



Turnitin Originality Report

Foliar Application of Liquid-Silicate Rock Fertilizer Counteracts the Suppressing Effects of Saline Soils on Soybeans: A Glasshouse Assessment by Joko Priyono

From Liquid-Silicate Rock Fertilizer Counteracts the Suppressing Effects of Saline Soils of Soybeans: A Glasshouse Assessment (joko-publication 23)

Similarity Index 17%	Similarity by Source	
	Internet Sources:	15%
	Publications:	11%
	Student Papers:	3%

Processed on 16-Mar-2023 01:56 CDT
ID: 2038396095
Word Count: 3678

sources:

- 1

 2% match (Internet from 19-Oct-2022)
<http://eprints.unram.ac.id/30293/6/Turnitin-int%20journal%20seed%20coating.pdf>

- 2

 2% match (Internet from 04-Oct-2022)
[https://arpgweb.com/pdf-files/jac6\(5\)68-72.pdf](https://arpgweb.com/pdf-files/jac6(5)68-72.pdf)

- 3

 2% match ("Research Developments in Saline Agriculture", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019)
["Research Developments in Saline Agriculture", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019](#)

- 4

 1% match (Internet from 19-Oct-2022)
<http://eprints.unram.ac.id/29162/3/Lamp.%20III.A.b.1.1.pdf>

- 5

 1% match (Internet from 28-Feb-2023)
[https://arpgweb.com/pdf-files/jac9\(1\)137-140.pdf](https://arpgweb.com/pdf-files/jac9(1)137-140.pdf)

- 6

 1% match (Internet from 17-Feb-2023)
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329349514_Growth_of_cactus_pear_cv_Miuda_under_different_salinity_levels_and_irrigation_frequencie

- 7

 1% match (Internet from 22-Nov-2021)
<https://www.slideshare.net/jacjac20/factors-influencing-adoption-of-improved-seed-among-wheat-producing-smallholder-farmers-in-west-gojjam-zone-of-amahara-region-ethiopia>

- 8

 1% match (Internet from 24-Oct-2022)
<http://eprints.upnyk.ac.id/23270/1/Proceeding%20ICGAI%204%202019.pdf>

- 9

 1% match (Internet from 05-Oct-2022)
<https://www.arpgweb.com/pdf-files/44162-JAC-018.pdf>

- 10

 1% match (Internet from 26-Oct-2022)
<https://journal.unilak.ac.id/index.php/jip/citationstylelanguage/download/ris?submissionId=260>

11

1% match (Internet from 21-Sep-2022)

https://dspace.lib.cranfield.ac.uk/bitstream/handle/1826/17885/UNAGWU_B_2017.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=1

12

1% match (Internet from 25-Jan-2021)

<http://article.sciencepublishinggroup.com/pdf/10.11648.j.ijaas.20200602.11.pdf>

13

< 1% match (Internet from 11-Feb-2023)

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343362698_Effect_of_Micronutrients_on_growth_and_total_yield_of_onion_Allium_cep_a_L

14

< 1% match (Internet from 14-Oct-2022)

<https://www.slideshare.net/jacjac20/effect-of-plant-spacing-on-the-growth-and-yield-of-rainfed-rice-oryza-sativa-in-the-bimodal-rain-forest-zone-of-cameroon>

15

< 1% match (Internet from 06-Jun-2020)

https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/bitstream/handle/10919/80944/Diatta_AA_T_2016.pdf?sequence=1

16

< 1% match (M. E. Joubert, J. Wooldridge, M. Booyse. " TISSUE ELEMENT CONCENTRATIONS AND MINERAL REMOVAL RATES IN HONEYBUSH TEA I: ", Journal of Plant Nutrition, 2010)

[M. E. Joubert, J. Wooldridge, M. Booyse. " TISSUE ELEMENT CONCENTRATIONS AND MINERAL REMOVAL RATES IN HONEYBUSH TEA I: ", Journal of Plant Nutrition, 2010](#)

17

< 1% match (W Astiko, N M L Ernawati, I P Silawibawa. "The yield of maize-soybean intercropping on Mycorrhizal inoculation treatment and plant nutrition provision in suboptimal land North Lombok, Indonesia", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2022)

[W Astiko, N M L Ernawati, I P Silawibawa. "The yield of maize-soybean intercropping on Mycorrhizal inoculation treatment and plant nutrition provision in suboptimal land North Lombok, Indonesia", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2022](#)

18

< 1% match ("Oilseed Crops", Wiley, 2017)

