

BUKTI PROSES PUBLIKASI/REVIEW ARTIKEL
Journal of Agriculture and Crops (JAC) - 2020

☰ Gmail 🔍 ✕ 🏠 ⌵ ? ⚙️ ⋮ 📧

99+ Tulis

Mail Kotak Masuk 762

Chat Berbintang

Spaces Ditunda

Meet Penting

Draf

Kategori

Sosial

Update 719

Forum

Promosi

Selengkapnya

Label

Prioritas

Serbaneka

Tindak-lanjuti

manuscript submission

Kotak Masuk



joko priyono <joko_priyono@unram.a...> kepada editor

Rab, 18 Mar 20

Dear Editor

I send you (ARPG) an e-mail due to I have difficulty to subn of Agriculture and Crops online. Please find the file of my n in the Journal of Agriculture and Crops. I have no preferenc manuscript.

Thank you.

Best regards,
 Joko Priyono
 Department of Soil Science,
 University of Mataram, NTB
 Indonesia
 E-mail: joko_priyono@unram.ac.id

Satu lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail



Editor ARPG <editor@arpgweb.com> kepada saya

Rab, 18 Mar 20

Inggris

Indonesia

Terjemahkan pesan

Dear Joko Priyono

Thank you for the submission of your manuscript for Your manuscript has been received and assigned JAC-2020, please remember to quote your correspondence. Your manuscript will undergo a re possible the review report will be sent to your email.

Should you need any assistance please feel free to c chat.

Foliar Application of Liquid-Silicate Rock Fertilizer Reduced Pest and Disease Attacks and Improved Bean Production of Cocoa

1. Joko Priyono*

Department of Soil Sciences, University of Mataram, NTB, Indonesia

2. I.G.M. Parta Tanaya

Department of Agricultural Business, University of Mataram, NTB, Indonesia

3. Muliati Ningsih

Department of Agricultural Technology, University of Muhammadiyah Mataram, NTB, Indonesia

* Corresponding author: joko_priyono@unram.ac.id

Abstract: Besides the lack of soil fertilization, the primary biotic stressor limiting the production of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao L.*) in Indonesia is pest and disease attacks (PDAs). As a part of the efforts to find out the appropriate solution for the farming constraints, a field trial was carried out for 14 months (2015 – 2016) in Genggelang - North Lombok Regency, Indonesia. The main objective of the experiment was to identify the effects of the use of locally-available materials, i.e., liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF), botanical pesticide (BP) of *neem*, and black ants (BA) of *Dollicoderus thoracic*, on PDAs, bean production, and polyphenol and lignin contents of cocoa pod shell. A randomized complete block design was laid out in three blocks, and the treatments were LSRF, LSRF+BP, LSRF+BA, BA, and control. Results reveal that the application of those materials reduced the intensity of pest and disease attacks (e.i., respectively, 6 - 24 % and 3 - 9 % lower than for that of the control), increased 18 – 119 % of bean production, and improved the polyphenol and lignin contents of pod shell. The highest increase (119 %) of bean production was due to the foliar application of LSRF. The positive effect of the treatments, especially the use of LSRF, associated with the improvement of the resistance of cocoa to PDAs and appropriate supply of plant-essential nutrients. Therefore, the foliar application of LSRF may be promoted as a proper method to improve the production of cocoa, especially of that grown on less fertile soils.

Keywords: botanical pesticide, black ants, defence system, lignin, polyphenol, plant resistance, silicate.

1. Introduction

Cocoa (*Theobroma cacao L.*) is an important cash crop in the world, and Indonesia currently is the 3rd largest cocoa-producing country after Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana [1]. During the last several years, however, the production of cocoa in Indonesia has declined. In 2012/2014, the production of cocoa in Indonesia was about 430 tons, but in 2015/2016, it was only about 220 tons [2]. The most suspected cause of the declining of cocoa production was pest and disease attacks (PDAs)

or/and the lack of fertilizer application. Efforts are required to find out an appropriate method to handle those farming constraints effectively.

The conventional methods for handling the PDAs are based on the basic concept that the organisms of insect pests and pathogens are considered as the farmers' enemy. Consequently, the population of those organisms in farming areas must be suppressed, and the simplest way to do so is by applying synthetic pesticides. However, the use of synthetic pesticides potentially induces the resistance of pests and negatively impacts various ecological aspects [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] including human health [8]. Moreover, the use of synthetic pesticides is incompatible with the standard of producing organic cocoa [7] and not suitable for most smallholder farmers due to its high cost [4, 9, 10]. Responding to the case, many plant pathologists recommend the use of preventive measures by growing the resistant varieties and applying ethical farming practices [7], botanical pesticides [11, 12, 13], or by employing natural pest-predators such as black ants [14, 15]. Integrated pest management (IPM), combining several possible preventive and curative measures, is currently promoted worldwide [15]. However, in most cases, implementing IPM on-farm level is too complicated for most smallholder farmers.

Suppressing the population of pests and pathogens by applying whatever appropriate methods, may directly contribute to the improvement of cocoa production. Nevertheless, soil fertility may also become a significant limiting factor of cocoa production [16], which such condition occurred in cocoa farming in Papua New Guinea [17]. Accordingly, to significantly improve the productivity of cocoa farming, the actions for combating PDAs should be integrated with appropriate soil fertilization, especially for cocoa grown on infertile soils. Importantly, the integrated method should be technically applicable for smallholders with their various land condition.

Another acceptable view is that the plant receiving sufficient and proportional supply of plant-essential nutrients in a suitable environmental condition will grow and produce optimum yield. A healthy plant can perform its highest natural-defence system [18]. Moreover, many researchers [e.g., 19, 20, 21, 22, 23] reported that applying Si-based fertilizers improves the resistance of the plant to biotic (e.g., PDAs) and abiotic stressors. Therefore, it is reasonable to postulate that applying the Si-based fertilizers containing all essential nutrients, with or without suppressing the population pests in the farming areas, may reduce PDAs or minimize the damaging impact of PDAs on the cocoa beans and at the same time improve the bean production. The other possible-appropriate method is by combining the application of the Si-based fertilizers with botanical pesticides or pest-enemies such as black ants. Field tests are required to identify the most effective method to improve cocoa production.

