

Judul Artikel : Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type -Based Barium Hexaferrite $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$

Penulis : Haetham G, Mohammed, Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody, Susilawati, Soheil Gohari, Aris Doyan, Saiful Prayogi, Muhammad Roil Bilad, Reza Alebrahim, dan Anwar Ameen Hezam Saeed

Nama Jurnal : Materials

Penyelenggara/Penerbit : Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI)

Halaman : 1-21

Volume : 14

Web Jurnal : <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/10/2650>

URL Dokumen : <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/10/2650/htm>

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14102650>

Tanggal/Waktu : Mei 2021

Satuan : 12 Issue/Tahun

Volume Kegiatan : 1

**IMPACT
FACTOR
3.057**



materials

an Open Access Journal by MDPI



Academic Open Access Publishing
since 1996

The Manufacturing Engineering Society (MES), Portuguese Materials Society (SPM) and Spanish Materials are affiliated with *Materials*



an Open Access Journal by MDPI

Editor-in-Chief

Prof. Dr. Maryam Tabrizian

Associate Editors-in-Chief

Dr. Christof Schneider








Prof. Dr. Filippo Berto

Prof. Dr. Guillermo Requena

Message from the Editor-in-Chief

Materials (ISSN 1996-1944) was launched in 2008. The journal covers twenty comprehensive topics: biomaterials, energy materials, advanced composites, structure analysis and characterization, porous materials, manufacturing processes and systems, advanced nanomaterials, smart materials, thin films and interfaces, catalytic materials and carbon materials, materials chemistry, materials physics, optics and photonics, corrosion and materials degradation, construction and building materials, materials simulation and design, electronic materials, advanced and functional ceramics, metals and alloys, general. The distinguished and dedicated editorial board and our strict peer-review process ensure the highest degree of scientific rigor and review of all published articles. *Materials* provides a unique opportunity to contribute high quality articles and to take advantage of its large readership.

Author Benefits

-  **Open Access** Unlimited and free access for readers
-  **No Copyright Constraints** Retain copyright of your work and free use of your article
-  **Impact Factor 3.057** (2019 Journal Citation Reports®); 5-Year Impact Factor: 3.424 (2019)
-  **Thorough Peer-Review**
-  **Coverage by Leading Indexing Services** SCIE-Science Citation Index Expanded (Clarivate Analytics), Compendex (EI), Pubmed (NLM), Scopus (2019 CiteScore: 3.5)
-  **No Space Constraints, No Extra Space or Color Charges** No restriction on the length of the papers, number of figures or colors
-  **Discounts on Article Processing Charges (APC)** If you belong to an institute that participates with the MDPI Institutional Open Access Program (IOAP)

Aims and Scope

Materials provides a forum for publishing papers that advance in-depth understandings of the relationships between the structures, properties, applications or functions of all classes of materials. We aim to encourage various scientific communities to publish their original experimental and theoretical research, as well as their reviews.

The scope of *Materials* includes:

All classes of materials, including ceramics, glasses, polymers (plastics), semiconductors, magnetic materials, medical implant materials and biological materials, silica and carbon materials, and metals and metallic alloys

Functional materials

Characterization techniques, such as electron microscopy, x-ray diffraction, among others

Fundamental research: condensed matter and materials physics and the mechanics of materials

Various topics related to materials science or materials engineering, nanomaterials, and nanotechnology

Section Editors-in-Chief

Dr. Fabrizio Roccaforte
Prof. Dr. Vlassios Likodimos
Prof. Dr. Valery V. Tuchin
Prof. Dr. Teofil Jesionowski
Prof. Dr. Stefano Bellucci
Prof. Dr. Steven L. Suib
Prof. Dr. Seung Hwan Ko
Prof. Dr. Sanjay Mathur
Prof. Dr. Raman Singh
Prof. Dr. Rafael Luque
Prof. Dr. Pascal Van Der Voort
Prof. Dr. Panagiotis G. Asteris
Prof. Dr. Eddie Koenders
Prof. Dr. Andrei V. Petukhov
Prof. Dr. Alessandro Pegoretti
Prof. Dr. Aldo R. Boccaccini

Editorial Office

Materials Editorial Office
materials@mdpi.com
MDPI, St. Alban-Anlage 66
4052 Basel, Switzerland
Tel: +41 61 683 77 34
Fax: +41 61 302 89 18
www.mdpi.com
mdpi.com/journal/materials


MDPI is a member of



Affiliated Societies




Follow Us

 facebook.com/MDPIOpenAccessPublishing

 twitter.com/MDPIOpenAccess

 linkedin.com/company/mdpi

 weibo.com/mdpicn

 Wechat: MDPI-China

 blog.mdpi.com



www.mdpi.com

mdpi.com/journal/materials

See www.mdpi.com for a full list of offices and contact information. MDPI is a company registered in Basel, Switzerland, No. CH-270.3.014.334-3, whose registered office is at St. Alban-Anlage 66, CH-4052 Basel, Switzerland.

Basel, March 2021

[Profile \(https://susy.mdpi.com/user/myprofile\)](https://susy.mdpi.com/user/myprofile)[Sign Out \(/user/logout\)](/user/logout)[Submit \(https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/upload\)](https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/upload)

Search for Articles:

Title / Keyword

Author / Affiliation

Journal

Article Type

Advanced Search



materials

[_\(/journal/materials\)](/journal/materials)

Saved Queries

We use cookies on our website to ensure you get the best experience.

Read more about our cookies [here \(/about/privacy\)](/about/privacy).

Years



Between: -



Article Types

Select Article Types

Countries / Regions

Select Countries / Regions

Update Search

Search Results (1)



Search Parameters:

Authors = susilawati

Journal = Materials

Order results

Publication Date

Result details

Normal

Results per page

50


Show export options


Open Access Article

[./1996-1944/14/10/2650/pdf](/1996-1944/14/10/2650/pdf)

**Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type-Based
Bismuth Hexaferrite Based on Site-Ordered Structure** [./1996-1944/14/10/2650](/1996-1944/14/10/2650) for the best experience.

by [Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1129966\)](#),
[Soheil Gohari \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/883782\)](#),
[Susilawati Susilawati \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1497315\)](#),
[Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1555550\)](#),
[Soheil Gohari \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/883782\)](#)

 [Aris Doyan \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1555433\)](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1555433),
[Sairul Prayogi \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1551883\)](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1551883),
[Muhammad Roil Bilad \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/668823\)](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/668823),
[Reza Alebrahim \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1577961\)](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1577961) and
[Anwar Ameen Hezam Saeed \(https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1082499\)](https://sciprofiles.com/profile/1082499)



Materials **2021**, 14(10), 2650; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14102650>

(<https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14102650>) - 18 May 2021

Viewed by 281

Abstract This paper introduces a new spark plasma sintering technique that is able to order crystalline anisotropy by in-series/in situ DC electric coupled magnetic field. The process control parameters have been investigated on the production of anisotropic BaFe₁₂O₁₉ magnets based on [...] **Read more.** (This article belongs to the Special Issue **Advanced Nanoparticles for Ultrasonic and Magnetic Applications** (/journal/materials/special_issues/Adv_Nanopart_Ultrason_Magn_Appl))

► Show Figures

[\(/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g001-550.jpg\)](/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g001-550.jpg)

[\(/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g002-550.jpg\)](/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g002-550.jpg)

[\(/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g003-550.jpg\)](/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g003-550.jpg)

[\(/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g004-550.jpg\)](/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g004-550.jpg)

[\(/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g005-550.jpg\)](/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g005-550.jpg)

[\(/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g006-550.jpg\)](/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g006-550.jpg)

[\(/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g007-550.jpg\)](/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g007-550.jpg)

[\(/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g008-550.jpg\)](/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g008-550.jpg)

[\(/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g009-550.jpg\)](/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g009-550.jpg)

[\(/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g010-550.jpg\)](/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g010-550.jpg)

[\(/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g011-550.jpg\)](/materials/materials-14-02650/article_deploy/html/images/materials-14-02650-g011-550.jpg)

Show export options ▾

Displaying article 1-50 on page 1 of 1.

Further Information

[Article Processing Charges \(/apc\)](#)

[Pay an Invoice \(/about/payment\)](/about/payment)

[Open Access Policy \(/openaccess\)](/openaccess)

[Contact MDPJ \(/about/contact\)](/about/contact)

We use cookies on our website to ensure you get the best experience.

[Read more about our cookies here \(/about/privacy\)](#).

[Guidelines](#)

[Accept \(/accept_cookies\)](/accept_cookies)

[For Authors \(/authors\)](/authors)

[For Reviewers \(/reviewers\)](#)

[For Editors \(/editors\)](#)

[For Librarians \(/librarians\)](#)

[For Publishers \(/publishing_services\)](#)

[For Societies \(/societies\)](#)

MDPI Initiatives

[Institutional Open Access Program \(IOAP\) \(/ioap\)](#)

[Sciforum \(https://sciforum.net\)](https://sciforum.net)

[Preprints \(https://www.preprints.org\)](https://www.preprints.org)

[Scilit \(https://www.scilit.net\)](https://www.scilit.net)

[SciProfiles \(https://sciprofiles.com\)](https://sciprofiles.com)

[MDPI Books \(https://www.mdpi.com/books\)](https://www.mdpi.com/books)

[Encyclopedia \(https://encyclopedia.pub\)](https://encyclopedia.pub)

[JAMS \(https://jams.pub\)](https://jams.pub)

[Proceedings \(/about/proceedings\)](#)


[MDPI Blog \(http://blog.mdpi.com/\)](http://blog.mdpi.com/)

Follow MDPI

[LinkedIn \(https://www.linkedin.com/company/mdpi\)](https://www.linkedin.com/company/mdpi)

[Facebook \(https://www.facebook.com/MDPIOpenAccessPublishing\)](https://www.facebook.com/MDPIOpenAccessPublishing)

[Twitter \(https://twitter.com/MDPIOpenAccess\)](https://twitter.com/MDPIOpenAccess)



Subscribe to receive issue release notifications and newsletters from MDPI journals

Select options

Enter your email address...

Subscribe

© 1996–2021 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated.

Read more about our cookies here ([/about/privacy](#)), [Disclaimer](#) ([/about/terms-and-conditions](#))

[Privacy Policy \(/about/privacy\)](#)

[Accept \(/accept_cookies\)](#)

[i](#) [x](#)

polyacrylamide water treatment

polyacrylamide /PAM chemical , high grade, free sampls, low price

jinmaicn.com

OPEN

Materials

COUNTRY

Switzerland



Universities and research institutions in Switzerland

SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

Materials Science
 Materials Science (miscellaneous)
 Physics and Astronomy
 Condensed Matter Physics

PUBLISHER

MDPI AG

H-INDEX

11
1

[i](#) [x](#)

Automation Component on MISUMI

A variety of standard components for industrial automation needs

MISUMI Indonesia


[Open](#)




SCOPE

Materials (ISSN 1996-1944) is an open access journal of related scientific research and technology development. It publishes reviews, regular research papers (articles) and short communications. Our aim is to encourage scientists to publish their experimental and theoretical results in as much detail as possible. Therefore, there is no restriction on the length of the papers. The full experimental details must be provided so that the results can be reproduced. Materials provides a forum for publishing papers which advance the in-depth understanding of the relationship between the structure, the properties or the functions of all kinds of materials. Chemical syntheses, chemical structures and mechanical, chemical, electronic, magnetic and optical properties and various applications will be considered. Topics Covered: -Class of materials include ceramics, glasses, polymers (plastics), semiconductors, magnetic materials, medical implant materials and biological materials, silica and carbon materials, metals and metallic alloys. All kinds of functional materials including material for dentistry. Composites, coatings and films, pigments. Classes of materials such as ionic crystals; covalent crystals; metals; intermetallics. -Materials science or materials engineering. Nanoscience and nanotechnology will be considered also. -Characterization techniques such as electron microscopy, x-ray diffraction, calorimetry, nuclear microscopy (HEFIB), Rutherford backscattering, neutron diffraction, etc. -Fundamental research: Condensed matter physics and materials physics. Continuum mechanics and statistics. Mechanics of materials. Tribology (friction, lubrication and wear). Solid-state physics. Several topical special issues will be published.