["Oilseed Crops", Wiley, 2017](#)

19

< 1% match (A. R. Mubarak, A. B. Rosenani, A. R. Anuar, S. Zauyah. "Decomposition and nutrient release of maize stover and groundnut haulm under tropical field conditions of malaysia", Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2007)

[A. R. Mubarak, A. B. Rosenani, A. R. Anuar, S. Zauyah. "Decomposition and nutrient release of maize stover and groundnut haulm under tropical field conditions of malaysia", Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2007](#)

20

< 1% match (Internet from 17-Sep-2020)

http://aloki.hu/pdf/1805_60696085.pdf

21

< 1% match (Internet from 12-Sep-2022)

<http://etd.uwc.ac.za/xmlui/bitstream/handle/11394>

/8078/thompson_m_nsc_2020.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=1

22

< 1% match (Internet from 19-Sep-2020)

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0196408>

23

< 1% match (Internet from 20-Aug-2022)

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11368-015-1293-1?code=4141b55f-79b0-4909-a084-6ee526fb661a&error=cookies_not_supported

24

< 1% match (Internet from 01-Oct-2022)

<https://oes.chileanjar.cl/files/V74i4Y2014id4326.pdf>

25

< 1% match ("Plant Nutrients and Abiotic Stress Tolerance", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018)

["Plant Nutrients and Abiotic Stress Tolerance", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018](#)

26

< 1% match ("Selenium and Nano-Selenium in Environmental Stress Management and Crop Quality Improvement", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2022)

["Selenium and Nano-Selenium in Environmental Stress Management and Crop Quality Improvement", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2022](#)

27

< 1% match (El-Morsy, E.A.. "Interactions between water quality and polymer treatment on infiltration rate and clay migration", Soil Technology, 199109)

[El-Morsy, E.A.. "Interactions between water quality and polymer treatment on infiltration rate and clay migration", Soil Technology, 199109](#)

28

< 1% match (Internet from 08-Dec-2022)

<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017.01220/full>

29

< 1% match (Zawar Khan, Humaira Gul, Mamoona Rauf, Muhammad Arif et al. "Sargassum wightii Aqueous Extract Improved Salt Stress Tolerance in *Abelmoschus esculentus* by Mediating Metabolic and Ionic Rebalance", *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 2022)

[Zawar Khan, Humaira Gul, Mamoona Rauf, Muhammad Arif et al. "Sargassum wightii Aqueous Extract Improved Salt Stress Tolerance in *Abelmoschus esculentus* by Mediating Metabolic and Ionic Rebalance", *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 2022](#)

paper text:

9Journal of Agriculture and Crops ISSN(e): 2412-6381, ISSN(p): 2413-886X

Vol. x, Issue. x, pp: xx-xx

, 2023

4URL: <https://arpgweb.com/journal/journal/14> DOI: <https://doi.org>

[/10.32861/jac. Academic Research Publishing Group Original Research Open](#)

Access Foliar Application of Liquid-Silicate Rock Fertilizer

Counteracts the Suppressing Effects of Saline Soils on Soybeans: A Glasshouse Assessment Joko Priyono (Corresponding Author) Article History

17Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Mataram

, West Nusa Tenggara (

2NTB), Indonesia Received: Email: joko_priyono@unram.ac.id

Revised: Accepted: Akhmad Zubaidi Published: Department of Agroecotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Mataram, West Nusa Tenggara (NTB), Indonesia

5Copyright © 2023 ARPG This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International CC BY: Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

Abstract Developing an alternative method for productive farming on saline soils is necessary because remediating the soils is not feasible on-farm. A foliar application

12of liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF) was proposed in response to this need, and

its effectiveness was evaluated in a greenhouse. The specific aim of the research was to identify

1the effects of LSRF on the growth and yield components of

soybeans grown on salinized soils. The experiment employed a split plot

10in a completely randomized design, with the main plot consisting of soil salinity levels

(EC), i.e., 0.5, 1.5, and 2.5 dS. m⁻¹ and the sub-plot was the concentration of applied LSRF, i.e., 0, 1, 2, and 3 %, in triplicates. Results reveal an increase in soil EC to 2.5 dS. m⁻¹ slowed down the growth rate and reduced the yield of soybeans by about 20 %. In contrast, applying LSRF at concentrations ranging from 1 to 3% improved soybean growth and yield components while reducing the severity of soil salinity's effects on the plant. Foliar application of LSRF could be an effective method of reducing the stresses caused by saline environments in soybeans. Keywords: Foliar application; Saline soils; Silicate rock fertilizer; Soybeans. How to Cite: 1Author Name, 2Author Name, 2022. "Article Title."

