
- [16] Mahmudi (2007), Manajemen Sektor Publik (Vol. Edisi Revisi), Yogyakarta: UPP IM YKPN.
- [17] Maria, R. & Maswar, P. (2013), "Pengaruh Penerapan Good Corporate Governance terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan di BEI", Jurnal Ilmu & Riset Akuntansi, 2(1).
- [18] Muharam, H. (2012), "Model Risiko Kredit: Pendekatan dan Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhinya", Jurnal Manajemen Universitas Diponegoro, 3 (7).
- [19] Peraturan Bank Indonesia No. 8/14/PBI/2006 tentang Perubahan atas Peraturan Bank Indonesia No. 8/4/PBI/2006 tentang Pelaksanaan Good CorporateGovernance bagi Bank Umum.
- [20] Permatasari, I., & Novitasary, R. (2014), "Pengaruh Implementasi Good Corporate Governance terhadap Permodalan dan Kinerja Perbankan di Indonesia: Manajemen Risiko Sebagai Variabel Intervening", Ekonomi dan Manajemen, 7(1), 52-59.
- [21] Purnamasari, I., & Toto Sugiharto S. (2012), "Pengaruh Good Corporate Governanece berdasarkan Corporate Governance Perception Index (CGPI) terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Perbankan di Bursa Efek Indonesia", Akuntansi.
- [22] Puspitasari, D. (2009), "Analisis Pengaruh CAR, NPL, PDN, NIM, BOPO, LDR dan Suku Bunga SBI terhadap ROA", Tesis, Magister Manajemen Universitas Diponegoro Semarang.
- [23] Setiawaty, A. (2016), "Pengaruh Mekanisme Good Corporate Governance Terhadap Kinerja Perbankan Dengan Manajemen Risiko Sebagai Variabel Intervening", Ekonomi dan Manajemen, 13(1).
- [24] Sugiyono (2013), Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D, Bandung: Alfabeta.
- [25] Tumewu, R. C., & Alexander, S. W. (2014), "Pengaruh Penerapan Good Corporate Governance Terhadap Profitabilitas Pada Perusahaan Perbankan yang terdaftar di BEI Periode 2009-2013", Manajen dan Akuntansi.
- [26] WPPW, M. R., & Priyadi, M. P. (2013), "Pengaruh Penerapan Good Corporate Governance Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan di BEI", Ilmu dan Riset Akuntansi, 2(1).
- [27] www.idx.co.id: mengambil sampel perusahaan perbankan dan melihat annual report periode 2012-2016.
- [28] www.mitrariset.com: menyesuaikan sampel yang telah diambil dengan data perusahaan perbankan yang masuk dalam kategori CGPI periode 2012-2016.

Author Profile



I Made Gilang Candra Pradipta received the B.S. degrees in Architecture Engineering from Brawijaya University in 2010. He stayed in Mataram-Indonesia, for work in one of the largest bank in Indonesia dan he continued his master's degree in management at Mataram University, Indonesia.

Credit Risk as an Intervening Variable in the Effect of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) Implementation on Banking Companies Performance (Study on Banking Companies Listing on BEI 2012-2016 Period)

ORIGINALITY REPORT

23%

SIMILARITY INDEX

13%

INTERNET SOURCES

13%

PUBLICATIONS

14%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

Submitted to IAIN Surakarta

Student Paper

2%

2

garuda.ristekdikti.go.id

Internet Source

2%

3

Mohamad Adam, Riska Safitri, Tertiaro Wahyudi. "Effect of company size, liquidity and operational efficiency on bank profitability with problem credit risk as a moderating variable at commercial banks that are listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange", Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah, 2018

Publication

1%

4

Submitted to University of Nicosia

Student Paper

1%

5

Lindrianasari, Sondang Berliana Gultom, Liza Alvia. "Management discussion and analysis, corporate governance perception index and

1%

market reaction", Corporate Ownership and Control, 2017

Publication

6	www.bankjatim.co.id Internet Source	1%
7	Submitted to IAIN Bukit Tinggi Student Paper	1%
8	jurnal.unimed.ac.id Internet Source	1%
9	Submitted to University of York Student Paper	1%
10	Submitted to Lebanese International University Student Paper	1%
11	Lenny Mardiani, Wiwin Yadiati, Eddy Jaenudin. "Islamic Corporate Governance Dan Kinerja Keuangan Unit Usaha Syariah (UUS) Periode 2013-2017", Jurnal Akuntansi : Kajian Ilmiah Akuntansi (JAK), 2019 Publication	1%
12	studentjournal.petra.ac.id Internet Source	1%
13	Submitted to International University Schloss Reichardshausen Student Paper	<1%
14	Submitted to Universiti Putra Malaysia	