 [Join the conversation about this journal](#)




 [Quartiles](#)




FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS ?


| | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| <p>1 Cailiao Daobao/Materials Review CHN</p> <p>71% similarity</p> | <p>2 Cailiao Daobao/Materials Reports CHN</p> <p>66% similarity</p> | <p>3 Materials Express USA</p> <p>64% similarity</p> | <p>4 Materials Re GBR</p> <p>6 sii</p> |
|--|---|--|--|




SJR




Citations per document




Total Cites Self-Cites



External Cites per Doc Cites per Doc





% International Collaboration



Citable documents Non-citable documents


Cited documents Uncited documents



← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimaç
```



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2021

J **Jumaeri** 9 months ago

I am lecturer and researchers. I want to publish my article on this Journal: Materials. Thank you

reply



Melanie Ortiz 9 months ago

SCImago Team

Dear Jumaeri, thank you very much for your comment, we suggest you look for author's instructions/submission guidelines in the journal's website. Best Regards, SCImago Team

H **Hiran** 1 year ago

On referring the home page of materials it is mentioned as "CiteScore (2018 Scopus data): 3.26, which equals rank 97/439 (Q1) in 'General Materials Science'". The same journal is ranked as (Q2) in the web page of materials at section journal statistics and written as 'JCR category rank: 102/293 (Q2) in 'Materials Science, Multidisciplinary'. It is also mentioned "powered by scimagojr.com". I have published my article in Materials recently, my question is generally in which level the journal Materials from MDPI belongs to, specifically (Q1) level or (Q2) level?

reply



Melanie Ortiz 1 year ago

SCImago Team

Dear Hiran, thank you very much for your request. You can consult that information in SJR website (see above). Best Regards, SCImago Team

M **Mikhail** 1 year ago

There is a technical problem related to this journal: Materials. If one makes a search for this journal:

<https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=Materials>

one can see an extended list of found journals but the above one is ABSENT.

At the same time a similar search for its ISSN index: 1996-1944

<https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=1996-1944>

gives this journal, i.e. it is included into the SJR database.

Please check what is wrong here. Thank you in advance.

reply



Melanie Ortiz 1 year ago

SCImago Team

Dear Mikhail,

Thank you for contacting us. This journal does appear when you make a search by title as you mentioned (<https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=Materials>). It appears in the page two, 50-100 Results. However, when the title of a journal has a generic name (i.e. "materials"), we suggest you to search by ISSN.

Best Regards, SCImago Team

A **Andi Muhammad anshar** 1 year ago

I am a student, and now it is my last year. One of the requirements from a university to attend the final session is to have an accepted journal. How long do I have to wait so that the journal I submit can be submitted?

reply



Melanie Ortiz 1 year ago

SCImago Team

Dear Andi,

thank you for contacting us.

We are sorry to tell you that SCImago Journal & Country Rank is not a journal. SJR is a portal with scientometric indicators of journals indexed in Elsevier/Scopus.

Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you to visit the journal's homepage or contact the journal's editorial staff, so they could inform you more deeply.

Best Regards, SCImago Team

M **masoud** 1 year ago

I got confusion why the Quartile of this journal in Scimago ranking (Q2) and in LetPub is (Q1).

Link in the LetPub is

<https://www.letpub.com/index.php?journalid=8625>

reply



Melanie Ortiz 1 year ago

SCImago Team

Dear Masoud,

thank you for contacting us. Unfortunately, we can not help you with your request.

Probably, they use a different methodology or, simply, they did not copy the SJCR's data correctly. Our data come from Scopus, they annually send us an update of the data. This

update is send to us around April / May every year. The calculation of the indicators is performed with a copy of the Scopus database provided to us annually. Best Regards,

SCImago Team

K **kartika anoraga** 2 years ago

I am wondering why the Quartile of this journal in Scimago ranking (Q2) and in Scopus ranking (Q1) is different?

this is the Scopus link

<https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/76627>

reply

R **Ricardo** 1 month ago

The Q status depends on the subject area that the journal is classified, could be one or another. In my country usually they took the lower ranking



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Kartika,

could you please expand your comment? The Q status is not reflected in Scopus website.

Best Regards, SCImago Team

A **Ayyaz Mustafa** 2 years ago

I am a resercher and want to publish paper in Materials.

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear user, thank you very much for your comment, we suggest you to look for author's instructions in the journal's website or click on "How to Publish" just above. Best Regards, SCImago Team

Leave a comment

Name

Email

(will not be published)

 Saya bukan robot reCAPTCHA
Privasi · Persyaratan

Submit

The users of Scimago Journal & Country Rank have the possibility to dialogue through comments linked to a specific journal. The purpose is to have a forum in which general doubts about the processes of publication in the journal, experiences and other issues derived from the publication of papers are resolved. For topics on particular articles, maintain the dialogue through the usual channels with your editor.

Developed by:



Powered by:



Follow us on @ScimagoJR

Scimago Lab, Copyright 2007-2020. Data Source: Scopus®

EST MODUS IN REBUS

Horatio (Satire 1,1,106)



materials

an Open Access Journal by MDPI



CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

Certificate of publication for the article titled:

Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type-Based Barium Hexaferrite $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$

Authored by:

Haetham G. Mohammed; Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody; Susilawati Susilawati; Soheil Gohari; Aris Doyan; Saiful Prayogji; Muhammad Roil Bilad; Reza Alebrahim; Anwar Ameen Hezam Saeed

Published in:

Materials 2021, Volume 14, Issue 10, 2650



Academic Open Access Publishing
since 1996

Basel, May 2021



materials



an Open Access Journal by MDPI








Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type-Based Barium Hexaferrite $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$

Haetham G. Mohammed; Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody; Susilawati Susilawati; Soheil Gohari; Aris Doyan; Saiful Prayogi; Muhammad Roil Bilad; Reza Alebrahim; Anwar Ameen Hezam Saeed

Materials 2021, Volume 14, Issue 10, 2650

Article

Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type-Based Barium Hexaferrite BaFe₁₂O₁₉

Haetham G. Mohammed ¹, Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody ^{1,*}, Susilawati Susilawati ^{2,3,*}, Soheil Gohari ⁴, Aris Doyan ^{2,3}, Saiful Prayogi ⁵, Muhammad Roil Bilad ⁵, Reza Alebrahim ⁶ and Anwar Ameen Hezam Saeed ⁷

- ¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Petronas, Seri Iskandar 32610, Malaysia; haetham_19000233@utp.edu.my
 - ² Master of Science Education Program, University of Mataram, Mataram 83125, Indonesia; aris_doyan@unram.ac.id
 - ³ Physics Education, FKIP, University of Mataram, Mataram 83125, Indonesia
 - ⁴ Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia; soheil.gohari@unimelb.edu.au
 - ⁵ Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering, Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, Mataram 83126, Indonesia; saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id (S.P.); muhammadroilbilad@ikipmataram.ac.id (M.R.B.)
 - ⁶ Industrial Engineering Department, University of Padova, 35131 Padova, Italy; reza.alebrahim@unipd.it
 - ⁷ Department of Chemical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Petronas, Seri Iskandar 32610, Malaysia; anwar_17006829@utp.edu.my
- * Correspondence: dher.albarody@utp.edu.my (T.M.B.A.); susilawatihambali@unram.ac.id (S.S.); Tel.: +60-1128480693 (T.M.B.A.)



Citation: Mohammed, H.G.; Albarody, T.M.B.; Susilawati, S.; Gohari, S.; Doyan, A.; Prayogi, S.; Bilad, M.R.; Alebrahim, R.; Saeed, A.A.H. Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type-Based Barium Hexaferrite BaFe₁₂O₁₉. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 2650. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14102650>

Academic Editor:
Arkadiusz Józefczak

Received: 8 April 2021
Accepted: 10 May 2021
Published: 18 May 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: This paper introduces a new spark plasma sintering technique that is able to order crystalline anisotropy by in-series/in situ DC electric coupled magnetic field. The process control parameters have been investigated on the production of anisotropic BaFe₁₂O₁₉ magnets based on resulted remanence (Mr). Sintering holding time (H.T.), cooling rate (C.R.), pressure (P), and sintering temperature (S.T.) are optimized by Taguchi with L9 orthogonal array (OA). The remanent magnetization of nanocrystalline BaFe₁₂O₁₉ in parallel (Mr^{||}) perpendicular (Mr[⊥]) to the applied magnetic field was regarded as a measure of performance. The Taguchi study calculated optimum process parameters, which significantly improved the sintering process based on the confirmation tests of BaFe₁₂O₁₉ anisotropy. The magnetic properties in terms of Mr^{||} and Mr[⊥] were greatly affected by sintering temperature and pressure according to ANOVA results. In addition, regression models were developed for predicting the Mr^{||} as well as Mr[⊥] respectively.

Keywords: spark plasma sintering; sintering parameters; remanence; optimization; magnet; magnetic properties; anisotropic magnet

1. Introduction

Barium hexaferrite (BaFe₁₂O₁₉) has been one of the most widely used magnetic materials, accounting for nearly 90% of the \$4 billion global market due to its superior properties such as low manufacturing costs, high Curie temperature, high coercivity, chemical stability, and corrosion resistance [1–5]. The production of hexagonal BaFe₁₂O₁₉ has reached 300,000 tons annually, equivalent to 50 g per person [2,6–9]. Due to its hexagonal structure, the material was called barium hexaferrite. The structure is magnetoplumbite/M-type with the general formula of MFe₁₂O₁₉ or MO_{0.6}Fe₂O₃, in which M can be barium (Ba), strontium (Sr), or lead (Pb) [10].

In the last few decades, numerous techniques, such as the co-precipitation method [11], the sol-gel method [12], the hydrothermal/solvothermal method [13], and the solid-state method [14] have been developed to synthesize this barium-based hexaferrite BaFe₁₂O₁₉.

Growing annealing temperature is frequently needed to obtain a pure phase of $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$, which deteriorates the magnetic properties. Barium hexaferrite single crystals have received a lot of attention in the last decade because of their high saturation magnetization and large magneto-crystalline anisotropy along the crystallographic *c*-axis [15], but due to their high-temperature melting point, synthesizing these single crystals is a very expensive and difficult task. A textured hexaferrite with high performance is seen as a good alternative since they have properties that are comparable to single-crystal hexaferrite [16].

In order to take advantage of the strong magnetic properties imparted by the magnetic uniaxial anisotropy, the M-type hexaferrite particles must be oriented along the *c*-axis of the crystal direction, which corresponds to a magnetically easy-axis and perpendicular to the hexagonal platelet-shaped plane [17].

Textured ceramics have been developed using a variety of processing techniques, including tape casting [18], multilayer screen printing [19], hot forging [20], and grain growth [21]. Synthesis of textured hexaferrite has previously been shown to be a time-consuming process that often necessitates special processing conditions such as a strong external magnetic field during the processing.

In contrast to traditional sintering methods such as hot press (HP) or hot isostatic press (HIP), spark plasma sintering (SPS) is a relatively new sintering process for the densification of various ceramic or metallic systems at a low sintering temperature and a very short sintering time [22–27]. SPS-compacted M-type barium-based hexaferrite bulk magnets have previously been published, but all samples showed only magnetically isotropic behavior [28–31]. Anisotropic barium hexaferrite is produced by adding NaCl salt during the sintering process at low sintering temperature. The addition of NaCl to the powder during the sintering process has proven to be an effective way in the alignment of particles along the magnetic easy-axis, but this method is limited to a certain low sintering temperature and also to a lengthy time-consumption [32]. So far, some permanent magnets coupled with SPS have been used in the alignment of sintered powders such as Sm-Co magnet with Curie temperature of 800 °C which was utilized during the sintering of Sm-Fe-N [33]; as well as Halbach magnet with a uniaxial magnetic field of 1T, which was used to assist spark plasma sintering of $\text{Co}_{80}\text{Ni}_{20}$ nanowires [34]; also two commercial $\text{Sm}_2\text{Co}_{17}$ bulk magnets ($m\mu_0\text{Br} \approx 1.10$ T at 300 K, max working temperature (≈ 300 °C), which were utilized to assist anisotropic SPS-compacted MnBi magnets [35]. From the results obtained, the sintered magnets had high densities with anisotropic behavior and the external magnetic fields contributed to the enhancement of the M_r/M_s ratios of SPS-compacted samples, as in Figure 1.




Figure 1. $M_r(H)$ curves for the in situ aligned samples without binder during the SPS process, adopted from Ref. [35].

The process parameters of spark plasma sintering, such as holding time, cooling rate, sintering temperature, pressure, and heating rate directly affect the mechanical, magnetic,

and electrical properties of sintered materials. However, when the holding temperature is reached quickly during spark plasma sintering, compaction occurs with minimal grain growth. This phenomenon has been investigated using a variety of ceramic and metallic materials to create a full-densification nanostructure sample [25,36,37]. The sintering temperature increases in crystal size and density. In contrast, the heating rate had the opposite effect [38]. The density of sintered materials is affected by holding time, whereas, with the increase in holding time, the density of sintered boron carbide and $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4\text{-SiC}$ increased [39,40].

