Mercury pollution in water and its effect on renal function of school age children in gold mining area Sekotong West Lombok

by Ardiana Ekawanti

Submission date: 08-Apr-2023 08:52PM (UTC-0500) Submission ID: 2059279486 File name: IOP_conference_series_sampul_dll_-_Mercury_Pollution.pdf (1.34M) Word count: 3248 Character count: 17001 7 IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science

PAPER · OPEN ACCESS

Mercury pollution in water and its effect on renal function of school age children in gold mining area Sekotong West Lombok

2 To cite this article: A Ekawanti et al 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 637 012055

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

This content was downloaded from IP address 140.213.150.18 on 13/01/2021 at 05:54

The 7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture and Environment



IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 637 (2021) 012055

Mercury pollution in water and its effect on renal function of school age children in gold mining area Sekotong West Lombok

A Ekawanti^{1*}, S Priyambodo¹, H Kadriyan², A Syamsun³, I A Lestarini⁴, G Wirasaka⁵ and A R Ardianti⁵

¹ Departement Biomedic, Medical Faculty, Mataram University, Mataram, Indonesia ² Departement Otorhinolaryngology, Medical Faculty, Mataram University, Mataram, Indonesia

³ Department Forensic, Medical Faculty, Mataram University, Mataram, Indonesia

⁴ Departement Paraclinic, Medical Faculty, Mataram University, Mataram, Indonesia

⁵ Student of Medical Faculty, Mataram University, Mataram, Indonesia.

Corresponding author: ardiana.ekawanti@unram.ac.id

Abstract. Artisanal small-scale gold mining (ASGM) has affected environment, since gold processing released mercury into the environment. Contaminated water was used as drinking water and affected human body. Kidney is one of affected organ by mercury, where children population is vulnerable for poisioned. The objective of this research was to find out mercury pollution in drinking water and its effect on renal function of children in ASGM area Sekotong in West Lombok. This preliminary study design was cross sectional. Sample of drinking water collected from various sources in Taman Sari and Telaga Lebur village. Mercury was assessed by using AAS. Renal function was evaluated from urine sample by using dipstick kit to perform proteinuria. Correlation between contaminated water consumption and renal function was statistically analyzed by Spearman's test. Mercury level found in water samples taken from well was 4.009 ppb, while from wellspring was 0.724 ppb and 0 ppb from pipe. From 15s samples, 12s samples higher than mercury threshold for drinking water. Two of 30s school age children had positive proteinuria. Spearman's test showed P-value of 0.000. It concluded that drinking water in ASGM Sekotong West Lombok has been contaminated by mercury and affected kidney function of school-age children.

1. Introduction

Mercury detected in human body is a heavy metal that comes from the anvironment. Mercury pollution could be from soil containing cinnabar ore, volcanoes, artisanal small-scale gold mining (ASGM), the use of cosmetics, equipment used such as battery, lamp etc. and constraint of fish [1]. Mercury contamination in West Nusa Tenggara Province (WNT) predominantly from Artisanal smallscale gold mining (ASGM) and also from nature, since rock containing cinnabar ore was found in West Nusa Teggara (WNT) [2].

Activities of Artisanal small-scale gold mining (ASGM) in province of West Nusa Tenggara (WNT) has started in 2009 [3]. ASGM is a gold mining activity which conducted simple technology. Technology applied hazardous chemicals including mercury (amalgamation) and cyanide



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

8



(cyanidation) [4]. Mercury amalgamation has been the most common method used to recapture gold, because of the availability of mercury, it is considered to be efficient and effective [3]. The amalgamation method results in mercury emissions that get into the environment. Mercury was spilled onto the ground or agriculture land. Atmospheric transport and depoil ion at normal temperature is another common way for Hg to enter many water systems. In addition, Hg is often discharged together with other wastes into inadequate tailings ponds, or directly disposed into rivers and water systems [5].

Mercury and its conjugate chemicals will be absorbed by the body and cause intoxication at certain levels [1]. Once mercury enter the body, it will be distributed to almost entirely organ, the most potent affinity with neuron cell at central nervous system and kidney [6]. Many studies have demonstrated that mercury emissions affect human health [7–11]. Organ disorder depend on several factors, such as dose, route, duration of exposure, development phase (age), species of mercury and organ function [1, 12]. Study by Holmes, et al, observed that there was an increased level of low molecular weight proteins in urine of ASGM workers, although this level was only slightly above those found in the general population, but the significance of such changes in renal excretory profile is of toxicological importance [13]. Study by Ekawanti, et.al, found that 92.6 % of miner in Sekotong had proteinuria [5], so that, it is important to evaluate renal function of children in ASGM area. Krisnayanti reported that soil in Sekotong has already contaminated by mercury [3]. So that, it is important to identify the route and source of mercury from the environment to provide data for promotive health care program.

2. Materials and methods

This was a prominary study and applied cross sectional study design. The research was conducted at ASGM area Sekotong, West Lombok Regency, West Nusa Tenggara Province. The subjects were school age children from two villages; Sekotong Tengah and Taman Sari. The source of contamination assessed by collecting sample sources of drinking water from those villages. Before conducting the study, ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Code Board of the Medical Faculty, Mataram University, School age children which fulfilled inclusion and exclusion criteria were selected as subjects in this study. Inclusion criteria were 6 until 12-year-old children, inhabit in ASGM area for more than one year, did not have history of kidney disorders, had permission to participate from parents by signing informed consent form.