The main objective of this field research was to identify the effects of applying the locally available materials, i.e., liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF), the botanical pesticide (BP) of *neem* leaf, and black ants (BA) of *Doliccoderus thoracic* on the intensity of PDAs, bean production, and polyphenol and lignin content of cocoa pod shell.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Description of Experiment Site

This experiment was conducted in a farmer's cocoa farming land in Genggelang village, North Lombok Regency, Indonesia (8°20'37.9" S, 116°14'13.1" E). The land was at about 335-m above sea level with the moderately deep-sandy textured soil (Arenic Eutrundepts) developed from pumice stone on undulating physiography (4 – 8 % sloping). The soil pH was 6 – 6.5, moderate C-organic content (1.5 – 2.2 %), relatively low contents of N (< 0.01 %) and Bray-extractable P (2 - 5 mg.kg⁻¹), cation exchange capacity (4.8 cmol.kg⁻¹), and exchangeable Ca, Mg, and K (respectively 4.8, 1.4, and 0.58 cmol.kg⁻¹).

2.2. Experimental Materials, Design, and Management

The primary materials used in this experiment were liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF), botanical pesticide (BP) of *neem*, and black ants (BA) of *Dollicoderus thoracicus*, which were prepared as follows:

- The LSRF was produced from basaltic silicate rocks, containing (w/v) of 6.4 % Si, 4.04 % N, 3.22 % P₂O₅, 3.36 % K₂O, 0.32 % Ca, 0.40 % Mg, 0.12 % S, 40 mg.L⁻¹ Fe, 122 mg.L⁻¹ Mn, 260 mg.L⁻¹ Zn, 10 mg.L⁻¹ Cu, 3.0 mg.L⁻¹ B, 0.1 mg.L⁻¹ Co, and 1.2 mg.L⁻¹ Mo.
- The botanical pesticide (BP) was made from *neem* leaf (*Azadirachta indica*). A kilogram of fresh *neem* leaf was crushed into < 2 mm, incubated for 24 hours with 2-L fresh water in a closed plastic container. The suspension was filtered, and the filtrate (BP) was stored in another closed plastic container. The BP was freshly prepared a week before application.
- Black ants (BA) of *Dollicoderus thoracicus* were collected from the surrounding area of the experiment site. A plastic bag was filled with an amount of dry banana leaf sprayed with a sugar solution to attract black ants to house in the bag. The population of the trapped black ants in each bag was about 200 ants at the starting time of this experiment.

This experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with the treatments consisting of LSRF, LSRF+BP, LSRF+BA, BA, and control, and those were triplicated (in 3 blocks). Each plot contained 10-productive cocoa trees of about 7-year old. The experiment was run for 14 months (September 2015 – December 2016).

For the application of LSRF, 300-mL LSRF was diluted with freshwater into 30 L and then sprayed evenly onto the leaf of cocoa trees in an experimental unit containing ten cocoa trees. For an experimental unit of the treatment of LSRF + BP, 300-mL LSRF and 40-mL BP were mixed and diluted with freshwater into 30 L and was sprayed evenly onto the leaf of cocoa trees. The treatments of LSRF and LSRF + BP were applied fortnightly. For the treatments of LSRF + BA and BA, each bag of black ants described above was hanged on a branch of each cocoa tree.

2.3. Data Collection and Statistical Analysis

The primarily collected data were (1) the intensity-incident of PDAs, (2) the annual-bean production, and (3) the polyphenol and lignin contents of the pod shell.

The number of pods attacked by pests or/and diseases in each plot was observed monthly, started from November 2015 (a month after the first application of the treatments) up to the end of the experiment (December 2016). The intensity incident of PDAs (%) was calculated on the basis of sum of cocoa pods of 14-month observations:

$$\text{PDAs (\%)} = \frac{\Sigma \text{ pods attacked by pests or/and diseases}}{\Sigma \text{ whole pods}} \times 100$$

The ripe pods were harvested weekly for 14 months, and then the beans were oven-dried at 40° C to reach a constant weight (after 7 – 10 days of drying). The dry beans and pod shells, harvested at the end of June - August 2016), were sampled for the measurements of polyphenol and lignin contents using the colorimetric methods [24, 25].

All collected data were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) to identify the effects of the treatments on each observed parameter as described above and followed with the analysis of least significant difference ($\text{LSD}_{\alpha = 0.05}$) for the parameters that were significantly affected by the treatments.

3. Results

The effects of the treatments on the incident-intensity of PDAs, annual-bean production, and polyphenol and lignin contents of pod shell are presented in Table 1. It was noted that the observed pests attacking cocoa pods during the experiment were *Helopeltis* and *Conopomorpha cramerella*, and the diseases were cocoa-black pod (CBP) caused by *Phytophthora palmivora* and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*.

Table 1. The effects of liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF), botanical pesticide (BP), and black ants (BA) on the incident-intensity of pest and disease attacks, annual-bean production, and polyphenol and lignin contents of cocoa pod shell.

Treatments	Pest Attacks (%)	Disease Attacks (%)	Bean Production (kg.plot.y ⁻¹)	Polyphenol Content (%)	Lignin Content (%)
Control	75.7 b	11.8 d	4.98 a	5.70 a	20.25 a
LSRF	62.3 a	5.6 b	10.42 d	7.12 b	28.03 b
LSRF+BP	70.7 b	3.1 a	8.41 c	9.33 c	29.28 b
LSRF+BA	62.7 a	8.9 c	7.05 b	7.61 b	20.54 a
BA	57.9 a	5.8 b	5.89 a	10.18 d	23.04 a
$\text{LSD}_{\alpha = 0.05}$	7.6	0.3	1.06	0.78	0.96

The values in the same column, followed by the same letter are not significantly different based on $\text{LSD}_{\alpha = 0.05}$.