Indeed, the design of experiment (DOE) model emerged recently as a statistical method providing solutions for simplifying the manufacturing process of materials, optimizing process parameters, and minimizing unwanted trial by error approach. Therefore, the DOE approach helps to standardize production processes, reduce operational cost, minimize wastage, saves energy, saves time, and ensures accurate results in experimental studies [41]. In the 1920s, RA Fisher from England introduced the design of experiment (DOE) as a statistical tool used in studying the effect of multiple variables. However, the Taguchi method originated as the standard version of DOE by Dr. Genichi Taguchi, which was the most economically-friendly method used for experimental design [42]. Taguchi employs orthogonal arrays (OA), which are constructed so that the controllable variables can be analyzed with their respective responses at different levels in an ordered manner resulting in the smallest number of experiments, thus saving money and time. Limited studies have been reported in the literature in optimizing the spark plasma sintering. Moreover, Velmugan et al. [43] used response surface methodology (RSM) to optimize SPS parameters such as temperature, holding time, and pressure for $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4\text{-SiC/MgSiN}_2$ composite. The optimized parameters enhanced the mechanical properties such as hardness and fracture toughness. Moreover, Ujah et al. [42] used Taguchi design in the experiment in their study on the optimization of SPS parameters of Al-CNTs-Nb nano-composite, where the sintering temperature, pressure, heating rate, and holding time were optimized. There was close agreement between DOE results (Taguchi predicted result) and experimental results (density and hardness).

As mentioned above, all previous researches focusing on the influence of the external magnetic field during the sintering process were restricted to permanent magnet usage with limited Curie temperature. There was also a lack of studies and analysis on the effect of various sintering parameters on the magnetic properties of soft and hard magnetic nanopowders during the sintering process.

This work introduces new spark plasma sintering (SPS) technique with magnetic anisotropy for magnetite ceramic sintering and grains alignment control to enhance the magnetic properties. Anisotropy of sintered barium ferrite $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$ is used to validate the SPS processing parameters that were optimized by (L9) Taguchi design of experiment (DOE) with (ANOVA) statistical tool. Furthermore, predictive magnetic remanence Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} models were developed using regression analysis.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Nanocrystallites of M-type barium hexaferrite ($\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$) powder with 100 nm average particle size (99% purity) was purchased from (Sigma-Aldrich (M) Sdn Bhd, Selangor D.E, Malaysia), and used as a starting material for this study.

2.2. Magnetic Anisotropic Spark Plasma Sintering (MASPS)

A spiral magnetic coil with a rectangular cross-section, isolated by fiberglass and circular water pipes, is directly connected to the SPS's cathode and anode (two spacers). This magnetic coil is designed to work coupled with SPS to generate a magnetic field in the same direction of electrical current, as shown in Figure 2. Table 1 presents the magnetic field generated from the coil at different sintering temperatures.




Figure 2. Schematic diagram of magnetic-anisotropic spark plasma sintering (MASPS).

Table 1. Magnetic field (mT) to current (A) relation.

| NO | Current (A) | Temperature (°C) | Magnetic Field (mT) |
|----|-------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 150 | 650 | 40 |
| 2 | 200 | 780 | 44 |
| 3 | 250 | 920 | 70 |
| 4 | 300 | 1050 | 80 |
| 5 | 350 | 1180 | 90 |

2.3. Sintering Parameters

The sintering process is mainly affected by different parameters. In this study, the effect of holding time (H.T.), cooling rate (C.R.), pressure (P), and sintering temperature (S.T.) on the magnetic properties of nanopowder are studied experimentally using a developed magnetically-assisted spark plasma sintering method. Table 2 shows the parameters and their levels used in this study, while the heating rate is used as a fixed parameter at 100 °C/min.

Table 2. Sintering process parameters and their levels.

| Symbols | Process Parameters | Unit | Levels | | |
|---------|-----------------------|--------|--------|------|------|
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| H.T. | Holding time | S | 60 | 120 | 180 |
| C.R. | Cooling rate | °C/min | 50 | 150 | 250 |
| P | Pressure | MPa | 30 | 45 | 60 |
| S.T. | Sintering temperature | °C | 920 | 1050 | 1180 |

2.4. Design of Experiment (DOE)

Recently, DOE is considered as a statistical tool that employs the Taguchi orthogonal arrays (OA), so that the controllable variables can be analyzed with their respective responses at different levels in an ordered manner, which leads to the reduction of the number of experiments, thus saving money and time. However, by applying the Taguchi

method, a system with three levels and four factors (parameters) L9 is the most suitable orthogonal array (OA) used as a DOE tool parameter in the experimental works.

A Minitab 17.0 (2017) software (Minitab LLC, State College, PA, USA) is used to input and analyze the sintering data. Based on the randomized OA, a total of 9 runs of experiments were conducted on MASPS in the order from trial 1 to trial 9. The experimental data for sintering process tests are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Experimental data for the sintering process.

| Run | Holding Time (min) | Cooling Rate (°C/min) | Pressure (MPa) | Sintering Temperature (°C) |
|-----|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | 60 | 50 | 30 | 920 |
| 2 | 60 | 100 | 45 | 1050 |
| 3 | 60 | 150 | 60 | 1180 |
| 4 | 120 | 50 | 45 | 1180 |
| 5 | 120 | 100 | 60 | 920 |
| 6 | 120 | 150 | 30 | 1050 |
| 7 | 180 | 50 | 60 | 1050 |
| 8 | 180 | 100 | 30 | 1180 |
| 9 | 180 | 150 | 45 | 920 |

2.5. Experimental Process

BaFe₁₂O₁₉ powder was heated at 100 °C for 1 h to remove the moisture using a tube furnace under the Argon atmosphere. After the powder was cooled, it was taken out for weighing. Then, 0.4 ± 0.001 g of the powder was weighed using a digital balance. The powder was manually placed into a graphite mold, where the inner diameter of the graphite sleeve is 10 mm. The graphite mold consists of two 10 mm punches in diameter and a sleeve. The lower punch was inserted into the sleeve before the powder was poured into the sleeve. To avoid adhering to the interior of the mold and the punches, powders were separated by 0.1 mm graphite foils. The graphite mold with BaFe₁₂O₁₉ was then placed between two graphite spacers to compact the powder under specified pressures (DOE).

The sintering temperature, holding time, and cooling rate were specified by following the DOE, as shown in Table 4. Additionally, the surface temperature of the graphite sleeve was measured using IR thermometer sensor, where PID was utilized to monitor the sintering process. The heating rate is fixed at 100 °C/min. Manually, a gaussmeter was used to measure the generated magnetic field of the magnetic coil at the sintering temperature.

Table 4. The results of experiments and the calculated S/N ratio.

| Exp. Runs | Results | | S/N Ratio of Results | |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | Mr (emu/g) | Mr [⊥] (emu/g) | Mr (dB) | Mr [⊥] (dB) |
| 1 | 22.70 | 10.89 | 27.12 | −20.74 |
| 2 | 20.30 | 9.50 | 26.14 | −19.55 |
| 3 | 20.80 | 9.78 | 26.36 | −19.80 |
| 4 | 22.00 | 10.60 | 26.84 | −20.50 |
| 5 | 16.00 | 7.00 | 24.08 | −16.90 |
| 6 | 19.80 | 9.20 | 25.93 | −19.27 |
| 7 | 17.66 | 8.00 | 24.93 | −18.06 |
| 8 | 22.00 | 10.60 | 26.84 | −20.50 |
| 9 | 16.00 | 7.00 | 24.08 | −16.90 |

2.6. Sample Preparation and Measurement

The sintered pellet of BaFe₁₂O₁₉ was removed from the graphite mold when the surface temperature of the graphite sleeve reached room temperature. Then, the grinder was utilized to remove all graphite foil from the sintered pellet. The next step was to characterize the crystallinity of the horizontal surface of sintered specimen for estimating the texture

coefficient (TC) using X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker AXS D8 Advance, Karlsruhe, Ipoh, Malaysia). After that, the sintered specimen was vertically cut into two halves using the precious cutter to measure remanent magnetization for parallel (M_r^{\parallel}) and perpendicular (M_r^{\perp}) planes to the external magnetic field using vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) (Lakshore model GMW 3474-140 Electromagnet, Westerville, Ipoh, Malaysia), as shown in Figure 3. Moreover, the applied magnetic field was 1 tesla.




Figure 3. The scheme of (a) XRD of texture and (b) cut sample in orthogonal direction for measuring the remanent magnetization.

2.7. S/N Ratio Analysis

In this part, the optimal level for each response is calculated using Taguchi's S/N ratio analysis. For the response, such M_r^{\parallel} with the-larger-the-better (LTB) quality characteristic is chosen, as in Equation (1). In contrast, for M_r^{\perp} , the-smaller-the-better quality characteristic is shown in Equation (2).

$$\eta_i = -10 \log_{10} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{1}{y_i^2} \right) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r, \quad (1)$$

$$\eta_i = -10 \log_{10} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^r (y_i^2) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r, \quad (2)$$

where N is the number of tests, y_i^2 is the output, and η_i is the S/N ratio.

2.8. Validation

To validate the optimized parameters of the S/N ratio, the sintering of $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$ powder was conducted based on the optimized parameters. Then, all the previous steps were repeated to measure and characterize the remanent magnetization (M_r^{\parallel} and M_r^{\perp}) and XDR, respectively. After that, Minitab 17.0 software was used to analyze linear regression and construct predictive mathematical models for the dependent variables M_r^{\parallel} and M_r^{\perp} as a function of holding time, cooling rate, pressure, and sintering temperature, respectively, in the current study. The collected results from predictive mathematical models were compared with the experimental results of optimized parameters.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Taguchi Method

A loss function is used by Taguchi, which converts the difference in experimental values and targets into an S/N ratio, which is a ratio of mean to the standard deviation. Taguchi uses signal and noise to represent the wanted and unwanted values for the response. S/N ratio has been divided into three groups: the-medium-the-better, the-larger-the-better, and the-lower-the-better based on the response requirements. In this study, the characterized quality of the responses like M_r^{\parallel} and M_r^{\perp} are the-larger-the-better and the-lower-the-better, respectively. Therefore, Equations (1) and (2) have been used to calculate the S/N ratio, as shown in Table 4 [44].

3.2. The Influence of Process Parameters on (Mr^{\parallel}) and (Mr^{\perp})

The effect of sintering parameters on Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} of sintered M-type barium hexaferrite nanopowder is presented in Figure 4a–d. Where the larger the mean difference between the Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} , the better is the anisotropic behavior of the sintered powder. It is observed from Figure 4a that the mean difference between Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} is decreased when holding time is increased. This dramatical dropping in the mean difference from 12.10 to 9.90 when the holding time increased from 60 to 180 s is caused by the dropping in the magnetic properties of the materials, where the increase in holding time leads to the decrease in the grain size which causes a reduction in the remanence magnetization of the sintered materials [35,38].




Figure 4. The effect of sintering parameters on mean difference between Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} : (a) Holding time; (b) Cooling rate; (c) Pressure; (d) S. temp.

The cooling rate has an impact on the mean difference between Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} , where the lower cooling rate results in a high mean difference. Therefore, when the value of the cooling rate is 50 ($^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$) the mean difference is 11.20, which is greater than the values of cooling rate at 100 and 150 ($^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$). This can be observed from Figure 4b where the mean differences of 100 and 150 ($^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$) are 10.5 and 10.30, respectively. The reason is that the lower cooling rate gives sufficient time for the external magnetic field to align the grains and prevent the crack to be appeared in the sintered specimen. In the previous studies, it was found that better alignment occurred at a lower cooling rate [45].

The pressure greatly influences the grains' alignment, but the low pressure exerted on the powder requires little force to rotate the grain. As the pressure increases, the external magnetic field required to align the grains increases. Furthermore, the high pressure leads to align the hexagonal crystals in the perpendicular direction to the pressure (magnetic field) [46]. As shown in Figure 4c, the mean difference is decreased from 11.30 to 10.50 when the pressure is increased from 30 to 45 MPa, respectively. It can be also observed that the mean difference is decreased to 9.80 as the pressure is further increased to 60 MPa.

As commonly known, the temperature is generated due to the increase in the electrical current that affects the reorientation of grains in the same direction of magnetic flux. Moreover, the external magnetic field and the electrical current are in proportion. In other words, the increase in electrical current leads to a rise in the temperature and external magnetic flux together. Those two factors play an important role to reorient the grains. It is important to note that the increase in temperature will increase the activation energy of particles that will assist the reorientation of grains due to the external magnetic flux [47]. In contrast to the effect of the sintering parameters mentioned above, the sintering temperature shown in Figure 4d is directly proportional to the mean difference between Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} in the sense that when the temperature was 920 °C, the mean difference was 9.90. Furthermore, the increment in sintering temperature from 1050 to 1180 °C caused an increase in the mean difference of 10.30 to 11.30, respectively. This effect explained that the increment in the external magnetic field, which resulted from the high current used in rising the sintering temperature, caused a proper alignment in the grains to the easy-axis of crystalline. Furthermore, the sintering temperature caused grain growth in the c-axis which played a secondary role in increasing the remanent magnetization of the sintered sample [48].