5 Journal of Agriculture and Crops, vol. 9, pp

. xx-xx. 1. Introduction Salty soils and salinization are becoming a global problem threatening crop production sustainability in many countries [1]. The causes of soil salinization are locally or regionally specific. In Indonesia, for example, salinization occurs mainly due to the intrusion of seawater into agricultural land. During the wet season, salty water exists in deep soil profiles, while in the dry season, the high evaporation rate moves the saline soil solution to the rooting zone. This natural process results in a seasonal change in soil salinity on most agricultural land near coastal areas in Indonesia. A high salt (NaCl) concentration in saline soils causes poor soil aeration and an unbalanced supply of nutrients, suppressing plant growth and yield [2, 3]. The standard method recommended by most agricultural experts to neutralize saline soils is soil remediation using gypsum [4], organic matter [5], or a combination of both and other amendments [6, 7]. In addition, leaching the soil with fresh water was needed to remove the released NaCl [8]. Nevertheless, implementing remediation methods on farms is costly and not applicable to small farmers. Thus, it is a challenge for researchers to find more straightforward and low-cost methods to overcome the problems

3of salt- affected soils for productive farming. Instead **of**

correcting salt-affected soils, which most farmers do not use, it was proposed to take a more direct approach to feeding nutrients directly to plants. Furthermore, saline soils are a poor source of plant nutrients. Soil salinity is unlikely

11to have a significant impact on plant growth and yield if

the plant acquires complete nutrients, including silicate (Si). Besides that, applying Si was reported to reduce

21the negative effects of sodium chloride (NaCl) on plant growth and yield

[9-11]. The proposed approach was also motivated by the fact that foliar fertilization was an effective method for correcting nutrient imbalances in soils with high salt constituents [12-14]. It is entirely possible that plants grown on salt-affected soils will flourish and yield optimally if they receive complete essential nutrients and functional Si from foliar fertilizer. The current study aimed to assess the efficacy of the proposed farming method on salt-affected soils by using soybean as a test plant. The used fertilizer was a liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF) containing all essential nutrients and Si. The study's specific goal was

13to determine the effects of foliar LSRF application on the growth and yield of

soybeans grown in salinized soils. 2. Material and Method 2.1. Growth Medium and Fertilizer The growth medium for soybean used in this research was the 20 cm top of sandy loam soil classified as Hapludept from Sekotong, Lombok Island, Indonesia.

1The soil sample **was air-dried**, lightly crushed, **and screened to pass a 2-mm stainless steel sieve**. **The soil**

was nearly neutral (pHH₂O 6.4), containing 2.2 % total C-organic, 0.01 % total N, and 4.2 mg.

19kg-1 of Bray II-extractable P. The cation exchange capacity

of the soil was 6.6

16cmol. kg-1 and exchangeable Na, K, Ca, and Mg were respectively

0.2, 1.8, 2.4, and 0.4 cmol. kg-1. The soil sample was salinized with seawater to reach

27EC values of 0.5, 1.5, and 2.5 dS. m

-1. Before salinizing the soil, a standard curve for soil EC = f (% seawater applied to the soil sample) was prepared. Plastic cups (10 units) were filled with 100 g of the soil sample and saturated with 40 mL water containing 0.1 – 1.0 % seawater. Following a week of equilibration, the soil EC was measured, and the equation of EC (dS. m⁻¹) = 0.095 + 22.59 (% added seawater) was generated. The quantity of seawater added to 10 kg of soil per pot was calculated using the equation. The seawater was mixed with deionized water to 2 L and poured into the soil. After equilibration for a week, the growth medium's salinity level (EC) was measured, and the results were 0.53, 1.52, and 1.46 dS. m⁻¹ on average. Foliar fertilizer - LSRF is a liquid fertilizer made mainly from silicate (basaltic) rocks trademarked as Orrin (produced by PT. JIA Agro Indonesia, West Lombok, Indonesia). Based on its description, Orrin or LSRF contains

14.04 % N, 3.23 % P₂O₅, 3.36 % K₂O

20.32 % Ca, 0.40 % Mg, 0.12 % S, and 40 mg. L⁻¹ Fe, 122 mg. L⁻¹ Mn, 260 mg. L⁻¹ Zn, 10 mg. L⁻¹ Cu, 0.1 mg. L