A specific observation was carried out to the pods that were attacked by pests (*Helopeltis* and *Conopomorpha cramerella*). Result of the visual observation showed that most of the beans in the pods of the cocoa trees treated with LSRF, LSRF + BP, or LSRF + BA were still in good condition. In contrast, more than 60

% of the beans of the attacked pods of the control trees were in a damaged condition – flatty and dark-coloured (data are not shown in this paper). Thus, the attacks of *Helopeltis* or/and CBP on the pods of the treated cocoa trees damaged only the outmost part of pod shell, injuring only a minor part of the beans inside the pods.

4. Discussion

As shown in Table 1, the intensities of pest and disease attacks for the cocoa trees treated with LSRF, LSRF+BP, LSRF+BA, and BA were significantly lower than of that for the control. The decreases of pest and disease attacks due to those treatments, respectively, ranged from 6 to 18 % and from 3 to 6 %. Inversely, the treatments significantly increased bean production with the order of the treatments, based on its quantity of bean production, was LSRF > LSRF+BP > LSRF+BA > BA > control. The bean production for the control was about 5 kg.plot⁻¹.y⁻¹ (~ 550 kg.ha⁻¹.y⁻¹), whereas for the treated cocoa trees was 6 to 10.5 kg.plot⁻¹.y⁻¹ (~ 650 to 1,100 kg.ha⁻¹.y⁻¹). In other words, the treatments increased about 18 to 109 % of bean production, and the highest increase (109 % over that of the control) was gained by foliar application of LSRF.

The identification of polyphenol and lignin contents of the pod shell was aimed to explain if the treatments affect the resistance of cocoa to PDAs. Polyphenol has essential roles due to its antioxidant capacity being beneficial to human health [26] and contributes to the improvement of the defense system of the plant to PDAs [27]. Lignin, as an essential part of the cell structure, also has a substantial role in the defense system of the plant to PDAs, including in reducing the damaging impact of CPB or *Phytophthora* attacks on cocoa pods. Lignification could provide more strength and rigidity to the cell wall of the plant [29].

In this present research, the applications of LSRF, LSRF+BP, or LSRF+BA significantly increased polyphenol and lignin contents of the cocoa pod shell. The enrichment of those substances in the pod shell may improve the resistance of cocoa pod to PDAs (especially of *Helopeltis* or/and CBP). Moreover, the result of visual observation as described in earlier section proved that the application of LSRF (a Si-containing fertilizer) alone or in combination with BP or BA strengthened the cell wall of cocoa pods, avoiding the injuring impact of PDAs to cocoa bean in the pods. Therefore, the application of LSRF significantly increased cocoa bean production through the mechanism of improving the defence system and satisfying the plant with essential nutrients.

5. Conclusion

The applications of liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF), the combination of it with botanical pesticide (LSRF + BP) or with black ants (LSRF + BA), and BA alone provided several positive effects on cocoa. Those effects included the reduction of pest and disease attacks (PDAs), the increases of annual-bean production and the polyphenol and lignin contents in the cocoa pod shell. The highest gain of the annual-bean yield of cocoa was due to the application of LSRF. The use of the fertilizer (LSRF) stimulated the optimum defense system of cocoa to PDAs and provided a sufficient supply of essential nutrients to the plant. Thus, using LSRF may be

promoted as an appropriate method to improve cocoa farming productivity, especially in less fertilize soils, in Indonesia.

Acknowledgement



We thank and appreciate the World Cocoa Foundation (WCF) for its funding to this research. Special thanks to MS. Virginia Sofila and Mr Ben Breman for their help in the project administration and implementation




References

- [1]. ICCO (International Cocoa Organisation). (2013). *Pest and diseases*. West Gatehouse, Ealing, London, W5 1YY, UK.
- [2]. Nasir, N. (2016). Black pod disease caused by *Phytophthora palmivora* in assigned cocoa center productions in West Sumatra Indonesia. *Res. J. Pharm. Biol. Chem. Sci.* 7(4):1756 – 1761.
- [3]. Zacharia, J.T. (2019). *Ecological effects of pesticides*. In: Stoytcheva M. editor. The modern world - risks and benefits. 2011. Accessed 22 November 2019. Available: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/pesticides-in-the-modern-world-risks-and-benefits/ecological-effects-of-pesticides>.
- [4]. Bateman, R. (2015). *Pesticide use in cocoa*. A guide for training administrative and research staff. The 3rd ed. ICCO. Westgate House, Ealing, London, W5 1YY, UK.
- [5]. Bernardes, M.F.F., Pazin, M., Pereira, L.C., and Dorta, D.J. (2015). *Impact of pesticides on environmental and human health*. In: Toxicology studies - cells, drugs and environment. p. 196-233. Accessed on 2 September 2019. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/59710>
- [6]. Mahmood, I., Imadi, S.R., Shazadi, K., Gul, A., and Hakeem, K.R. (2015). *Effects of pesticides on environment*. In: Hakeem, K.R. et al. (eds.). Plant, soil and microbes. Springer Int. Publ. Switzerland. P. 254 – 269.
- [7]. Babin, R. (2020). *Pest management in organic cocoa*. In: Vacante V, Kreiter S. (Eds.). Handbook of pest management in organic farming. CAB Int. p. 502 – 518. Accessed 12 January 2020. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322253489>.
- [8]. Dawson, A.H., Eddleston, M., Senarathna, L., Mohamed, M., Gawarammana I., et al. (2010). Acute human lethal toxicity of agricultural pesticides: a prospective cohort study. *PLoS Med.* 7(10): 1 - 10. DOI:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000357.
- [9]. Dormon, E.N.A., van Huis, A., and Leeuwis, C. (2007). Effectiveness and profitability of integrated pest management for improving yield on smallholder cocoa farms in Ghana. *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* 27(1): 27–39.
- [10]. Nyadanu, D., Akromah, R., Adomako, B., Awuah, R.T., Kwoseh, C., Lowor, S.T., et al. (2012). *Lignification as a mechanism of resistance to black pod disease in cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.)*. Int. Conf. Sust. Dev. Legon, Accra, Ghana 25th -27th July 2012.