3.3. The Optimum Selected Parameters for Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp}

The response table for the gained S/N ratio of Mr^{\parallel} is displayed in Table 5. The mean S/N ratio graph obtained using the Minitab software tool is shown in Figure 5. The minimal variance difference between the expected output and the measured output is represented by a higher S/N ratio. From Figure 5, it may be observed that the highest mean S/N ratio obtained for Mr^{\parallel} occurred at 60 s holding time, 50 °C/min cooling rate, 30 MPa pressure, and 1180 °C sintering temperature. H.T. = 60 s, C.R. = 50 °C/min, P = 30 MPa, and ST 1180 °C were the predicted optimum process parameters for obtaining high remanent Mr^{\parallel} in parallel to the magnetic field using the Taguchi method. The predicted combination of the optimum set was represented as H.T.₁-C.R.₁-P₁-S.T.₃ for Mr^{\parallel} .

Table 5. Mean S/N ratio response table for Mr^{\parallel} .

| Symbol | Process Parameters | Mean S/N Ratio | | | | |
|--------|----------------------|----------------|---------|--------------|---------|------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Max-Min | Rank |
| H.T. | Holding time (s) | 26.54 | 25.62 | 25.29 | 1.25 | 3 |
| C.R. | Cooling rate (A/min) | 26.30 | 25.69 | 25.46 | 0.84 | 4 |
| P | Pressure (KN) | 26.63 | 25.69 | 25.13 | 1.51 | 2 |
| S.T. | S. temp. (°C) | 25.10 | 25.67 | 26.69 | 1.59 | 1 |

Larger—better.

The means of the S/N ratio response table for Mr^{\perp} are presented in Table 6. H.T. = 60 s, C.R. = 50 °C/min, P = 30 MPa, and S.T. = 1180 °C were the predictable optimum process parameters for obtaining the low Mr^{\perp} as in Figure 6. For Mr^{\perp} , the predicted optimum combination was H.T.₁-C.R.₁-P₁-S.T.₃.




Figure 5. Mean S/N ratio of Mr^{||}.

Table 6. Mean S/N ratio response table for Mr[⊥].

| Symbol | Process Parameters | Mean S/N Ratio | | | | Rank |
|--------|----------------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Max–Min | |
| H.T. | Holding time (s) | −20.03 | −18.89 | −18.49 | 1.54 | 3 |
| C.R. | Cooling rate (A/min) | −19.77 | −18.99 | −18.66 | 1.11 | 4 |
| P | Pressure (KN) | −20.17 | −18.99 | −18.26 | 1.92 | 2 |
| S.T. | S. temp. (°C) | −18.18 | −18.96 | −20.27 | 2.09 | 1 |

Smaller—better.




Figure 6. Mean S/N ratio of Mr[⊥].

3.4. Confirmation Test

Conformational tests must be performed to validate the optimal conditions predicted by Taguchi. The response was estimated and verified using the predicted S/N ratio under predicted optimal sintering conditions. The confirmation experiments were carried out at the Taguchi predicted optimum sintering parameters, and the results are shown in Tables 7 and 8 for Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} , respectively. The predicted optimum sintering parameters for both Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} give an enhancement in the performance representative results. Tables 7 and 8 show that for both Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} , the S/N ratios of predicted and optimal sintering processes are very close. The improved S/N ratios for Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} were found at the optimum sintering parameters with 5.23 dB and 6.09 dB values, respectively, compared to the initial settings. Tables 7 and 8 display the confirmation results of Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} . The optimum predicted parameters given by Taguchi provide better results than the initial parameter conditions according to the confirmation experiments. Hence, the increment in Mr^{\parallel} and the reduction in Mr^{\perp} were 26.56% and 27.83%, respectively.

Table 7. Confirmation test results for Mr^{\parallel} .

| | Optimal Process Parameters | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Initial Process Parameters | Prediction | Experimental |
| Levels | (H.T.) ₂ -(C.R.) ₂ -P ₂ -(S.T.) ₂ | (H.T.) ₁ -(C.R.) ₁ -P ₁ -(S.T.) ₃ | (H.T.) ₁ -(C.R.) ₁ -P ₁ -(S.T.) ₃ |
| Mr^{\parallel} | 19.91 | 28.71 | 27.11 |
| S/N ratio (dB) | 24.84 | | 29.72 |
| Improvement in S/N ratio (dB) | 5.23 | | |
| Percentage of the increment in Mr^{\parallel} (emu/g) | 26.56% | | |

Table 8. Confirmation test results for Mr^{\perp} .

| | Optimal Process Parameters | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Initial Process Parameters | Prediction | Experimental |
| Levels | (H.T.) ₂ -(C.R.) ₂ -P ₂ -(S.T.) ₂ | (H.T.) ₁ -(C.R.) ₁ -P ₁ -(S.T.) ₃ | (H.T.) ₁ -(C.R.) ₁ -P ₁ -(S.T.) ₃ |
| Mr^{222} | 9.87 | -22.83 | 7.72 |
| S/N ratio (dB) | -19.91 | | -26.01 |
| Improvement in S/N ratio (dB) | 6.09 | | |
| Percentage of the reduction in Mr^{\perp} (emu/g) | 27.83% | | |

3.5. XRD Analysis

The grain orientation and phase analyses were conducted using an XRD system. The relative intensity ratio between (006) and (114) peaks (I_{006}/I_{114}) was determined for the samples sintered at the initial sintering parameters and at the optimized sintering parameters taken from Taguchi result as shown in Figure 7a–c, where the increase in the relative intensity means that the grains lead to be reoriented along the c-axis (highly textured) [49]. Accordingly, the orientation of the grain can be obtained qualitatively by the Texture Coefficient (TC) [50]. TC value can be calculated as in Equation (3) [51]:

$$TC_{(hkl)} = \frac{I_{(hkl)} / I_{0(hkl)}}{\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \left[\sum_N \frac{I_{(hkl)}}{I_{0(hkl)}} \right]} \quad (3)$$

where, $I_{0(hkl)}$, and $I_{(hkl)}$ represent the standard and the measured relative intensities of the specific crystal plans (hkl), and N represents the number of peaks. The calculated TC values are displayed in Table 9.




Figure 7. XRD of (a) Sintered sample at the optimized parameters; (b) Sintered sample at the initial parameters, and (c) Starting powder used in this study.

Table 9. Texture coefficient (TC).

| Sample | Crystal Plane | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| | (006) | (107) | (114) | (1011) | (217) | (313) |
| Initial process parameters | 11.90 | 3.50 | 2.00 | 6.40 | 2.00 | 1.20 |
| Optimized parameters | 12.20 | 4.40 | 1.70 | 5.90 | 1.50 | 0.80 |

The relative intensity ratio (I_{006}/I_{114}) of the sintered sample at the initial process parameters is 40.60, and this value was improved for the sample with the optimized parameters to 51.23. This increase in the relative intensity demonstrated that the grains are oriented along the *c*-axis. The broadening occurs, as seen in Figure 7c, in between 40 and 50 of 2θ indicates the grain refinement and high lattice strain [52]. The amorphization which can be observed from the decrease in the intensities of the peak has resulted from the graphite foil used during the sintering, where the increase in the intensity of the peak (1011) matched the highest peak intensity of the graphite.

These findings were also proved by the TC. Table 9 clearly shows that the (006) plane of the two samples have the highest TC values, indicating that all of the samples have a *c*-axis desired orientation. It is important to note that the TC value of plane (006) of the sample sintered at the optimized parameters is higher than that of the initial parameters. In contrast, the TC value of the plane (114) decreased from 2.0 to 1.70 of the samples sintered at the initial and optimized parameters, respectively. Thus, this confirms that the preferred growth orientation of BaFe₁₂O₁₉ is along the *c*-axis.

4. ANOVA Analysis

ANOVA identifies the process variable that has the greatest influence on the output. Tables 10 and 11 show the ANOVA results for Mr^{||} and Mr[⊥], respectively. According to Table 10, sintering temperature has the greatest influence on Mr^{||}, followed by pressure, holding time, and cooling rate. Sintering temperature, pressure, holding time, and cooling rate contributed to Mr^{||} by 35.25%, 31.48%, 22.95%, and 10.32%, correspondingly, as indicated in Table 10. Similarly, Mr[⊥] was typically influenced by sintering temperature, pressure, holding time, and cooling rate. The respective percentages contribution of sintering temperature followed by pressure, holding time, and cooling rate were 36.99%, 31.02%, 21.24%, and 10.74%, respectively, as shown in Table 11. Due to the magnetic field being influenced by the current used for heat generation, the ANOVA study revealed that both Mr^{||} and Mr[⊥] were significantly affected by the sintering temperature.

Table 10. ANOVA for Mr^{||}.

| Source | Degree of Freedom | Sum of Square | Means Square | % Contributions |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| H.T. (s) | 2 | 2.53 | 1.26 | 22.95 |
| C.R. (A/min) | 2 | 1.13 | 0.56 | 10.32 |
| P (MPa) | 2 | 3.47 | 1.73 | 31.48 |
| S.T. (°C) | 2 | 3.89 | 1.94 | 35.25 |
| Total | 8 | 11.03 | | 100 |

Table 11. ANOVA FOR Mr[⊥].

| Source | Degree of Freedom | Sum of Square | Means Square | % Contributions |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| H.T. (s) | 2 | 3.84 | 1.92 | 21.24 |
| C.R. (A/min) | 2 | 1.94 | 0.97 | 10.74 |
| P (MPa) | 2 | 5.62 | 2.80 | 31.02 |
| S.T. (°C) | 2 | 6.70 | 3.35 | 36.99 |
| Total | 8 | 18.11 | | 100 |

5. Modeling

The Equations (4) and (5) were driven from the linear regression to predict the values for Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} , respectively.

$$Mr^{\parallel} = 15.75 - 0.02261 \text{ H.T.} - 0.01920 \text{ C.R.} - 0.669 \text{ P} + 0.01295 \text{ S.T.} \quad (4)$$

($R^2 = 95.59\%$)

$$Mr^{\perp} = 6.62 - 0.01269 \text{ H.T.} - 0.01170 \text{ C.R.} - 0.3940 \text{ P} + 0.00781 \text{ S.T.} \quad (5)$$

($R^2 = 95.74\%$)

The developed regression models of Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} have a high coefficient of determination R^2 values of 95.59% and 95.74%. This indicates that the dependent and independent variables in the established model are well-matched. The significance of the coefficient in the forecast model was validated by the residual plot. In contrast, the model's error is considered normally distributed and significant when the plot is in a straight line. As observed from Figures 8 and 9, the residual of the Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} are near a straight line, implying that the developed models are significant.





Figure 8. Normal probability plot of the residuals for Mr^{\parallel} .

Confirmation tests were used to validate the built models, and the tested results were selected randomly from the configuration of the experimental matrix. The results verified that the predicted and experimental values were in good agreement with the parameters described in Table 12.

Table 12. The confirmed results for the developed model.

| Run | Experimental | | Predicted | | Residuals | | % Error | |
|-----|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Mr (emu/g) | Mr [⊥] (emu/g) | Mr (emu/g) | Mr [⊥] (emu/g) | Mr (emu/g) | Mr [⊥] (emu/g) | Mr (emu/g) | Mr [⊥] (emu/g) |
| 2 | 20.30 | 9.50 | 19.69 | 9.17 | −0.60 | −0.32 | 2.97 | 3.44 |
| 5 | 16 | 7 | 16.35 | 7.18 | 0.355 | 0.18 | 2.21 | 2.57 |
| 8 | 22 | 10.6 | 23.05 | 11.17 | 1.05 | 0.57 | 4.78 | 5.40 |
| 9 | 16 | 7 | 15.70 | 6.81 | −0.29 | −0.18 | 1.81 | 2.58 |

Figure 9. Normal probability plot of the residuals for Mr[⊥].

Contour plots can analyze the relationship between the response and two variables by viewing distinct contours of the expected response variables. The contour plots shown in Figure 10 represent the relation between the sintering parameters and the remanent magnetization in the parallel axis with external magnetic field Mr^{||} values. Figure 10a shows that the low level of holding time and cooling rate generates a high Mr^{||} value. Figure 10b indicates that high Mr^{||} could be attained when the pressure ranged between 40 and 45 MPa and holding time between 100 and 120 s. It was observed in Figure 10c that Mr^{||} is maximum when the cooling rate and pressure ranged between 50 and 100 °C/min and 30–35 MPa, respectively. High sintering temperature with high holding time leads to high Mr^{||} as in Figure 10d. With high sintering temperature and cooling rate in between 75 and 100 s, the Mr^{||} increases, as in Figure 10e.

Similarly, in Figure 10f, the high value of Mr^{||} was obtained at high sintering temperature and pressure ranged between 35 and 40 MPa. The relation between the Mr[⊥] and the variables is presented in Figure 11. From the observed data, the sintering temperature contributed to the increase of the remanent magnetization of the whole sample from different directions. The remanent magnetization in the perpendicular direction increased with the increase in samples' overall remanent of about 50% of the Mr^{||}. The other parameters have the same effect on the Mr[⊥] and Mr^{||}.




Figure 10. Contour plot for Mr^{II} : (a) Holding time vs. cooling rate; (b) Holding time vs. pressure; (c) Cooling rate vs. pressure; (d) Holding time vs. S. temp.; (e) Cooling rate vs. S. temp.; (f) Pressure vs. S. temp.




Figure 11. Contour plot for Mr^{\perp} : (a) Holding time vs. cooling rate; (b) Holding time vs. pressure; (c) Cooling rate vs. pressure; (d) Holding time vs. S. temp.; (e) Cooling rate vs. S. temp.; (f) Pressure vs. S. temp.

6. Assessment of Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} for Sintered $BaFe_{12}O_{19}$

Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} of sintered $BaFe_{12}O_{19}$ by using different sintering technology and MASPS were compared and listed in Table 13. The Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} values of SPS with NaCl are found to be 29.30 and 24.90, respectively. On the other hand, the Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} values of MASPS are 27.10 and 7.70, respectively, which shows that SPS with NaCl resulted with higher values of Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} . This comparison does not evidentially represent the better anisotropic behavior of the sintered sample by SPS with NaCl, rather the anisotropic behavior is represented by the relative ratio between Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} . In that sense, the relative ratio of the sintered sample by MASPS is 71.61%, which is higher than that of the SPS with NaCl with a value of 26%. This analysis shows that the anisotropic behavior of MASPS is better than that of SPS with NaCl. The high Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} values of SPS with NaCl can be justified by the presence of high grain-size powder.

Table 13. Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} values of sintered $BaFe_{12}O_{19}$ with different methods.

| Method | Mr^{\parallel} (emu/g) | Mr^{\perp} (emu/g) | References |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Magnetic-field-assisted hydrothermal process | 23.10 | - | [53] |
| SPS with NaCl | 29.30 | 24.90 | [32] |
| SPS with protection layer | 13.00 | 9.50 | [17] |
| SPS | 19.00 | - | [28] |
| Powder injection molding | 9.00 | 3.60 | [54] |
| MASPS | 27.10 | 7.70 | Current study |

7. Conclusions

Anisotropic $BaFe_{12}O_{19}$ magnets were achieved by a developed magnetic-anisotropy spark plasma sintering at the low magnetic field coupled with sintering current. From the analyzed results, the following conclusions were drawn:

- The optimum setting sintering parameters for obtaining the high Mr^{\parallel} was found as H.T. = 60 s, C.R. = 50 °C/min, P = 30 MPa and S.T. = 1180 °C ((H.T.)₁-(C.R.)₁-P₁-(S.T.)₃) using Taguchi method. It was observed that a 26.57% increment of Mr^{\parallel} was found at the Taguchi determined optimum sintering condition.
- The optimum sintering combination for Mr^{\perp} determined by the Taguchi method is the same as the combination for obtaining Mr^{\parallel} . In contrast, the increment in the remanence of the sintered sample in the parallel direction leads to a decrease in the remanence of the perpendicular direction in about 50% of Mr^{\parallel} . In the Taguchi optimized sintering condition, the amount of reduction in the Mr^{\perp} was 27.83%.
- It was observed from the ANOVA analysis that Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} were significantly influenced by the sintering temperature with a contribution of 35.25% and 36.99%, respectively, followed by pressure, holding time, and cooling rate.
- Based on the well-founded optimal sintering parameters, it can be suggested that MASPS with a high magnetic field could be a promising approach to achieve anisotropic permanent magnets because both Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} can be tailored to reach the desired properties.
- From the XRD, the improvement in the relative intensity ratio between (006) and (114) peaks (I_{006}/I_{114}) from 40.60 to 51.29 for the sintered powder at the initial and optimized process parameters proved that the grains have been oriented along the c-axis, which was also supported by the TC values of the plane.
- From the developed mathematical models Mr^{\parallel} and Mr^{\perp} , a close agreement between the predicted results and experimental results was observed. Hence, the developed models could correct sintering parameters for producing anisotropic magnets without conducting trial experiments.
- Further studies are recommended to investigate the effect of process parameters of MASPS on the mechanical and microstructure behavior of the sintered nanopowder.

Author Contributions: Data curation, H.G.M., M.R.B., R.A. and A.A.H.S.; Formal analysis, H.G.M.; Funding acquisition, S.S. and A.D.; Investigation, H.G.M.; Methodology, H.G.M. and T.M.B.A.; Project administration, T.M.B.A.; Resources, T.M.B.A.; Software, S.G., S.P. and A.A.H.S.; Supervision, T.M.B.A.; Validation, H.G.M., T.M.B.A. and R.A.; Writing—original draft, H.G.M.; Writing—review & editing, S.S., S.G., A.D., S.P., M.R.B., R.A. and A.A.H.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This Research is funding from University Technology Petronas, under YUTP-FRG—015LC0-291 and the APC was funded by University of Mataram, Indonesia.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The corresponding author will provide the data used in this study upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Eayn al Qitr Sdn. Bhd. for supplying materials, providing access to their SPS equipment, processing knowledge, and assistance in making SPS samples. Research funding from the University Technology Petronas, under YUTP-FRG—015LC0-291, is acknowledged and appreciated.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Benito, G.; Morales, M.; Requena, J.; Raposo, V.; Vázquez, M.; Moya, J. Barium hexaferrite monodispersed nanoparticles prepared by the ceramic method. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **2001**, *234*, 65–72. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. El Shater, R.; El-Ghazzawy, E.; El-Nimr, M. Study of the sintering temperature and the sintering time period effects on the structural and magnetic properties of M-type hexaferrite BaFe₁₂O₁₉. *J. Alloy. Compd.* **2018**, *739*, 327–334. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Ahmad, M.; Ali, Q.; Ali, I.; Ahmad, I.; Khan, M.A.; Akhtar, M.N.; Murtaza, G.; Rana, M. Effects of Sr-substitution on the structural and magnetic behavior of Ba-based Y-type hexagonal ferrites. *J. Alloy. Compd.* **2013**, *580*, 23–28. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Chao, Y.; Lin, J.; Chun, S.; Kim, K. Temperature dependent spin structures in Hexaferrite crystal. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **2016**, *397*, 230–232. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Shepherd, P.; Mallick, K.K.; Green, R.J. Magnetic and structural properties of M-type barium hexaferrite prepared by co-precipitation. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **2007**, *311*, 683–692. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Thompson, G.K.; Evans, B.J. The structure–property relationships in M-type hexaferrites: Hyperfine interactions and bulk magnetic properties. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1993**, *73*, 6295–6297. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Rowley, S.E.; Chai, Y.-S.; Shen, S.-P.; Sun, Y.; Jones, A.T.; Watts, B.E.; Scott, J.F. Uniaxial ferroelectric quantum criticality in multiferroic hexaferrites BaFe₁₂O₁₉ and SrFe₁₂O₁₉. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 25724. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
8. Thakur, A.; Singh, R.; Barman, P. Synthesis and characterizations of Nd³⁺ doped SrFe₁₂O₁₉ nanoparticles. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *141*, 562–569. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Kaynar, M.B.; Ozcan, S.; Shah, S.I. Synthesis and magnetic properties of nanocrystalline BaFe₁₂O₁₉. *Ceram. Int.* **2015**, *41*, 11257–11263. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Idayanti, N.; Kristiantoro, T.; Septiani, A.; Kartika, I. Magnetic properties of barium ferrite after milling by high energy milling (hem). *MATEC Web Conf.* **2017**, *101*, 1011. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Kumar, P.; Anurag, G. Signature of multiferroicity and pyroelectricity close to room temperature in BaFe₁₂O₁₉ hexaferrite. *Ceram. Int.* **2017**, *43*, 16403–16407. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Pan, X.F.; Mu, G.H.; Chen, N.; Gan, K.K.; Yang, K.; Gu, M.Y. Improved properties of SrFe₁₂O₁₉ synthesised by gel self-propagating combustion technique with glycol as solvent. *Mater. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *23*, 865–868. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Drogenik, M.; Ban, I.; Makovec, D.; Žnidaršič, A.; Jagličić, Z.; Hanzel, D.; Lisjak, D. The hydrothermal synthesis of superparamagnetic barium hexaferrite particles. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *127*, 415–419. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Sözeri, H. Effect of pelletization on magnetic properties of BaFe₁₂O₁₉. *J. Alloy. Compd.* **2009**, *486*, 809–814. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Meng, S.; Yue, Z.; Li, L. In-plane c-axis oriented barium hexaferrite films prepared by magnetron sputtering. *Mater. Lett.* **2012**, *86*, 92–95. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Kang, S.-J.L.; Park, J.-H.; Ko, S.-Y.; Lee, H.-Y. Solid-State Conversion of Single Crystals: The Principle and the State-of-the-Art. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2015**, *98*, 347–360. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Lisjak, D.; Ovtar, S. The Alignment of Barium Ferrite Nanoparticles from Their Suspensions in Electric and Magnetic Fields. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2012**, *117*, 1644–1650. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
18. Yamada, H.; Suzuki, T.S.; Uchikoshi, T.; Hozumi, M.; Saito, T.; Sakka, Y. Ideal design of textured LiCoO₂ sintered electrode for Li-ion secondary battery. *APL Mater.* **2013**, *1*, 042110. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Zeng, J.; Li, Y.; Yang, Q.; Jing, X.; Yin, Q. Grain oriented CaBi₄Ti₄O₁₅ piezoceramics prepared by the screen-printing multilayer grain growth technique. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2005**, *25*, 2727–2730. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

20. Imai, A.; Nagarajan, V.; Takahashi, R.; Lippmaa, M.; Matsumoto, Y. Self-template growth of ferroelectric Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂ nanoplates via flux-mediated epitaxy with VO_x. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2010**, *10*, 5233–5237. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Zhigadlom, N.D. Growth of whisker-like and bulk single crystals of PrFeAs(O, F) under high pressure. *J. Cryst. Growth.* **2013**, *382*, 75–79. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Sovizi, S.; Seraji, M. The densification behavior of metals and alloys during spark plasma sintering: A mini-review. *Sci. Sinter.* **2019**, *51*, 135–152. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Nečina, V.; Pabst, W. Influence of the heating rate on grain size of alumina ceramics prepared via spark plasma sintering (SPS). *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2020**, *40*, 3656–3662. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Garay, J. Current-Activated, Pressure-Assisted Densification of Materials. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **2010**, *40*, 445–468. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Orrù, R.; Licheri, R.; Locci, A.M.; Cincotti, A.; Cao, G. Consolidation/synthesis of materials by electric current activated/assisted sintering. *Mater. Sci. Eng. R Rep.* **2009**, *63*, 127–287. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Albaaji, A.J.; Castle, E.G.; Reece, M.J.; Hall, J.P.; Evans, S.L. Influence of spark plasma sintering parameters on magnetic properties of FeCo alloy. *AIP Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 047705. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Mohammed, H.G.; Albarody, T.M.B.; Mustapha, M.; Sultan, N.; Al-Jothery, H. Investigate the effect of process parameters of magnetic inductively assisted spark plasma sintering (SPS) of iron oxide (Fe₃O₄) on microstructure behavior—Part I. *Mater. Today Proc.* **2021**, *42*, 2106–2112. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Jung, I.D.; Kim, Y.; Hong, Y.-K.; Park, S.J. Spark Plasma Sintering Behaviors of M-type Barium Hexaferrite Nano Powders. *J. Korean Powder Met. Inst.* **2014**, *21*, 256–259. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Zhao, W.; Wei, P.; Wu, X.; Wang, W.; Zhang, Q. Evidence and role of excessive iron in the lattice of M-type barium hexaferrite synthesized by one-step spark plasma sintering method. *Scr. Mater.* **2008**, *59*, 282–285. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Mazaleyrat, F.; Pasko, A.; Bartok, A.; LoBue, M. Giant coercivity of dense nanostructured spark plasma sintered barium hexaferrite. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2011**, *109*, 7. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Ovtar, S.; Le Gallet, S.; Minier, L.; Millot, N.; Lisjak, D. Control of barium ferrite decomposition during spark plasma sintering: Towards nanostructured samples with anisotropic magnetic properties. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2014**, *34*, 337–346. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. An, G.-H.; Hwang, T.-Y.; Kim, J.; Kim, J.; Kang, N.; Jeon, K.-W.; Kang, M.; Choa, Y.-H. Novel method for low temperature sintering of barium hexaferrite with magnetic easy-axis alignment. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2014**, *34*, 1227–1233. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Saito, T. Magnetic properties of Sm–Fe–N anisotropic magnets produced by magnetic-field-assisted spark plasma sintering. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* **2010**, *167*, 75–79. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Ouar, N.; Schoenstein, F.; Mercone, S.; Farhat, S.; Villeroy, B.; Leridon, B.; Jouini, N. Spark-plasma-sintering magnetic field assisted compaction of Co₈₀Ni₂₀ nanowires for anisotropic ferromagnetic bulk materials. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2013**, *114*, 163907. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Chen, Y.-C.; Gregori, G.; Rheingans, B.; Huang, W.; Kronmüller, H.; Schütz, G.; Goering, E. Magnetic and microstructural properties of anisotropic MnBi magnets compacted by spark plasma sintering. *J. Alloy. Compd.* **2020**, *830*, 154605. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Neamțu, B.; Chicinaș, I.; Isnard, O.; Ciascai, I.; Chiriac, H.; Lostun, M. Magnetic properties of nanocrystalline Ni₃Fe compacts prepared by spark plasma sintering. *Intermetallics* **2013**, *35*, 98–103. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Munir, Z.A.; Anselmi-Tamburini, U.; Ohyanagi, M. The effect of electric field and pressure on the synthesis and consolidation of materials: A review of the spark plasma sintering method. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2006**, *41*, 763–777. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Singh, L.K.; Bhadauria, A.; Jana, S.; Laha, T. Effect of Sintering Temperature and Heating Rate on Crystallite Size, Densification Behaviour and Mechanical Properties of Al-MWCNT Nanocomposite Consolidated via Spark Plasma Sintering. *Acta Met. Sin. Engl. Lett.* **2018**, *31*, 1019–1030. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Mortazavi, B.; Pereira, L.F.C.; Jiang, J.-W.; Rabczuk, T. Modelling heat conduction in polycrystalline hexagonal boron-nitride films. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 13228. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Mortazavi, B.; Rabczuk, T. Multiscale modeling of heat conduction in graphene laminates. *Carbon* **2015**, *85*, 1–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Ogunbiyi, O.; Jamiru, T.; Sadiku, R.; Adesina, O.; Olajide, J.L.; Beneke, L. Optimization of spark plasma sintering parameters of inconel 738LC alloy using response surface methodology (RSM). *Int. J. Light. Mater. Manuf.* **2020**, *3*, 177–188. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Khajelakzay, M.; Bakhshi, S.R. Optimization of spark plasma sintering parameters of Si₃N₄-SiC composite using response surface methodology (RSM). *Ceram. Int.* **2017**, *43*, 6815–6821. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Ujah, C.O.; Popoola, A.P.I.; Popoola, O.M.; Aigbodion, V.S. Optimisation of spark plasma sintering parameters of Al-CNTs-Nb nano-composite using Taguchi Design of Experiment. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **2018**, *100*, 1563–1573. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Taguchi, G. *Engineering Methods to Optimise Quality and Minimise Costs*; Quality Resources: Milwaukee, WI, USA, 1987; pp. 1–85.