241 Co, 3 mg. L⁻¹ B, 1.2 mg. L⁻¹, and 6

.4 % Si. The LSRF was first diluted with deionized water to reach the right concentration for treatment before application. 2.2. Experimental Setting The experiment was carried out in a glasshouse using a split plot

10 arranged in a completely randomized design. The main plot

was the salinity level of the growth medium, i.e., 0.5, 1.5, and 2.5 dS. m⁻¹, and the sub-plot was the concentration of LSRF, i.e., 0, 1, 2, and 3 %, in triplicate. Three soybean seeds (Willis var.) were sown directly into the growth medium at about 1

1 cm depth. Soil moisture in the pots was maintained at about field capacity

(20 %) up to 90 days after sowing (DAS). A base fertilizer was superphosphate (18 % P₂O₅), applied to all plants at a rate of 0.3 g. pot⁻¹. The LSRF was sprayed evenly onto the plant leaves four times using a hand sprayer at an interval of 10 days, starting at 7 DAS. The LSRF was applied three times until the plant started to flower. Harvesting was done at 120 DAS. 2.3. Parameters and Statistical Analyses The assessed growth components were plant heights at 21, 35, and 49 DAS,

29 the total number of leaves, and the weight of

the dry shoot. The yield components included

1 the number of filled pods, the weight of total grains, and the weight of

1000 grains. Analyses of variance were carried out

2 to identify the effects of the treatments on the observed

parameters. The most suitable regression model, i.e., the power equation, was used to describe the patterns of LSRF affecting each observed parameter at different soil EC. The general regression model was $Y = Y_0 + bX^n$, in which Y was the predicted value of an observed parameter, Y_0 was the value of Y when $X = 0$, b was the experimental constant, X was the concentration of applied LSRF (%), and n was the order or skewness of the regression line.

83. Results and Discussion 3.1. Growth Components The results of analyses of the

0.05) affected all observed growth components. The relationships between the treatments versus the growth components are presented in Figures 1, 2, 3. 3.1.1. Effects of Treatments on Plant Height Figures 1 demonstrates the increase in

3 soil EC from 0.5 to 2.5 dS. m⁻¹

holds up the growth of soybeans at all growing stages (21, 35, and 49 DAS); the older the plant, the more

severe the effect of soil EC on plant height. On the other hand, foliar application of LSRF stimulates elongation in soybeans. Also, the interaction of both treatments significantly affected plant height, and the higher the soil EC, the stronger the positive impact of LSRF on plant height. At 49 DAS, for example, when no LSRF was applied, the

3 soil EC increased from 0.5 to 2.5 dS. m⁻¹

caused 27 cm (36 %) lower plant height. However, when LSRF was applied at a concentration of 3 %, the increase of soil EC reduced the plant height by only about 7 cm (8 %). In other words, the application of LSRF at a concentration of 1 – 3 % reverses the harmful

25 effects of soil salinity on the elongation process of the plant

. Figure-1. The relationships between plant height (Y, cm) at 21, 35, and 49 DAS (days after sowing) and concentration (X, %) of applied LSRF (liquid-silicate rock fertilizer) for the soil salinities of

60.5 dS. m⁻¹ (...○...), 1.5 dS. m⁻¹ (---◇---), and 2.5 dS. m⁻¹

(□) 3.1.2. Effects of Treatments

11 on the Number of Plant Leaves For another growth component – **the**

number of plant leaves as shown in Figure 2, the increase in soil EC from 0.5 to 2.5

3 dS. m⁻¹ reduced the number of plant leaves by

1 %) strongly counteracted

23 the adverse effects of soil EC on the growth of

soybeans, but that was slightly weakened by higher concentrations (> 3 %) of the LSRF. Figure-2. The relationships between number of plant leaves per pot of three plants (Y) and concentration (X, %) of applied LSRF (liquid-silicate rock fertilizer) for soil salinities of

60.5 dS. m⁻¹ (...○...), 1.5 dS. m⁻¹ (---◇---), and 2.5 dS. m⁻¹

(□) 3.1.3. Effects of Treatments on the Weight of Dry Shoot Figure 3 demonstrates the pattern of relationships between the treatments and the weight of the dry shoot. The graph indicates that an increase in soil EC lowers the weight of soybeans' dry shoots. Conversely, applying LSRF improved the weight of the plant's dry shoots, and this positive effect became more pronounced with a higher soil EC value. This

interaction effect confirms

12that the foliar application of LSRF diminished the suppressive effects of

0.05) affected

1the number of filled pods and the weights of total and 1000 grains of

soybeans. The relationships between the treatments and the yield parameters are illustrated in Figures 4, 5, 6. In general, the trends of treatments affecting the yield components are like those for the growth components. 3.2.1. Effects of Treatments on the Number of Filled Pods Figure 4 shows the increase in soil EC from 0.5 to 2.5