- [11]. Dodia, D.A., Patel, I.S., and Patel, G.M. (2018). *Botanical pesticides for pest management*. Pawan Kumar Sci. Publ. Jodhpur, India.
- [12]. Thube, S.H., Saneera, E.E., and Prathibha, P.S. (2019). Pests of cocoa and their management. *The Cashew and Cocoa J.* 34 - 38. Accessed 12 November 2019. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311933062_Pests_of_Cocoa_and_Their_Management.
- [13]. Hikal, W.M., Rowida, S., Baeshen, R.S., and Said-Al Ahl, H.A.H. (2017). Botanical insecticide as simple extractives for pest control. *Cogent Bio.* 3: 1 – 16. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23312025.2017.1404274>
- [14]. Khoo, K.C. and Ho, C.T. (1992). The influence of *dolichoderus thoracicus* (hymenoptera: formicidae) on losses due to helopeltis theivora (heteroptera: miridae), black pod disease, and mammalian pests in cocoa in Malaysia. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 82: 485-491.
- [15]. Barzman, M., Bàrberi, P., Nicholas, A., Birch, E., Boonekamp, P., Dachbrodt-Saaydeh, S., et al. (2015). Eight principles of integrated pest management. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 35: 1199-1215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-015-0327-9>
- [16]. McMahon, P. (2012). *Effect of nutrition and soil function on pathogens of tropical tree crops*. In: Cumagun, C.J. (ed). Plant pathology, InTech. <http://www.intechopen.com/books/plant-pathology/effect-of-nutrition-and-soil-function-on-pathogens-of-tropical-tree-crops>
- [17]. Nelson, P.N., Webb, M.J., Berthelsen, S., Curry, G., Yinil, D., Fidelis, C. (2011). *Nutritional status of cocoa in Papua New Guinea*. ACIAR Tech. Rep. No. 76. ACIAR, Canberra.
- [18]. Dordas, C. (2007). Role of nutrients in controlling plant diseases in sustainable agriculture. A review. *Agron Sustain. Dev.* 28: 33 – 46.
- [19]. Datnoff, L.E., Snyder, G.H., and Deren, C.W. (1992). Influence of silicon fertilizer grades on blast and brown spot development and on rice yields. *Plant Dis.* 76:1011 – 1013. DOI:10.1094/PD-76-1011
- [20]. Dann, E.K. and Muir, S. (2002). Peas grown in media with elevated plant-available silicon levels have higher activities of chitinases and β -1, 3-glucanase, are less susceptible to a fungal leaf spot pathogen and accumulate more foliar silicon. *Australia Plant Pathol.* 31:9–13. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AP01047>.
- [21]. Bélanger, R.R., Benhamou, N., and Menzies, J.G. (2003). Cytological evidence of an active role of silicon in wheat resistance to powdery mildew (*blumeria graminis f. sp tritici*). *Phytopathology* 93:402–412. DOI: 10.1094/PHYTO.2003.93.4.402.
- [22]. Fauteux, F., Rémus-Borel, W., Menzies, J.G., and Bélanger, R.R. (2005). Silicon and plant disease resistance against pathogenic fungi. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 249:1–6.
- [23]. Rodrigues, F.A., Dallagnol, L.J., Duarte, H.S.S, and Datnoff LE. (2015). *Silicon control of foliar diseases in monocots and dicots*. In: Rodrigues, F.A. and Datnoff, L.E. (eds). Silicon and plant disease Springer Int. Publ., Switzerland. p. 67–108.

- [24]. Singleton, V.L. and Rossi, J.A. (1965). *Colourimetry of total phenolic with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents*. Am. J. Enol. Viticul. 6: 144-158.
- [25]. Goering, H. K, and Van Soest, P. J. (1970). *Forage Fiber Analysis*. Agricultural Hand Book 379. Agricultural Research Service, USA.
- [26]. Hii, C.L., Law, C.L., Suzannah, S., Misnawi, and Cloke, M. (2009). Polyphenols in cocoa (*theobroma cacao* L.). Asian. J. Food Ag-Indus. 2(04): 702-722.
- [27]. Lattanzio, V., Lattanzio, V.M.T., and Cardinali, A. (2006). Role of phenolics in the resistance mechanisms of plants against fungal pathogens and insects. *Phytochemistry Adv.Res.* 23-67
- [28]. Adejumo, T.O. (2019). Crop protection strategies for major diseases of cocoa, coffee and cashew in Nigeria. *Afr. J. Crop Prot. Rural Soc.* 1(4): 48 – 54. Accessed at 10 Dec. 2019. Available: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download;jsessionid=52ED581C6252FE8FD4E1AD9647123D9E?doi=10.1.1.676.7793&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.
- [29]. Biel, K., Matichenkov, V., and Fomina. (2008). *Role of silicon in plant defensive system*. Abstract. p. 28. In Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Silicon in Agr. South Africa. 23 – 31 Oct. 2008.