45. Radhakrishnan, B.; Nicholson, D.; Eisenbach, M.; Parish, C.; Ludtka, G.; Rios, O. Alignment of iron nanoparticles in a magnetic field due to shape anisotropy. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **2015**, *394*, 481–490. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Korent, M.; Komelj, M.; Šturm, S.; Rožman, K.Ž.; Kobe, S.; Soderžnik, K.Ž.; Soderžnik, M. Magnetic properties and microstructure evolution of hot-deformed Nd-Fe-B magnets produced by low-pressure spark-plasma sintering. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **2020**, *515*, 167279. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Bolarín-Miró, A.; Jesús, F.S.-D.; Cortés-Escobedo, C.; La Torre, S.D.-D.; Valenzuela, R. Synthesis of M-type SrFe₁₂O₁₉ by mechanosynthesis assisted by spark plasma sintering. *J. Alloy. Compd.* **2015**, *643*, S226–S230. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Sardjono, P.; Gulo, F.; Setiabudidaya, D. Effect of Sintering Temperature to Physical, Magnetic Properties and Crystal Structure on Permanent Magnet BaFe₁₂O₁₉ Prepared from Mill Scale. *IOP Conf. Series: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2017**, *214*, 12009.

49. An, S.; Zhang, T.; Jiang, C. Magnetic texture and coercivity of anisotropic nanocrystalline SmCo_{6.1}Si_{0.9} magnets. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2014**, *115*, 17. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Putri, N.A.; Fauzia, V.; Iwan, S.; Roza, L.; Umar, A.A.; Budi, S. Mn-doping-induced photocatalytic activity enhancement of ZnO nanorods prepared on glass substrates. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2018**, *439*, 285–297. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Sönmezoğlu, S.; Akman, E. Improvement of physical properties of ZnO thin films by tellurium doping. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2014**, *318*, 319–323. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Nagarjuna, C.; Dharmiah, P.; Kim, K.B.; Hong, S.-J. Grain refinement to improve thermoelectric and mechanical performance in n-type Bi₂Te_{2.7}Se_{0.3} alloys. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *256*, 123699. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Zhang, M.; Dai, J.; Liu, Q.; Li, Q.; Zi, Z. Fabrication and magnetic properties of hexagonal BaFe₁₂O₁₉ ferrite obtained by magnetic-field-assisted hydrothermal process. *Curr. Appl. Phys.* **2018**, *18*, 1426–1430.
54. Zlatkov, B.; Nikolić, M.; Aleksic, O.; Danninger, H.; Halwax, E. A study of magneto-crystalline alignment in sintered barium hexaferrite fabricated by powder injection molding. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **2009**, *321*, 330–335. [[CrossRef](#)]



Article Information Overview

| | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|
| Home (/user/myprofile) | Manuscript ID | materials-1196331 |
| Manage Accounts (/user/manage_accounts) | Status | Website online |
| Change Password (/user/chgpwd) | DOI | 10.3390/ma14102650 |
| Edit Profile (/user/edit) | Publication Certificate | Download Publication Certificate (PDF) (/publication/article/certificate/557291) |
| Logout (/user/logout) | Banner | Download Banner (PDF) (/publication/articler/banner/557291) |
| | Website Links | Abstract (https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/10/2650) , HTML version (https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/10/2650/html) , PDF version (https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/10/2650/pdf) , Manuscript (https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/10/2650/manuscript) |

Submissions Menu

| | | |
|--|---------------|---|
| Submit Manuscript (/user/manuscripts/upload) | Article type | Article |
| Display Submitted Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/status) | Title | Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type-Based Barium Hexaferrite BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ |
| Display Co-Authored Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/co-authored) | Journal | <i>Materials</i> (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/materials) |
| English Editing (/user/pre_english_article/status) | Volume | 14 |
| Discount Vouchers (/user/discount_voucher) | Issue | 10 |
| Invoices (/user/invoices) | Section | Advanced Nanomaterials and Nanotechnology (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/materials/sections/adv_nano) |
| LaTeX Word Count (/user/get/latex_word_count) | Special Issue | Advanced Nanoparticles for Ultrasonic and Magnetic Applications (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/materials/special_issues/Adv_Nanopart_Ultrason_Magn_Appl) |
| | Abstract | This paper introduces a new spark plasma sintering technique that is able to order crystalline anisotropy by in-series/in situ DC electric coupled magnetic field. The process control parameters have been investigated on the production of anisotropic BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ magnets based on resulted remanence (Mr). Sintering holding time (H.T.), cooling rate (C.R.), pressure (P), and sintering temperature (S.T.) are optimized by Taguchi with L9 orthogonal array (OA). The remanent magnetization of nanocrystalline BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ in parallel (Mr) and perpendicular (Mr [⊥]) to the applied magnetic field was regarded as a measure of performance. The Taguchi study calculated optimum process parameters, which significantly improved the sintering process based on the confirmation tests of BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ anisotropy. The magnetic properties in terms of Mr and Mr [⊥] were greatly affected by sintering temperature and pressure according to ANOVA results. In addition, regression models were developed for predicting the Mr as well as Mr [⊥] respectively. |

Reviewers Menu

| | | |
|--|----------|--|
| Volunteer Preferences (/volunteer_reviewer_info/view) | Keywords | spark plasma sintering; sintering parameters; remanence; optimization; magnet; magnetic properties; anisotropic magnet |
|--|----------|--|

research data drowns in supplementary files or remains private. Enhancing the transparency of the data processes will help to render scientific research results reproducible and thus more accountable. Co-submit your methodical data processing articles or data descriptors for a linked data set in *Data* (<https://www.mdpi.com/journal/data>) journal to make your data more citable and reliable.



- Deposit your data set in an online repository, obtain the DOI number or link to the deposited data set.
- Download and use the Microsoft Word template (<https://www.mdpi.com/files/word-templates/data-template.dot>) or LaTeX template (<https://www.mdpi.com/authors/latex>) to prepare your data article.
- Upload and send your data article to the *Data* (<https://www.mdpi.com/journal/data>) journal here (/user/manuscripts/upload?form%5Bjournal_id%5D=176&form%5Barticle_type_id%5D=47).

Submit To Data (/user/manuscripts/upload?form%5Bjournal_id%5D=176&form%5Barticle_type_id%5D=47)

Author Information

Submitting Author Thar Albarody

Corresponding Authors Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody, Susilawati Susilawati

Author #1 Haetham G. Mohammed (<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3213-2756>)

Affiliation 1. Department of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Petronas, Seri Iskandar 32610, Malaysia

E-Mail haetham_19000233@utp.edu.my

Author #2 Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody (<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3316-1962>)

Affiliation 1. Department of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Petronas, Seri Iskandar 32610, Malaysia

E-Mail dher.albarody@utp.edu.my

Author #3 Susilawati Susilawati (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8858-5282>)

Affiliation 2. Master of Science Education Program, University of Mataram, Mataram 83125, Indonesia
3. Physics Education, FKIP, University of Mataram, Mataram 83125, Indonesia

E-Mail susilawatihambali@unram.ac.id

Author #4 Soheil Gohari (<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2165-448X>)

Affiliation 4. Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

E-Mail soheil.gohari@unimelb.edu.au

Author #5 Aris Doyan
Affiliation 2. Master of Science Education Program, University of Mataram, Mataram 83125, Indonesia
3. Physics Education, FKIP, University of Mataram, Mataram 83125, Indonesia
E-Mail aris_doyan@unram.ac.id

Author #6 Saiful Prayogi
Affiliation 5. Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering, Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, Mataram 83126, Indonesia
E-Mail saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id

Author #7 Muhammad Roil Bilad ([ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7292-6046](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7292-6046))
Affiliation 5. Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering, Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, Mataram 83126, Indonesia
E-Mail muhammadroilbilad@ikipmataram.ac.id

Author #8 Reza Alebrahim
Affiliation 6. Industrial Engineering Department, University of Padova, 35131 Padova, Italy
E-Mail reza.alebrahim@unipd.it

Author #9 Anwar Ameen Hezam Saeed ([ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0728-7676](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0728-7676))
Affiliation 7. Department of Chemical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Petronas, Seri Iskandar 32610, Malaysia
E-Mail anwar_17006829@utp.edu.my



Manuscript Information

Received Date 8 April 2021

Revised Date 7 May 2021

Accepted Date 10 May 2021

Published Date 18 May 2021

Submission to First Decision (Days) 31

Submission to Publication (Days) 39

Round of Revision 2

Size of PDF 1117 KiB

Word Count 5563

Page Count 20



Decision Accept in current form
Decision Date 10 May 2021

Review Report

Reviewer 1 Review Report (Round 1) (</user/manuscripts/review/17466931?report=11603350>)
Review Report (Round 2) (</user/manuscripts/review/17466931?report=11937735>)

Reviewer 2 Review Report (Round 1) (</user/manuscripts/review/17474895?report=11610726>)
Review Report (Round 2) (</user/manuscripts/review/17474895?report=11937733>)

Reviewer 3 Review Report (Round 1) (</user/manuscripts/review/17532723?report=11659920>)

APC information

Journal APC: 2,000.00 CHF
IOAP Participant: University of Melbourne
IOAP Payment: Non-central: Invoiced to author
Author Eligible Central: No
IOAP Discount: 10%
Total Payment Amount: 1,800.00 CHF

Previously Published Papers

Susilawati, S.; Prayogi, S.; Arif, M.F.; Ismail, N.M.; Bilad, M.R.; Asy'ari, M. Optical Properties and Conductivity of PVA–H₃PO₄ (Polyvinyl Alcohol–Phosphoric Acid) Film Blend Irradiated by γ -Rays. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 1065. doi: 10.3390/polym13071065 (<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13071065>)

Related Papers Published in MDPI Journals

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact materials@mdpi.com (mailto:materials@mdpi.com).

**▼ User Menu**

| | | |
|---|-----------------|--|
| Home (/user/myprofile) | Journal | Materials (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/materials) (ISSN 1996-1944) |
| Manage Accounts (/user/manage_accounts) | Manuscript ID | materials-1196331 |
| Change Password (/user/chgpwd) | Type | Article |
| Edit Profile (/user/edit) | Number of Pages | 20 |
| Logout (/user/logout) | Title | Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type-Based Barium hexaferrite BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ (https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/10/2650) |
| | Authors | Haetham G. Mohammed , Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody * , Susilawati Susilawati * , Soheil Gohari , Aris Doyan , Saiful Prayogi , Muhammad Roil Bilad , Reza Alebrahim , Anwar Ameen Hezam Saeed |

▼ Submissions Menu

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Submit Manuscript (/user/manuscripts/upload) | Abstract | This paper introduces new spark plasma sintering technique able order crystalline anisotropy by in-series/in-situ DC electric coupled magnetic field. The process control parameters have been investigated on the production of anisotropic BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ Magnets based on resulted remanence (Mr). Sintering holding time (H.T), cooling rate (C.R), pressure (P), and sintering temperature (S.T) are optimized by Taguchi with L9 orthogonal array (OA). The remanent magnetization of nanocrystalline BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ in parallel (Mr) and perpendicular (Mr _⊥) to the applied magnetic field was regarded as a measure of performance. The Taguchi study calculated optimum process parameters, which significantly improved the sintering process, based on the confirmation tests of BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ anisotropy. The magnetic properties in terms of Mr and Mr _⊥ were greatly affected by sintering temperature and pressure, according to ANOVA results, as well as regression models were developed for predicting the Mr as well as Mr _⊥ respectively. |
| Display Submitted Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/status) | | |
| Display Co-Authored Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/co-authored) | | |
| English Editing (/user/pre_english_article/status) | | The coverletter for this review report has been saved in the database. You can safely close this window. |
| Discount Vouchers (/user/discount_voucher) | | |
| Invoices (/user/invoices) | Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 1) | |
| LaTeX Word Count (/user/get/latex_word_count) | Author's Notes | Dear Reviewer 1 We are very thankful for your positive reviews, comments, suggestions, and time spent reviewing this article (materials-1196331). |

▼ Reviewers Menu

| | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|
| Volunteer Preferences (/volunteer_reviewer_info/view) | Review Report Form | |
| | English | () Extensive editing of English language and style required |

language
and style

- Moderate English changes required
 English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
 I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style



| | Yes | Can be improved | Must be improved | Not applicable |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Is the research design appropriate? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the methods adequately described? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the results clearly presented? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the conclusions supported by the results? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments and Suggestions for Authors Authors addressed the comments.

Submission Date 08 April 2021

Date of this review 05 May 2021 11:46:49



▼ User Menu

| | | |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Home (/user/myprofile) | Journal | Materials (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/materials) (ISSN 1996-1944) |
| Manage Accounts (/user/manage_accounts) | Manuscript ID | materials-1196331 |
| Change Password (/user/chgpwd) | Type | Article |
| Edit Profile (/user/edit) | Number of Pages | 20 |
| Logout (/user/logout) | Title | Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type-Based Barium hexaferrite BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ (https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/10/2650) |
| | Authors | Haetham G. Mohammed , Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody * , Susilawati Susilawati * , Soheil Gohari , Aris Doyan , Saiful Prayogi , Muhammad Roil Bilad , Reza Alebrahim , Anwar Ameen Hezam Saeed |