3dS. m⁻¹ lowered the number of filled pods by

about 34 %. On the other hand, the increased concentration of applied LSRF up to 3 % sharply increased the number of filled pods by 40 to 90 %. Furthermore, these beneficial effects of LSRF application more intensely decreased the negative effects of the high-soil EC on the number of pods, so that with the application of LSRF 3 %,

14there was no significant difference between the number of

soybean pods with the soil EC of 0.5 and 2.5 dS. m⁻¹. 3.2.2. Effects of Treatments on the Weight of Total Grains Figure 5 illustrates the trends of the effects of treatments on the weight of total grains, which are comparable with those for the number of filled pods (Figure 4). The increase in soil salinity up to 2.5 dS. m⁻¹ reduces about 20 % of plant yield. Conversely, the foliar application of LSRF up to a concentration of 3 % increased yield by about 43 % (i.e., from 35 to 50 g. pot⁻¹). At the same time, LSRF minimizes the reduction in plant yield caused by soil salinity. Figure-4. The relationships

20between the number of filled pods (Y) and concentration (X, in %) of applied

LSRF (liquid-silicate rock fertilizer) for soil salinities of 0.5 (...○...),

61.5 (---◇---), and 2.5 (□) dS. m⁻¹ Figure-5. The

relationships between the weight of total grains (Y, g. pot⁻¹) and concentration (X, %) of applied LSRF (liquid-silicate rock fertilizer) for soil salinities of 0.5 (...○...), 1.5 (---◇---), and 2.5 (□) dS. m⁻¹ 3.2.3. Effects of Treatments on the Weight of 1000 Grains As shown in Figure 6,

3soil EC increased from 0.5 to 2.5 dS. m⁻¹

reduced the weight of 1000 grains by about 5 % (from 146 down to 139 g). In contrast, the application of LSRF, especially at concentrations of > 2 %, sharply optimized the quality of soybean grains while minimizing the suppressive effects of soil EC. This result is another proof that the application of LSRF nullifies the suppressing effects of saline soils on the yield of soybeans. Figure-6. The relationships between the weight of 1000 grains (Y, g) and concentration (X, %) of applied LSRF (liquid-silicate rock fertilizer) on soil salinities of 0.5 (...o...), 1.5 (---◇---), and 2.5 (□) dS. m⁻¹ 4. Discussion The current study's findings show similar trends in the response of soybeans, as represented by growth and yield components, to treatments of soil salinity (EC) level and concentration of applied LSRF. Increased salinity suppresses plant growth, whereas LSRF applications stimulate it. Simultaneously, the positive effects of LSRF application mitigate the negative effects of soil EC. It is interesting to note that the latter finding is relevant to efforts to find the best agricultural methods for saline soils without remediation.

8The effect of saline soil on the growth and yield of

various plants is well known throughout the world. This could be due to osmotic tension, nutritional imbalance, or a combination of factors inhibiting plant growth and yield. Many researches including Bustingorri and Lavado [15], Hashi, et al. [16] and Hang. and Mai [17] have all reported specific effects on soybean yield and growth.

8The results of current study are consistent with the negative effects of

salinized soils on soybean growth and yield. Liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF) used in this research is a local product containing all essential nutrients and Si. So far, there is no published report about the effectiveness of LSRF for farming on saline soils. However, for farming on non-saline soils, foliar applications of LSRF were reported to improve the resistance of cocoa to pest attacks and produce higher quantities and quality of cocoa beans [18] as well as sugar cane and sugar concentration [19]. LSRF application may also improve nutrient balance by virtue of the beneficial function of Si, which element is present in LSRF. The significant effects of the interaction of soil salinity with the concentration of applied LSRF in this present research describe that the higher the soil salinity (EC), the more intense

22the positive effects of LSRF on the growth and yield components of

soybeans. The foliar application of LSRF counteracts

18the negative effects of soil salinity on soybean growth and yield. Since the

LSRF contains Si, this study's finding may be comparable

15to the results of Ali, et al. [9], Abbas, et al. [20], Alzahrani, et al. [21], and Abdelaal, et al. [11] who reported that

the supply of Si alleviates salt

26stress and improves the growth or yield of plants. Synthesizing the main results of

this research, the direct supply of all essential nutrients and Si improved the plant's growth, yield, and adaptability of the plant (soybeans) to salty environments. Based on these results,