▼

Tulis

99+

Mail

Kotak Masuk 762

Chat

Berkembang

Ditunda

Spaces

Penting

Terakhir

Meet

Draf

Kategori

Sosial

Update 719

Forum

Promosi

Selengkapnya

Label


Prioritas

Serbaneka

Tindak-lanjuti

Joko Priyono: ManuscriptAcceptance Letter: FoliarApplication of Liquid-Silicate Rock Fertilizer Reduced Pe and Disease Attacksand Improved Production of Cocoa

Eksternal Kotak Masuk x



Status ARPG <status@arpgweb.com>

Kam, 26 Mar 2023

kepada saya

Inggris
Indonesia
Terjemahkan pesan

Paper ID: 44266-JAC-2020
Paper Title: Foliar Application of Liquid-Silicate Rock Fertilizer Reduced Disease Attacks and Improved Bean Production of Cocoa
Journal: Journal of Agriculture and Crops

Dear Joko Priyono

Thank you for submitting your manuscript for publication. We are pleased to inform that your manuscript has been accepted for publication after the review process. The reviewers have not suggested any major changes to publish your manuscript as it is. Below are the reviewers' comments.

The quality of the manuscript is good. It is publishable in the current issue of the journal.

Please pay online + one hard copy publication fee of US\$ 125.00. Please attach a copy of the payment slip for publication process.

If you don't want to have the hard copy then please pay only online USD 125.


You are requested to complete the payment formalities within 14 days. Your article can be accommodated in latest issue of the journal if you transfer electronic fund transfer to avoid postal delay in receiving the payment at the editorial office.

Please remember to quote your paper ID in all future correspondence.



Click [here](#) for Payment Details. Your invoice is attached below.




Note: Please don't forget to acknowledge the letter. Thank you.

Regards;






Aneel Khan

▼

99+
Tulis

Mail

Kotak Masuk

762

Chat

Berbintang

Spaces

Ditunda

Penting

Meet

Terkirim

Draf

Kategori

Sosial

Update

719

Forum

Promosi

Selengkapnya

Label

Prioritas

Serbaneka

Tindak-lanjuti

44266-JAC-020 Published

Eksternal Kotak Masuk x

J

Journal Manager <proofreadarpg@gma...> Kam, 14 Mei 20

kepada saya, Status


Inggris
Indonesia
Terjemahkan pes

Dear Joko Priyono

Your paper has been published.

Available at: <https://arpgweb.com/journal/14/archive/05-202>

Thanks for publishing with us. We would warmly welcome to your experience of publication with us. It will be helpful for us to i



Best Regards;
Muhammad Raza Ullah

Journal Manager
Academic Research Publishing Group
Address;Rahim Yar Khan - 64200, Punjab, Pakistan
URL: www.arpgweb.com
For more information Email: editor@arpgweb.com ; info@arpgweb.com
Phone # +92685900696

j

joko priyono <joko_priyono@unram.ac.id> Kam, 14 Mei 20

kepada nonongtanaya, muliatiningsih

Balas

Balas ke semua

Ter



Foliar Application of Liquid-Silicate Rock Fertilizer Reduced Pest and Disease Attacks and Improved Bean Production of Cocoa

Joko Priyono (Corresponding Author)

Department of Soil Sciences, University of Mataram, NTB, Indonesia

Email: joko_priyono@unram.ac.id

I. G. M. Parta Tanaya

Department of Agricultural Business, University of Mataram, NTB, Indonesia

Muliatiningsih

Department of Agricultural Technology, University of Muhammadiyah Mataram, NTB, Indonesia

Article History

Received: April 6, 2020

Revised: April 30, 2020

Accepted: May 9, 2020

Published: May 11, 2020

Copyright © 2020 ARPG
& Author

This work is licensed
under the Creative
Commons Attribution
International



BY: Creative Commons
Attribution License 4.0

Abstract

Besides the lack of soil fertilization, the primary biotic stressor limiting the production of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao L.*) in Indonesia is pest and disease attacks (PDAs). As a part of the efforts to find out the appropriate solution for the farming constraints, a field trial was carried out for 14 months (2015 – 2016) in Genggelang - North Lombok Regency, Indonesia. The main objective of the experiment was to identify the effects of the use of locally-available materials, i.e., liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF), botanical pesticide (BP) of *neem*, and black ants (BA) of *Doliccoderus thoracic*, on PDAs, bean production, and polyphenol and lignin contents of cocoa pod shell. A randomized complete block design was laid out in three blocks, and the treatments were LSRF, LSRF+BP, LSRF+BA, BA, and control. Results reveal that the application of those materials reduced the intensity of pest and disease attacks (e.i., respectively, 6 - 24 % and 3 - 9 % lower than for that of the control), increased 18 – 119 % of bean production, and improved the polyphenol and lignin contents of pod shell. The highest increase (119 %) of bean production was due to the foliar application of LSRF. The positive effect of the treatments, especially the use of LSRF, associated with the improvement of the resistance of cocoa to PDAs and appropriate supply of plant-essential nutrients. Therefore, the foliar application of LSRF may be promoted as a proper method to improve the production of cocoa, especially of that grown on less fertile soils.

Keywords: Botanical pesticide; Black ants; Defence system; Lignin; Polyphenol; Plant resistance; Silicate.

1. Introduction

Cocoa (*Theobroma cacao L.*) is an important cash crop in the world, and Indonesia currently is the 3rd largest cocoa-producing country after Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana [1]. During the last several years, however, the production of cocoa in Indonesia has declined. In 2012/2014, the production of cocoa in Indonesia was about 430 tons, but in 2015/2016, it was only about 220 tons [2]. The most suspected cause of the declining of cocoa production was pest and disease attacks (PDAs) or/and the lack of fertilizer application. Efforts are required to find out an appropriate method to handle those farming constraints effectively.

The conventional methods for handling the PDAs are based on the basic concept that the organisms of insect pests and pathogens are considered as the farmers' enemy. Consequently, the population of those organisms in farming areas must be suppressed, and the simplest way to do so is by applying synthetic pesticides. However, the use of synthetic pesticides potentially induces the resistance of pests and negatively impacts various ecological aspects [3-7] including human health [8]. Moreover, the use of synthetic pesticides is incompatible with the standard of producing organic cocoa [3] and not suitable for most smallholder farmers due to its high cost [4, 9, 10]. Responding to the case, many plant pathologists recommend the use of preventive measures by growing the resistant varieties and applying ethical farming practices [3], botanical pesticides [11-13], or by employing natural pest-predators such as black ants [14, 15]. Integrated pest management (IPM), combining several possible preventive and curative measures, is currently promoted worldwide [5]. However, in most cases, implementing IPM on-farm level is too complicated for most smallholder farmers.

