



Document1 - Microsoft Word

[ESJ] Editor Decision (Article #20: x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?tab=rm&ogbl#inbox/FMfcgZGqPpjZcdvXwDgMdLhKfcmDZCZB

Telusuri email

33 dari 321

Version.

Regards,
Editor: Dr. Omid A. Yamini
Editor@journalse.org

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer #1:

The topic is interesting and important. However, there are several key areas that need more work prior to publication. I have summarized the required changes in the hope that the feedback will be useful to you as you update the paper. I am not able to consider your manuscript for publication at the present time, but I hope you will consider the feedback provided by the following suggestions to revise your manuscript and re-submit.

- 1- The authors should ask the help of native English speaking proof reader, because there are some typo and linguistic mistakes that should be fixed.
- 2- Abstract to modify: the abstract should contain Objectives, Methods/Analysis, Findings, and Novelty /Improvement. It is suggested to present the abstract in one 200 words paragraph.

document (1).pdf document (1).pdf JESTP_1645_Waya...pdf Show all

10:26 PM 10/30/2022

Document1 - Microsoft Word

[ESJ] Editor Decision (Article #20: x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?tab=rm&ogbl#inbox/FMfcgZGqPpjZcdvXwDgMdLhKfcmDZCZB

Telusuri email

33 dari 321

2- Abstract to modify: the abstract should contain Objectives, Methods/Analysis, Findings, and Novelty /Improvement. It is suggested to present the abstract in one 200 words paragraph.

- 3- A flowchart should be added to the article to show the research methodology.
- 4- It is suggested to present some of the results of the study as chart.
- 5- The questionnaire should be presented in the appendix of the article.
- 6- It is suggested that the conclusion section be much better organized. This section should be presented in one or two 250-300 word paragraphs.
- 7- The citation of references in the text doesn't follow the format request by the Journal. References must be numbered in order.
- 8- It is important to add "Funding", "Author Contributions", "Data Availability", "Informed Consent Statement" and "Conflict of Interest" statements at the end of the article.

Reviewer #4:

- At this stage, the manuscript English language must not bear any error.
- The abstract could become much better if re-written to state clearly the contribution of this study to the field as well as the gap this study intends to address in the field.
- Methods section determines the results. Kindly focus on three basic elements of the methods section

document (1).pdf document (1).pdf JESTP_1645_Waya...pdf Show all

10:27 PM 10/30/2022

Document1 - Microsoft Word

[ESJ] Editor Decision (Article #20: x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?tab=rm&ogbl#inbox/FMfcgzGqPpjZcdvxxwDgMdLhKfcmDZCZB

Gmail

Telusuri email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 178

Berbintang

Ditunda

Ter kirim

Draf 4

Selengkap nya

Label +

intends to address in the field

- Methods section determines the results. Kindly focus on three basic elements of the methods section.
 - How the study was designed?
 - How the study was carried out?
 - How the data were analyzed?
- Some key parameters are not mentioned. The rationale on the choice of the particular set of parameters should be explained with more details. Have the authors experimented with other sets of values? What are the sensitivities of these parameters on the results?
- Conclusion:
 - The conclusion section is currently a repeat or rehash of the preceding sections, and needs to be re-written to improve it, keeping in mind the following suggestions.
 - Update the conclusion to include the newly formulated theoretical contributions
 - Mention the limitations of the study and prospects for future research.
 - Summarize the key results in a compact form and re-emphasize their significance.
 - Summarize how the article contributes to new knowledge in the domain.
 - Provide suggestions for future studies.
 - This conclusion could be worded in a manner as to emphatically motivate the academic community to get down to actionable, practical engaged

document (1).pdf document (1).pdf JESTP_1645_Waya...pdf Show all

10:28 PM 10/30/2022

Document1 - Microsoft Word

[ESJ] Editor Decision (Article #20: x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?tab=rm&ogbl#inbox/FMfcgzGqPpjZcdvxxwDgMdLhKfcmDZCZB

Gmail

Telusuri email

Tulis

Kotak Masuk 178

Berbintang

Ditunda

Ter kirim

Draf 4

Selengkap nya

Label +

Technical Editor Comments:

- 1- If one of the referees has suggested that your manuscript should undergo English revisions, please address this issue during revision. We propose that you use one of the editing services listed at <https://www.uhera.org/language-editing-services/> or have your manuscript checked by a native English-speaking colleague.
- 2- A similarity has been detected in the present manuscript. I would ask you to re-paraphrase all sentences or paragraphs which have been copied from other resources or previous published articles (e.g. The paragraphs above tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 have plagiarism that should be modified).

- You can use "iThenticate Plagiarism Check" service to control this issue. We propose that you use this service at <https://www.uhera.org/additional-services/> or other similar websites.

Emerging Science Journal
<http://ijournalse.org/index.php/ESJ>

document (1).pdf document (1).pdf JESTP_1645_Waya...pdf Show all

10:28 PM 10/30/2022