2the foliar application of LSRF may be a

favorable solution for productive farming on salt-affected soils. Further researches, however, are necessary to be carried out in field conditions for various types of crops and soil salinity levels. 5. Conclusion Foliar applications of LSRF containing all essential nutrients and Si clearly improved soybean performance on salinized soils up to 2.5 dS. m⁻¹, and counteracted the suppressive

28effects of soil salinity on plant growth and

yield.

2Foliar application of LSRF may be promoted as

Wang, D. (Eds.). Abiotic stress in plants. London: IntechOpen. [9] Ali, Basra, S. M. A., Hussain, S., Iqbal, J., Bukhsh, M. A. A. H. A., and Sarwar, M., 2012. "Salt stress alleviation in field crops through nutritional supplementation of silicon." *Pakistan J. Nutr.*, vol. 11, pp. 735- 753. [10] Ibrahim, M. A., Merwad, A. M., Elnaka, E. A., Burras, C. L., and Follett, L., 2016. "Application of silicon ameliorated salinity stress and improved wheat yield." *J. Soil Sci. Env. Management*, vol. 7, pp. 81-89. [11] Abdelaal, K. A. A., Yasser, S. A., Mazrou, Y. S. A., and Hafez, Y. M., 2020. "Silicon foliar application mitigates salt stress in sweet pepper plants by enhancing water status, photosynthesis, antioxidant enzyme activity and fruit yield." *Plant*, vol. 9, pp. 1-15. [12] Firtz, A., 1978. "Foliar fertilization – a technique for improved crop production." *Acta Horticulture*, vol. 84, pp. 43-56. [13] Fageria, N. K., Filho, M. P. B., Moreira, A., and Guimarães, C. M., 2009. "Foliar fertilization of crop plants." *J. Plant. Nutr.*, vol. 32, pp. 1044-1064. [14] Fermández, V. and Brown, F. H., 2013. "From plant surface to plant metabolism: the uncertain fate of foliar-applied nutrients." *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol. 4, pp. 1-5. [15] Bustingorri, C. and Lavado, R., 2011. "Soybean growth under stable versus peak salinity." *Sci. Agric. (Piracicaba, Braz.)*, vol. 68, pp. 102 - 108. [16] Hashi, U. S., Karim, A., Saikat, H. M., Islam, R., and Islam, M. A., 2015. "Effect of salinity and potassium levels on different morpho-physiological characters of two soybean (*Glycine max L.*) genotypes." *J. Rice Research*, vol. 3, pp. 1-5. [17] Hang., H. T. and Mai, L. Q., 2016. "Effects of salinity on soybean (*Glycine max. L. Merr.*) DT26 cultivar." *Natural Sci. Tech*, vol. 32, pp. 227 - 232. [18] Priyono, J., Tanaya, I. G. M. P., and Ningsih, M., 2020. "Foliar application of liquid-silicate rock fertilizer reduced pest and disease attacks and improved bean production of cocoa." *J. Agric. and Crops*, vol. 6, pp. 68-72. Available: <https://doi.org/10.32861/jac.65.68.72> [19] Priyono, J., Djajadi, Hidayati, S. N., Gunawan, S., and Suhada, I., 2020. "Silicate rock-based fertilizers improved the production of sugarcane grown on Udipsamments Kediri, East Java, Indonesia." *Int. J. App. Agric. Sci.*, vol. 6, pp. 16 -20. [20] Abbas, T., Sattar, A., Ijaz, M., and Aatif, H. M., 2017. "Exogenous silicon application alleviates salt stress in okra." *Hortic. Environ. Biotechnol*, vol. 58, pp. 342–349. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13580-017- 0247-5>. [21] Alzahrani, Y., Kuşvuran, A., Alharby, H. F., Kuşvuran, S., and Rady, M.

M., 2018. "The defensive role of silicon in wheat against stress conditions induced by drought, salinity, or cadmium." *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*, vol. 154, pp. 187-196.

7Journal of Agriculture and Crops Journal of Agriculture and Crops Journal of

Agriculture and Crops

7Journal of Agriculture and Crops Journal of Agriculture and Crops

Journal of Agriculture and Crops 41 42 43 44 45 46 47