Suppressing the population of pests and pathogens by applying whatever appropriate methods, may directly contribute to the improvement of cocoa production. Nevertheless, soil fertility may also become a significant limiting factor of cocoa production [16], which such condition occurred in cocoa farming in Papua New Guinea [17]. Accordingly, to significantly improve the productivity of cocoa farming, the actions for combating PDAs should be integrated with appropriate soil fertilization, especially for cocoa grown on infertile soils. Importantly, the integrated method should be technically applicable for smallholders with their various land condition.

Another acceptable view is that the plant receiving sufficient and proportional supply of plant-essential nutrients in a suitable environmental condition will grow and produce optimum yield. A healthy plant can perform its highest

natural-defence system [18]. Moreover, many researchers [19-23] reported that applying Si-based fertilizers improves the resistance of the plant to biotic (e.g., PDAs) and abiotic stressors. Therefore, it is reasonable to postulate that applying the Si-based fertilizers containing all essential nutrients, with or without suppressing the population pests in the farming areas, may reduce PDAs or minimize the damaging impact of PDAs on the cocoa beans and at the same time improve the bean production. The other possible-appropriate method is by combining the application of the Si-based fertilizers with botanical pesticides or pest-enemies such as black ants. Field tests are required to identify the most effective method to improve cocoa production.

The main objective of this field research was to identify the effects of applying the locally available materials, i.e., liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF), the botanical pesticide (BP) of *neem* leaf, and black ants (BA) of *Dollicoderus thoracic* on the intensity of PDAs, bean production, and polyphenol and lignin content of cocoa pod shell.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Description of Experiment Site

This experiment was conducted in a farmer's cocoa farming land in Genggelang village, North Lombok Regency, Indonesia (8°20'37.9" S, 116°14'13.1" E). The land was at about 335-m above sea level with the moderately deep-sandy textured soil (Arenic Eutrundepts) developed from pumice stone on undulating physiography (4 – 8 % sloping). The soil pH was 6 – 6.5, moderate C-organic content (1.5 – 2.2 %), relatively low contents of N (< 0.01 %) and Bray-extractable P (2 - 5 mg.kg⁻¹), cation exchange capacity (4.8 cmol.kg⁻¹), and exchangeable Ca, Mg, and K (respectively 4.8, 1.4, and 0.58 cmol.kg⁻¹).

2.2. Experimental Materials, Design, and Management

The primary materials used in this experiment were liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF), botanical pesticide (BP) of *neem*, and black ants (BA) of *Dollicoderus thoracicus*, which were prepared as follows:

- The LSRF was produced from basaltic silicate rocks, containing (w/v) of 6.4 % Si, 4.04 % N, 3.22 % P₂O₅, 3.36 % K₂O, 0.32 % Ca, 0.40 % Mg, 0.12 % S, 40 mg.L⁻¹ Fe, 122 mg.L⁻¹ Mn, 260 mg.L⁻¹ Zn, 10 mg.L⁻¹ Cu, 3.0 mg.L⁻¹ B, 0.1 mg.L⁻¹ Co, and 1.2 mg.L⁻¹ Mo.
- The botanical pesticide (BP) was made from *neem* leaf (*Azadirachta indica*). A kilogram of fresh *neem* leaf was crushed into < 2 mm, incubated for 24 hours with 2-L fresh water in a closed plastic container. The suspension was filtered, and the filtrate (BP) was stored in another closed plastic container. The BP was freshly prepared a week before application.
- Black ants (BA) of *Dollicoderus thoracicus* were collected from the surrounding area of the experiment site. A plastic bag was filled with an amount of dry banana leaf sprayed with a sugar solution to attract black ants to house in the bag. The population of the trapped black ants in each bag was about 200 ants at the starting time of this experiment.

This experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with the treatments consisting of LSRF, LSRF+BP, LSRF+BA, BA, and control, and those were triplicated (in 3 blocks). Each plot contained 10-productive cocoa trees of about 7-year old. The experiment was run for 14 months (September 2015 – December 2016).

For the application of LSRF, 300-mL LSRF was diluted with freshwater into 30 L and then sprayed evenly onto the leaf of cocoa trees in an experimental unit containing ten cocoa trees. For an experimental unit of the treatment of LSRF + BP, 300-mL LSRF and 40-mL BP were mixed and diluted with freshwater into 30 L and was sprayed evenly onto the leaf of cocoa trees. The treatments of LSRF and LSRF + BP were applied fortnightly. For the treatments of LSRF + BA and BA, each bag of black ants described above was hanged on a branch of each cocoa tree.

2.3. Data Collection and Statistical Analysis

The primarily collected data were (1) the intensity-incident of PDAs, (2) the annual-bean production, and (3) the polyphenol and lignin contents of the pod shell. The number of pods attacked by pests or/and diseases in each plot was observed monthly, started from November 2015 (a month after the first application of the treatments) up to the end of the experiment (December 2016). The intensity incident of PDAs (%) was calculated on the basis of sum of cocoa pods of 14-month observations:

$$\text{PDAs (\%)} = \frac{\Sigma \text{ pods attacked by pests or/and diseases}}{\Sigma \text{ whole pods}} \times 100$$

The ripe pods were harvested weekly for 14 months, and then the beans were oven-dried at 40° C to reach a constant weight (after 7 – 10 days of drying). The dry beans and pod shells, harvested at the end of June - August 2016), were sampled for the measurements of polyphenol and lignin contents using the colorimetric methods [24, 25].

All collected data were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) to identify the effects of the treatments on each observed parameter as described above and followed with the analysis of least significant difference (LSD_{α=0.05}) for the parameters that were significantly affected by the treatments.