<1%

15

Ilham Hidayah Napitupulu. "Internal Control, Manager's Competency, Management Accounting Information Systems and Good Corporate Governance: Evidence from Rural Banks in Indonesia", Global Business Review, 2020

Publication

<1%

16

www.proz.com

Internet Source

<1%

17

ejournal.stiesia.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

18

Submitted to Queen Mary and Westfield College

Student Paper

<1%

19

journals.ums.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

20

Angelin Chandra, Arie Pratania Putri, Leoni Angela, Henny Puspita, Felicia Auryn, Faby Clariesta Jingga. "PENGARUH EARNING PER SHARE, KEBIJAKAN DIVIDEN, ARUS KAS, LEVERAGE DAN RETURN ON ASSETS TERHADAP NILAI PERUSAHAAN PADA PERUSAHAAN PROPERTY DAN REAL ESTATE YANG TERDAFTAR PADA BURSA EFEK INDONESIA", GOING CONCERN :

<1%

21 Submitted to Tarumanagara University <1 %
Student Paper

22 iiste.org <1 %
Internet Source

23 Alexandra Ryan Ahmad Dina, Ancella Anitawati Hermawan. "THE EFFECT OF BANK MONITORING AS AN ALTERNATIVE OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS ON THE BORROWERS' FIRM VALUE: EVIDENCE FROM INDONESIAN LISTED FIRMS", Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets & Institutions, 2012 <1 %
Publication

24 "THE EFFECT OF THE TYPE OF CONTROLLING SHAREHOLDERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ON REAL AND ACCRUALS EARNINGS MANAGEMENT", Corporate Ownership and Control, 2015 <1 %
Publication

25 eprints.hud.ac.uk <1 %
Internet Source

26 repository.unhas.ac.id <1 %
Internet Source

27 anggrainiwulandari91.blogspot.com

Internet Source

<1%

28

journal.undiknas.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

29

Suwandi Suwandi, Noer Azam Achsani, Dedi Budiman Hakim, Halim Alamsyah. "Bank Failure Prediction Model Based on Governance: A Case of Rural Banks in Indonesia", Asian Social Science, 2019

Publication

<1%

30

garuda.ristekbrin.go.id

Internet Source

<1%

31

Herman Karamoy, Joy Elly Tulung. "The impact of banking risk on regional development banks in Indonesia", Banks and Bank Systems, 2020

Publication

<1%

32

Submitted to Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala

Student Paper

<1%

33

hdl.handle.net

Internet Source

<1%

34

akademik.unsoed.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

35

Submitted to North West University

Student Paper

<1%

Submitted to UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung

36

Student Paper

<1%

37

www.ersj.eu

Internet Source

<1%

38

Henni Riyanti, Suciati, Puguh Karyanto. "Towards Standardization of Students' Teamwork Attitude in the 21 Century through the Application of Generative Model in Science Learning ", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019

Publication

<1%

39

eprints.ukmc.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

40

repositori.uin-alauddin.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

41

Submitted to University of Bedfordshire

Student Paper

<1%

42

www.victoriabank.co.id

Internet Source

<1%

43

journal.unj.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

44

repository.syekhnurjati.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

45

giapjournals.com

Internet Source

<1%

46

Submitted to Napier University

Student Paper

<1%

47

Aco Karumpa, Paturungi Parawangsa, Mansyur Mansyur, Muhammad Saleh. "The Development of Integrative Assessment Model for the Subject of Bahasa Indonesia in Senior High School Students", Journal of Language Teaching and Research, 2016

Publication

<1%

48

www.fool.com

Internet Source

<1%

49

Submitted to Institute of Graduate Studies, UiTM

Student Paper

<1%

50

Sarah Hain, Silvia Oddo-Sommerfeld, Franz Bahlmann, Frank Louwen, Karin Schermelleh-Engel. "Risk and protective factors for antepartum and postpartum depression: a prospective study", Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynecology, 2016

Publication

<1%

51

ejournal.upnvj.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

52

journal.sbm.itb.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

53

Submitted to 3241

<1%

54

journal.umpo.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

55

ejournal-s1.undip.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

56

Submitted to University of London External System

Student Paper

<1%

57

Submitted to University of Northumbria at Newcastle

Student Paper

<1%

58

Submitted to University of Melbourne

Student Paper

<1%

59

"Strategic Priorities in Competitive Environments", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020

Publication

<1%

60

Frederik G. Worang, David A. Holloway. "CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN INDONESIAN STATE-OWNED ENTERPRISES: FEEDING WITH WESTERN INGREDIENTS", Corporate Ownership and Control, 2007

Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off