▼ Submissions Menu

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Submit Manuscript (/user/manuscripts/upload) | Abstract | This paper introduces new spark plasma sintering technique able order crystalline anisotropy by in-series/in-situ DC electric coupled magnetic field. The process control parameters have been investigated on the production of anisotropic BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ Magnets based on resulted remanence (Mr). Sintering holding time (H.T), cooling rate (C.R), pressure (P), and sintering temperature (S.T) are optimized by Taguchi with L9 orthogonal array (OA). The remanent magnetization of nanocrystalline BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ in parallel (Mr) and perpendicular (Mr _⊥) to the applied magnetic field was regarded as a measure of performance. The Taguchi study calculated optimum process parameters, which significantly improved the sintering process, based on the confirmation tests of BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ anisotropy. The magnetic properties in terms of Mr and Mr _⊥ were greatly affected by sintering temperature and pressure, according to ANOVA results, as well as regression models were developed for predicting the Mr as well as Mr _⊥ respectively. |
| Display Submitted Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/status) | | |
| Display Co-Authored Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/co-authored) | | |
| English Editing (/user/pre_english_article/status) | | The coverletter for this review report has been saved in the database. You can safely close this window. |
| Discount Vouchers (/user/discount_voucher) | | |
| Invoices (/user/invoices) | Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 2) | |
| LaTeX Word Count (/user/get/latex_word_count) | Author's Notes | Response to Reviewer 2. Title of Paper: Process Optimization of In-Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type Based Barium hexaferrite BaFe₁₂O₁₉ |

▼ Reviewers Menu

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Volunteer Preferences (/volunteer_reviewer_info/view) | | Materials Manuscript Number: 1196331. Authors: Haetham G Mohammed, Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody, *, Susilawati Susilawati, Soheil Gohari, Aris Doyan, Saiful Prayogi, Muhammad Roil Bilad, Reza |
|--|--|--|



We are very thankful for your positive reviews, comments, suggestions, and time spent reviewing this article (materials-1196331). Below we discuss all issues raised by you. Below, we list the reviewer's comments followed by our responses. The changes are highlighted in the track changes in the revised manuscript:

Response to Comments from Reviewer 2:

| No. | Comment | Response / Correction made | Location |
|-----|--|--|--------------------|
| 1. | Please, check the text in lines 70-79. | <p>Thank you for your comment.</p> <p>The texts have been checked and modified on the revised manuscript accordingly.</p> | -Line 70-79 |
| 2. | Table2: check the sintering temperature. | <p>Thank you for your positive feedback. It is really important for us.</p> <p>-The sintering temperatures have been checked and modified</p> | -Table 2 |
| 3. | Tables 2, 3, and 4 duplicate each other. | <p>Thank you for this important comment Accordingly, the suggested comments have been added to the revised manuscript</p> <p>-Table 2 presents the randomly given parameters, and these parameters have been ordered and presented in Table 3 based on the DOE to be in resulted matrix by using L9 OA.</p> <p>The repeated data for the input in Figure 4 has been deleted based on your comments</p> | -Tables 2, 3 and 4 |



| | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------|
| 4 | Please, check the significant figures in the numbers along all the research and use a confidence interval for the mean instead of a single number for the | The significant figures in the numbers have been checked in the whole manuscript based on your comments | -Whole manuscript |
| 5 | 7: check the punctuation marks in the figure captions and correct (1011) in fig.7a. | Thank you for your positive feedback, -The punctuation marks have been checked -The (1011) peak has been modified based on your suggestion | Figure 7 |

Author's Notes File [Report Notes \(/user/review/displayFile/17474895/gxE2bdVU?file=author-coverletter&report=11937733\)](/user/review/displayFile/17474895/gxE2bdVU?file=author-coverletter&report=11937733)

Review Report Form

- English language and style
- Extensive editing of English language and style required
 - Moderate English changes required
 - English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
 - I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

| | Yes | Can be improved | Must be improved | Not applicable |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Is the research design appropriate? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the methods adequately described? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the results clearly presented? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the conclusions supported by the results? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

The manuscript has been greatly improved. There are still some inconsistencies in the manuscript.

Please, check the text in lines 70-79.

Table2: check the sintering temperature.

Tables 2, 3, and 4 duplicate each other.

Please, check the significant figures in the numbers along all the research and use a confidence interval for the mean instead of a single number for the



7: check the punctuation marks in the figure captions and correct (1011) in fig.7a.

| | |
|---------------------|----------------------|
| Submission Date | 08 April 2021 |
| Date of this review | 01 May 2021 19:03:24 |

© 1996-2021 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Disclaimer **Terms and Conditions**
(<https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-and-conditions>)
Privacy Policy (<https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy>)

**▼ User Menu**

| | | |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Home (/user/myprofile) | Journal | Materials (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/materials) (ISSN 1996-1944) |
| Manage Accounts (/user/manage_accounts) | Manuscript ID | materials-1196331 |
| Change Password (/user/chgpwd) | Type | Article |
| Edit Profile (/user/edit) | Number of Pages | 20 |
| Logout (/user/logout) | Title | Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type-Based Barium hexaferrite BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ (https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/10/2650) |
| | Authors | Haetham G. Mohammed , Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody * , Susilawati Susilawati * , Soheil Gohari , Aris Doyan , Saiful Prayogi , Muhammad Roil Bilad , Reza Alebrahim , Anwar Ameen Hezam Saeed |

▼ Submissions Menu

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Submit Manuscript (/user/manuscripts/upload) | Abstract | This paper introduces new spark plasma sintering technique able order crystalline anisotropy by in-series/in-situ DC electric coupled magnetic field. The process control parameters have been investigated on the production of anisotropic BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ Magnets based on resulted remanence (Mr). Sintering holding time (H.T), cooling rate (C.R), pressure (P), and sintering temperature (S.T) are optimized by Taguchi with L9 orthogonal array (OA). The remanent magnetization of nanocrystalline BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ in parallel (Mr) and perpendicular (Mr _⊥) to the applied magnetic field was regarded as a measure of performance. The Taguchi study calculated optimum process parameters, which significantly improved the sintering process, based on the confirmation tests of BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ anisotropy. The magnetic properties in terms of Mr and Mr _⊥ were greatly affected by sintering temperature and pressure, according to ANOVA results, as well as regression models were developed for predicting the Mr as well as Mr _⊥ respectively. |
| Display Submitted Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/status) | | |
| Display Co-Authored Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/co-authored) | | |
| English Editing (/user/pre_english_article/status) | | The coverletter for this review report has been saved in the database. You can safely close this window. |
| Discount Vouchers (/user/discount_voucher) | | |
| Invoices (/user/invoices) | Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 2) | |
| LaTeX Word Count (/user/get/latex_word_count) | Author's Notes | Response to Reviewer 2. Title of Paper: Process Optimization of In-Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type Based Barium hexaferrite BaFe₁₂O₁₉ |

▼ Reviewers Menu

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Volunteer Preferences (/volunteer_reviewer_info/view) | | Materials Manuscript Number: 1196331. Authors: Haetham G Mohammed, Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody, *, Susilawati Susilawati, Soheil Gohari, Aris Doyan, Saiful Prayogi, Muhammad Roil Bilad, Reza |
|--|--|--|



We are very thankful for your positive reviews, comments, suggestions, and time spent reviewing this article (materials-1196331). Below we discuss all issues raised by you. Below, we list the reviewer's comments followed by our responses. The changes are highlighted in the track changes in the revised manuscript:

| Response to Comments from Reviewer 2: | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------|
| No. | Comment | Response / Correction made | Location |
| 1. | Extensive editing of English language and style of the paper required. | Thank you for your comment. The language has been checked on the whole manuscript accordingly. | -whole manuscript |
| 2. | Title is not clear. | Thank you for your positive feedback. It is really important for us. -the title has been modified | -Title |

The introduction describes in details (with tables and pictures) some other research groups results about using of spark plasma sintering for obtaining another materials but doesn't define the problem in the context of a larger field. It is unclear what have done to move forward on the problem, what

3. the study will contribute to the body of knowledge. In other words, the intro doesn't provide a sound basis and sufficient background for the research and has to be improved. The authors failed to clearly explain the novelty this work. Besides, the intro has the errors (45 line, for example).

Thank you for this important comment

Accordingly, the suggested comments have been added to the revised manuscript

-The introduction and the problem statement have been re-written based on your comments, and some related literature has been added accordingly.

-
Introduction

-line (34-126)

-problem statement (116-120)- and it's related to (54-80)



| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | <p>Material and Methods were insufficiently described. It is unclear what is source of BaFe₁₂O₁₉ particles? The samples are not characterized.</p> | <p>The required information has been added to the manuscript.</p> | <p>Methodology</p> |
| 4 | <p>What physical methods and equipment (XRD, a measurement of magnetic parameters and others) were used?</p> | <p>The study focused on the optimization of the process parameters based on remanent magnetization and was supported by XRD for calculating the Texture and the relative difference of the intensities of the peaks indicating the anisotropic behavior.</p> | <p>Line (129-131), (161-175), and (176-186)</p> |
| | <p>-The experiments were probably performed correctly, although the description of important details of experimental conditions is insufficient.</p> | | <p>Results</p> |
| 5 | <p>The presentation of results is insufficient too and it isn't in line with the journal requirements. The tables and figures duplicate each other</p> | <p>Thank you for your positive feedback, Many information has been added to the results section. -The figures have been presented in another way.</p> | <p>Line (223-264) Line (314-375) Figure 4 and figure 7</p> |
| 6 | <p>Statistical information is missing along with all the research</p> | <p>Thank you for this comment. The sentence has been reformulated as: The manuscript has been modified with statistical analysis</p> | <p>-</p> |

The discussion of the results is poor. Besides, any comparison of the obtained data with the literature is lack. The discussion section should be improved. An improvement of the discussion is needed also in relation to similar or analogous results from literature and the explanation of possible differences.

6

Thank you for your comment

The results have been compared with the literature and the comparison section has been added

Results -Section 6

Author's Notes File Report Notes (/user/review/displayFile/17474895/gxE2bdVU?file=author-coverletter&report=11610726)

Review Report Form

- English language and style
- Extensive editing of English language and style required
 - Moderate English changes required
 - English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
 - I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

| | Yes | Can be improved | Must be improved | Not applicable |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Is the research design appropriate? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the methods adequately described? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the results clearly presented? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the conclusions supported by the results? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

This manuscript assesses the spark plasma sintering technique for the production of anisotropic BaFe₁₂O₁₉ magnets. This is poorly written report which could be only useful as a starting point for a valid research manuscript. The writing is too unclear to understand the meaning. In my opinion, in its current form manuscript is unpublshable. In my opinion, authors should



familiarize authors should familiarize themselves with the journal style and format the article to release how to prepare a manuscript for international journals.

I see the following problems with this manuscript.

Extensive editing of English language and style of the paper required.

Title is not clear.

The introduction describes in details (with tables and pictures) some other research groups results about using of spark plasma sintering for obtaining another materials but doesn't define the problem in the context of a larger field. It is unclear what have done to move forward on the problem, what the study will contribute to the body of knowledge. In other words, the intro doesn't provide a sound basis and sufficient background for the research and has to be improved. The authors failed to clearly explain the novelty this work. Besides, the intro has the errors (45 line, for example).