3. Results

The effects of the treatments on the incident-intensity of PDAs, annual-bean production, and polyphenol and lignin contents of pod shell are presented in Table 1. It was noted that the observed pests attacking cocoa pods during the experiment were *Helopeltis* and *Conopomorpha cramerella*, and the diseases were cocoa-black pod (CBP) caused by *Phytophthora palmivora* and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*.

Table-1. The effects of liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF), botanical pesticide (BP), and black ants (BA) on the incident-intensity of pest and disease attacks, annual-bean production, and polyphenol and lignin contents of cocoa pod shell

Treatments	Pest Attacks (%)	Disease Attacks (%)	Bean Production (kg.plot ⁻¹ .y ⁻¹)	Polyphenol Content (%)	Lignin Content (%)
Control	75.7 b	11.8 d	4.98 a	5.70 a 7.12 b	20.25 a 28.03 b
LSRF	62.3 a	5.6 b	10.42 d	9.33 c 7.61 b	29.28 b 20.54 a
LSRF+BP	70.7 b	3.1 a	8.41 c	10.18 d	23.04 a
LSRF+BA	62.7 a	8.9 c	7.05 b		
BA	57.9 a	5.8 b	5.89 a		
LSD $\alpha=0.05$	7.6	0.3	1.06	0.78	0.96

The values in the same column, followed by the same letter are not significantly different based on LSD $\alpha=0.05$.

A specific observation was carried out to the pods that were attacked by pests (*Helopeltis* and *Conopomorpha cramerella*). Result of the visual observation showed that most of the beans in the pods of the cocoa trees treated with LSRF, LSRF + BP, or LSRF + BA were still in good condition. In contrast, more than 60 % of the beans of the attacked pods of the control trees were in a damaged condition – flatty and dark-coloured (data are not shown in this paper). Thus, the attacks of *Helopeltis* or/and CBP on the pods of the treated cocoa trees damaged only the outmost part of pod shell, injuring only a minor part of the beans inside the pods.

4. Discussion

As shown in Table 1, the intensities of pest and disease attacks for the cocoa trees treated with LSRF, LSRF+BP, LSRF+BA, and BA were significantly lower than of that for the control. The decreases of pest and disease attacks due to those treatments, respectively, ranged from 6 to 18 % and from 3 to 6 %. Inversely, the treatments significantly increased bean production with the order of the treatments, based on its quantity of bean production, was LSRF > LSRF+BP > LSRF+BA > BA > control. The bean production for the control was about 5 kg.plot⁻¹.y⁻¹ (~ 550 kg.ha⁻¹.y⁻¹), whereas for the treated cocoa trees was 6 to 10.5 kg.plot⁻¹.y⁻¹ (~ 650 to 1,100 kg.ha⁻¹.y⁻¹). In other words, the treatments increased about 18 to 109 % of bean production, and the highest increase (109 % over that of the control) was gained by foliar application of LSRF.

The identification of polyphenol and lignin contents of the pod shell was aimed to explain if the treatments affect the resistance of cocoa to PDAs. Polyphenol has essential roles due to its antioxidant capacity being beneficial to human health [26] and contributes to the improvement of the defense system of the plant to PDAs [27]. Lignin, as an essential part of the cell structure, also has a substantial role in the defense system of the plant to PDAs, including in reducing the damaging impact of CPB or *Phytophthora* attacks on cocoa pods. Lignification could provide more strength and rigidity to the cell wall of the plant [28].

In this present research, the applications of LSRF, LSRF+BP, or LSRF+BA significantly increased polyphenol and lignin contents of the cocoa pod shell. The enrichment of those substances in the pod shell may improve the resistance of cocoa pod to PDAs (especially of *Helopeltis* or/and CBP). Moreover, the result of visual observation as described in earlier section proved that the application of LSRF (a Si-containing fertilizer) alone or in combination with BP or BA strengthened the cell wall of cocoa pods, avoiding the injuring impact of PDAs to cocoa bean in the pods. Therefore, the application of LSRF significantly increased cocoa bean production through the mechanism of improving the defence system and satisfying the plant with essential nutrients.

5. Conclusion

The applications of liquid-silicate rock fertilizer (LSRF), the combination of it with botanical pesticide (LSRF + BP) or with black ants (LSRF + BA), and BA alone provided several positive effects on cocoa. Those effects included the reduction of pest and disease attacks (PDAs), the increases of annual-bean production and the polyphenol and lignin contents in the cocoa pod shell. The highest gain of the annual-bean yield of cocoa was due to the application of LSRF. The use of the fertilizer (LSRF) stimulated the optimum defense system of cocoa to PDAs and provided a sufficient supply of essential nutrients to the plant. Thus, using LSRF may be promoted as an appropriate method to improve cocoa farming productivity, especially in less fertilize soils, in Indonesia.

Acknowledgement

We thank and appreciate the World Cocoa Foundation (WCF) for its funding to this research. Special thanks to MS. Virginia Sofila and Mr Ben Breman for their help in the project administration and implementation

References

- [1] ICCO International Cocoa Organisation, 2013. *Pest and diseases*. London, W5 1YY, UK: West Gatehouse, Ealing.
- [2] Nasir, N., 2016. "Black pod disease caused by *Phytophthora palmivora* in assigned cocoa center productions in West Sumatra Indonesia." *Res. J. Pharm. Biol. Chem. Sci.*, vol. 7, pp. 1756 – 1761.
- [3] Babin, R., 2020. "Pest management in organic cocoa." In *Vacante V, Kreiter S. (Eds.). Handbook of pest management in organic farming. CAB Int.* pp. 502–518.
- [4] Bateman, R., 2015. *Pesticide use in cocoa. A guide for training administrative and research staff*. 3rd ed. London, W5 1YY, UK: ICCO. Westgate House, Ealing.
- [5] Bernardes, M. F. F., Pazin, M., Pereira, L. C., and Dorta, D. J., 2015. "Impact of pesticides on environmental and human health." In *Toxicology studies - cells, drugs and environment*. pp. 196-233.
- [6] Mahmood, I., Imadi, S. R., Shazadi, K., Gul, A., and Hakeem, K. R., 2015. "Effects of pesticides on environment." In *Hakeem, K.R. et al. (eds.). Plant, soil and microbes. Springer Int. Publ. Switzerland. P.* pp. 254–269.
- [7] Zacharia, J. T., 2019. "Ecological effects of pesticides. In: Stoytcheva M. editor. The modern world - risks and benefits. 2011." Available: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/pesticides-in-the-modern-world-risks-and-benefits/ecological-effects-of-pesticides>
- [8] Dawson, A. H., Eddleston, M., Senarathna, L., Mohamed, M., and Gawarammana, I., 2010. "Acute human lethal toxicity of agricultural pesticides: a prospective cohort study." *PLoS Med.*, vol. 7, pp. 1-10.
- [9] Nyadanu, D., Akromah, R., Adomako, B., Awuah, R. T., Kwoseh, C., and Lowor, S. T., 2012. "Lignification as a mechanism of resistance to black pod disease in cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.)." In *Int. Conf. Sust. Dev. Legon, Accra, Ghana 25th -27th July 2012*.
- [10] Dormon, E. N. A., van Huis, A., and Leeuwis, C., 2007. "Effectiveness and profitability of integrated pest management for improving yield on smallholder cocoa farms in Ghana." *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.*, vol. 27, pp. 27–39.
- [11] Dodia, D. A., Patel, I. S., and Patel, G. M., 2018. *Botanical pesticides for pest management*. Jodhpur, India: Pawan Kumar Sci. Publ.
- [12] Hikal, W. M., Rowida, S., Baeshen, R. S., and Said-Al Ahl, H. A. H., 2017. "Botanical insecticide as simple extractives for pest control." *Cogent Bio.*, vol. 3, pp. 1–16. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23312025.2017.1404274>
- [13] Thube, S. H., Saneera, E. E., and Prathibha, P. S., 2019. *Pests of cocoa and their management*. The Cashew and Cocoa J., pp. 34-38.
- [14] Barzman, M., Bärberi, P., Nicholas, A., Birch, E., Boonekamp, P., and Dachbrodt-Saaydeh, S., 2015. "Eight principles of integrated pest management." *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, vol. 35, pp. 1199-1215. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-015-0327-9>
- [15] Khoo, K. C. and Ho, C. T., 1992. "The influence of *dolichoderus thoracicus* (hymenoptera: formicidae) on losses due to *helopeltis theivora* (heteroptera: miridae), black pod disease, and mammalian pests in cocoa in Malaysia." *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, vol. 82, pp. 485-491.
- [16] McMahon, P., 2012. "Effect of nutrition and soil function on pathogens of tropical tree crops." In *Cumagun, C.J. (ed). Plant pathology, InTech*.
- [17] Nelson, P. N., Webb, M. J., Berthelsen, S., Curry, G., Yinil, D., and Fidelis, C., 2011. *Nutritional status of cocoa in papua new guinea*. Canberra: ACIAR Tech. Rep. No. 76. ACIAR.
- [18] Dordas, C., 2007. "Role of nutrients in controlling plant diseases in sustainable agriculture." *A Review. Agron Sustain. Dev.*, vol. 28, pp. 33–46.
- [19] Bélanger, R. R., Benhamou, N., and Menzies, J. G., 2003. "Cytological evidence of an active role of silicon in wheat resistance to powdery mildew." *Blumeria Graminis F. Sp Tritici*, vol. 93, pp. 402–412.
- [20] Dann, E. K. and Muir, S., 2002. "Peas grown in media with elevated plant-available silicon levels have higher activities of chitinases and β -1, 3-glucanase, are less susceptible to a fungal leaf spot pathogen and accumulate more foliar silicon." *Australia Plant Pathol.*, vol. 31, pp. 9-13. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1071/AP01047>
- [21] Datnoff, L. E., Snyder, G. H., and Deren, C. W., 1992. "Influence of silicon fertilizer grades on blast and brown spot development and on rice yields." *Plant Dis.*, vol. 76, pp. 1011–1013.
- [22] Rodrigues, F. A., Dallagnol, L. J., Duarte, H. S. S., and Datnoff, L. E., 2015. "Silicon control of foliar diseases in monocots and dicots." In *Rodrigues, F.A. and Datnoff, L.E. (eds). Silicon and plant disease Springer Int. Publ., Switzerland.* pp. 67–108.
- [23] Fauteux, F., Rémus-Borel, W., Menzies, J. G., and Bélanger, R. R., 2005. "Silicon and plant disease resistance against pathogenic fungi." *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, vol. 249, pp. 1–6.
- [24] Goering, H. K. and Van Soest, P. J., 1970. *Forage fiber analysis. Agricultural hand book 379*. USA: Agricultural Research Service.
- [25] Singleton, V. L. and Rossi, J. A., 1965. "Colourimetry of total phenolic with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents." *Am. J. Enol. Viticul.*, vol. 6, pp. 144-158.
- [26] Hii, C. L., Law, C. L., Suzannah, S. M., and Cloke, M., 2009. "Polyphenols in cocoa (*theobroma cacao* L.)." *Asian. J. Food Ag-Indus.*, vol. 2, pp. 702-722.
- [27] Lattanzio, V., Lattanzio, V. M. T., and Cardinali, A., 2006. "Role of phenolics in the resistance mechanisms of plants against fungal pathogens and insects." *Phytochemistry Adv.Res.*, pp. 23-67.

- [28] Biel, K., Matichenkov, V., and Fomina, 2008. "Role of silicon in plant defensive system. Abstract." In *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Silicon in Agr. South Africa*. . pp. 23–31.