Material and Methods were insufficiently described. It is unclear what is source of $\text{BaFe}_{12}\text{O}_{19}$ particles? The samples are not characterized. What physical methods and equipment (XRD, a measurement of magnetic parameters and others) were used?

The experiments were probably performed correctly, although the description of important details of experimental conditions is insufficient.

The presentation of results is insufficient too and it isn't in line with the journal requirements. The tables and figures duplicate each other.

Statistical information is missing along all the research.

The discussion of the results is poor. Besides, any comparison of the obtained data with the literature is lack. The discussion section should be improved. An improvement of the discussion is needed also in relation to similar or analogous results from literature and the explanation of possible differences.

| | |
|---------------------|----------------------|
| Submission Date | 08 April 2021 |
| Date of this review | 17 Apr 2021 13:05:14 |



**▼ User Menu**

| | | |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Home (/user/myprofile) | Journal | Materials (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/materials) (ISSN 1996-1944) |
| Manage Accounts (/user/manage_accounts) | Manuscript ID | materials-1196331 |
| Change Password (/user/chgpwd) | Type | Article |
| Edit Profile (/user/edit) | Number of Pages | 20 |
| Logout (/user/logout) | Title | Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type-Based Barium hexaferrite BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ (https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/10/2650) |
| | Authors | Haetham G. Mohammed , Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody * , Susilawati Susilawati * , Soheil Gohari , Aris Doyan , Saiful Prayogi , Muhammad Roil Bilad , Reza Alebrahim , Anwar Ameen Hezam Saeed |

▼ Submissions Menu

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Submit Manuscript (/user/manuscripts/upload) | Abstract | This paper introduces new spark plasma sintering technique able order crystalline anisotropy by in-series/in-situ DC electric coupled magnetic field. The process control parameters have been investigated on the production of anisotropic BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ Magnets based on resulted remanence (Mr). Sintering holding time (H.T), cooling rate (C.R), pressure (P), and sintering temperature (S.T) are optimized by Taguchi with L9 orthogonal array (OA). The remanent magnetization of nanocrystalline BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ in parallel (Mr) and perpendicular (Mr _⊥) to the applied magnetic field was regarded as a measure of performance. The Taguchi study calculated optimum process parameters, which significantly improved the sintering process, based on the confirmation tests of BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ anisotropy. The magnetic properties in terms of Mr and Mr _⊥ were greatly affected by sintering temperature and pressure, according to ANOVA results, as well as regression models were developed for predicting the Mr as well as Mr _⊥ respectively. |
| Display Submitted Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/status) | | |
| Display Co-Authored Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/co-authored) | | |
| English Editing (/user/pre_english_article/status) | | The coverletter for this review report has been saved in the database. You can safely close this window. |
| Discount Vouchers (/user/discount_voucher) | | |
| Invoices (/user/invoices) | Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 3) | |
| LaTeX Word Count (/user/get/latex_word_count) | Author's Notes | Response to Reviewer 3. Title of Paper: Process Optimization of In-Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type Based Barium hexaferrite BaFe₁₂O₁₉ |

▼ Reviewers Menu

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Volunteer Preferences (/volunteer_reviewer_info/view) | | Materials Manuscript Number: 1196331. Authors: Haetham G Mohammed, Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody, *, Susilawati Susilawati, Soheil Gohari, Aris Doyan, Saiful Prayogi, Muhammad Roil Bilad, Reza |
|--|--|--|



We are very thankful for your positive reviews, comments, suggestions, and time spent reviewing this article (materials-1196331). Below we discuss all issues raised by you. Below, we list the reviewer's comments followed by our responses. The changes are highlighted in the track changes in the revised manuscript:

Response to Comments from Reviewer 3:

| No. | Comment | Response / Correction made | Location |
|-----|---|---|--|
| 1. | <p>This method is interesting as a methodology for optimizing the parameter of SPS, but since the authors don't consider the scientific argument why some parameters have effect on the properties, we don't know the intrinsic contribution to the properties.</p> | <p>Thank you for your comment.</p> <p>A scientific argument has been added to the results section based on your comments</p> | <p>Results</p> <p>Line (224-267)</p> |
| 2. | <p>In Fig. 7, we can see the amorphous phase, hence the starting powder must include the amorphous phase.</p> | <p>Thank you for your positive feedback. It is really important for us.</p> <p>-The figure of starting powder has been added and the amorphous phase has been explained in the manuscript</p> | <p>-Result</p> <p>XRD section</p> <p>-Figure 7</p> |



| | | | |
|----|--|---|------------|
| 3. | The reviewer would like for the authors to show the effect of magnetic field by comparing with SPS without magnetic field. | Thank you for this important comment Accordingly, the suggested comments have been added to the revised manuscript - the section of comparison has been added based on your comments | -Section 6 |
| 4 | Please check the significant digit of the number in the whole paper. | The required information has been added to the manuscript. The digit of the number has been checked | |

Author's Notes File [Report Notes \(/user/review/displayFile/17532723/5xNhuYZo?file=author-coverletter&report=11659920\)](/user/review/displayFile/17532723/5xNhuYZo?file=author-coverletter&report=11659920)

Review Report Form

- English language and style
- Extensive editing of English language and style required
 - Moderate English changes required
 - English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
 - I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

| | Yes | Can be improved | Must be improved | Not applicable |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Is the research design appropriate? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the methods adequately described? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the results clearly presented? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the conclusions supported by the results? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

This method is interesting as a methodology for optimizing the parameter of SPS, but since authors don't consider the scientific argument why some parameters have effect on the properties, we don't know the intrinsic contribution to the properties.

In Fig. 7, we can see the amorphous phase, hence the starting powder must include the amorphous phase. Magnetic properties of the starting powder influence MASPS, so the authors should

characterize the starting powder and indicate the feature of powder.



The reviewer would like for the authors to show the effect of magnetic field by comparing with SPS without magnetic field.

Please check the significant digit of the number in whole paper.

| | |
|---------------------|----------------------|
| Submission Date | 08 April 2021 |
| Date of this review | 22 Apr 2021 15:43:12 |



▼ User Menu

| | | |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Home (/user/myprofile) | Journal | Materials (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/materials) (ISSN 1996-1944) |
| Manage Accounts (/user/manage_accounts) | Manuscript ID | materials-1196331 |
| Change Password (/user/chgpwd) | Type | Article |
| Edit Profile (/user/edit) | Number of Pages | 20 |
| Logout (/user/logout) | Title | Process Optimization of In Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type-Based Barium hexaferrite BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ (https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/14/10/2650) |
| | Authors | Haetham G. Mohammed , Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody * , Susilawati Susilawati * , Soheil Gohari , Aris Doyan , Saiful Prayogi , Muhammad Roil Bilad , Reza Alebrahim , Anwar Ameen Hezam Saeed |

▼ Submissions Menu

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Submit Manuscript (/user/manuscripts/upload) | Abstract | This paper introduces new spark plasma sintering technique able order crystalline anisotropy by in-series/in-situ DC electric coupled magnetic field. The process control parameters have been investigated on the production of anisotropic BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ Magnets based on resulted remanence (Mr). Sintering holding time (H.T), cooling rate (C.R), pressure (P), and sintering temperature (S.T) are optimized by Taguchi with L9 orthogonal array (OA). The remanent magnetization of nanocrystalline BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ in parallel (Mr) and perpendicular (Mr _⊥) to the applied magnetic field was regarded as a measure of performance. The Taguchi study calculated optimum process parameters, which significantly improved the sintering process, based on the confirmation tests of BaFe ₁₂ O ₁₉ anisotropy. The magnetic properties in terms of Mr and Mr _⊥ were greatly affected by sintering temperature and pressure, according to ANOVA results, as well as regression models were developed for predicting the Mr as well as Mr _⊥ respectively. |
| Display Submitted Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/status) | | |
| Display Co-Authored Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/co-authored) | | |
| English Editing (/user/pre_english_article/status) | | The coverletter for this review report has been saved in the database. You can safely close this window. |
| Discount Vouchers (/user/discount_voucher) | | |
| Invoices (/user/invoices) | Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 1) | |
| LaTeX Word Count (/user/get/latex_word_count) | Author's Notes | Response to Reviewer 1. Title of Paper: Process Optimization of In-Situ Magnetic-Anisotropy Spark Plasma Sintering of M-Type Based Barium hexaferrite BaFe₁₂O₁₉. |

▼ Reviewers Menu

| | |
|--|--|
| Volunteer Preferences (/volunteer_reviewer_info/view) | Materials Manuscript Number: 1196331. Authors: Haetham G Mohammed, Thar Mohammed Badri Albarody, *, Susilawati Susilawati, Soheil Gohari, Aris Dovan. Saiful Pravooi. Muhammad Roil Bilad. Reza |
|--|--|



We are very thankful for your positive reviews, comments, suggestions, and time spent reviewing this article (materials-1196331). Below we discuss all issues raised by you. Below, we list the reviewer's comments followed by our responses. The changes are highlighted in the track changes in the revised manuscript:

Response to Comments from Reviewer 1:

| No. | Comment | Response / Correction made | Location |
|-----|--|---|--|
| 1. | Before reviewing the present manuscript as a scientific paper, some work is needed in the text (grammar, syntax) but mostly in the semantic flow of the text. Especially in the first pages, I did not understand what the authors have in mind and what they are trying to communicate to their readers | Thank you for your comment. The manuscript was modified based on your comments. | - Introduction and whole manuscript |
| 2. | Some of the introduction maybe is not needed at all while other subjects like the Taguchi method are not well explained. | Thank you for your positive feedback. It is really important for us. - Based on your comment, the unwanted information has been removed, and the Taguchi method has been thoroughly clarified. | - Introduction -Line 95-114 |

| | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| | From the conclusions, I guess that the purpose of the work is to determine optimum experimental parameters for SPS processing of Ba M-type ferrite. This is first | Thank you for this important comment Accordingly, the suggested comments have been added to the revised manuscript | - |
| 3. | mentioned in lines 109-112. So, the introduction must describe the system, the SPS process, and emphasize the optimization study technique (Taguchi method), table 1 and Fig. 1 for example look irrelevant. | The introduction is modified based on your comments. Table 1 is removed, and the Introduction modified in sequence to cover all contents | Introduction -Line (85-93) |
| 4 | What kind of samples were produced? | Bulk samples were produced, and this was mentioned in the manuscript. | - Methodology Line 177-188 |
| 5 | How were Mr// and Mr perpendicular determined? How was the analysis performed? | Thank you for your positive feedback, The required information was added to the manuscript | Methodology Section (sample preparation and measurement) -line 176 |
| 6 | What is the meaning of Taguchi's S/N ratio? | Thank you for this comment. The meaning of the S/N ratio was explained in the manuscript. | -Results Line (206-211) |



Review Report Form

- English language and style
- Extensive editing of English language and style required
 - Moderate English changes required
 - English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
 - I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

| | Yes | Can be improved | Must be improved | Not applicable |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Is the research design appropriate? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the methods adequately described? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the results clearly presented? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the conclusions supported by the results? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Before reviewing the present manuscript as a scientific paper, some work is needed in the text (grammar, syntax) but mostly in the semantic flow of the text. Especially in the first pages, I did not understand what the authors have in mind and what they are trying to communicate to their readers. I am confused, some of the introduction maybe is not needed at all while other subjects like the Taguchi method are not well explained. From the conclusions, I guess that the purpose of the work is to determine optimum experimental parameters for SPS processing of Ba M-type ferrite. This is first mentioned in lines 109-112. So, the introduction must describe the system, the SPS process and emphasize on the optimization study technique (Taguchi method), table 1 and Fig. 1 for example look irrelevant. What kind of samples were produced? How were Mr// and Mr perpendicular determined? How was the analysis performed? What is the meaning of Taguchi's S/N ratio? The scientific work looks really interesting, and I would like to read it, but the presentation must be organized first.

Submission Date 08 April 2021

Date of this review 20 Apr 2021 21:21:04