The subjects were recruited by using a non-probability sampling technique (purposive sampling). 20 mL of urine was collected and proteinuria was assessed immediately upon collection by using dipstick urinalysis and the results were stated as g L⁻¹. 200 mL water sample collected from well, pipe and spring water which were used as drinking water in Sekotong Tengah and Taman Sari villages which the location was not more than 500 m from gelondong (ore glinder which used mercury in its process) or tong (ore glinder which used cyanide in its process). Mercury in water sample was measured using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry in Analytical Laboratory Mataram University and concentration stated as ppb. Water and urine data were analyzed using descriptive statistical methods and Spearman's correlation test.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. *Mercury concentration in drinking water sample* Mercury concentration from drinking water samples collected demonstrated as Table 1.

			e		
Water source	Mean of mercury	Below threshold level		Higher than threshold level	
	concentration (ppb)	n	%	n	%
Well	4.009 ppb	1	6.67%	12	80%
Pipe (PDAM)	0 ppb	1	6.67%	0	0%
Spring water	0.724 ppb	1	6.67%	0	0%
Total	3.500 ppb	3	20%	12	80%

Table 1. Mercury concentration in drinking water of ASGM area.

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/637/1/012055

IOP Publishing

Table 1. showed that the higher mercury concentration found in well water sample namely 4.009 ppb, while in pipe water sample was found no mercury contamination.

Table 2. Comparison of mercury concentration in well water sample and reference value.

Water source	Mean of mercury concentration (ppb)	Deviation standard	T value	P value
Well	4.009 ppb	2.03	1 422	0.179
Government standard	1 ppb	-	1.432	0.178

Table 2. demonstrated that mercury concentration in well water sample (4.009 ppb) was four times higher than of government standard value (1.00 ppb). Comparison tested by using t-test resulted p value 0.178, that was meant no significantly different with government standard.

3.2. Characteristic of research participants

This research involved thirty school-age children from two villages in ASGM area, which has characteristic as below.

Participant characteristics	Percentage (%) (n= 32)	
Age		
8 year old	11 (34.4%)	
9 year old	9 (28.1%)	
12 year old	12 (37.5%)	
Gender		
Male	13 (40.6%)	
Female	19 (59.4%)	
Duration of resided		
8 year old	11 (34.4%)	
9 year old	9 (28.1%)	
10 year old	12 (37.5%)	
Parent occupation		
Government officer	4 (12.5%)	
Entrepreneur	1 (3.1%)	
Farmer	20 (62.1%)	
Construction worker	6 (18.8%)	
Others	1 (3.1%)	

Table 3. Characteristics of research participants.

Table 3. presented that most participants were female (75%), and 12% were 10-year-old children. The children participated were not from miner's family but they lived in the vicinity of the smelting process within a radius of less than 500 m and around amalgamation and cyanidation process. Their duration of exposure was more than five years (mean = 9.03 years).

Table 4.	Characteristics	participant	with	proteinuria.

Duration of exposure (year)	Proteinuria	Percentage (n, %)
8	Negative	10 (31.3 %)
9	Positive	1 (3.1 %)
9	Negative	9 (28.1 %)
	Positive	0
10	Negative	11 (34.4 %)
	Positive	1 (3.1 %)
Total		30 (100 %)

The 7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture and Environment IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 637 (2021) 012055 doi



Table 4. displayed that of 30s samples two of them were had proteinuria at duration of exposure 8 years and 10 years. Protein was assessed qualitatively using the dip-stick method and was categorized 11 negative and positive. This was considered to indicate proteinuria since this level was higher than 300 mg L^{-1} (0.3 g L^{-1}). The effect of consumption contaminated water to renal function using Spearman's test, p value was 0.000. There was an impact of mercury on renal function.

All participants in the present study were directly exposed to mercury, since they has been living in the contaminated atmosphere, water, food stuff and soil in the vicinity of the mining activities. Study by Krisnayanti showed that soil and rice in Sekotong has higher mercury level than threshold [5]. Since, gold mining started at 2009 in Sekotong, so that the mercury exposure happened when the children in utero. Fetus was more vulnerable period than other period of children, immaturity of kidney affected excretion of mercury from the body and it was a mechanism of nephrotoxicity [14, 15].

Some study subjects were children that had direct contact with mercury from mercury panning after school and standing in close proximity to burning processes. This type of child activity has also been reported in other gold mining areas [7]. The duration of exposure to mercury contaminants was an average of 9.03 years. This period was much shorter than the 14.8 years needed to show specific clinical manifestations in previous reports. [9]. Other study by Ekawanti, et al, found that in miners and their families need shorter period (5.4 years) to had manifestations including proteinuria and anemia [5]. This manifestation is affected by the type and dose of mercury, the age or developmental stage, duration of exposure and route of exposure [1, 16]. Subjects in this research did not evaluated children of miners, so that they did not had an intensive contact to mercury. Although, some studies found that low level of inorganic mercury exposure did not affected renal function [17, 18]. Weakness of this study that did not identified the dose and species of mercury exposure.

Protein levels in urine were obtained by converting the qualitatives proteinuria dip-stick results categorized as negative, trace, +1, +2, +3, +4 to quantitative values of 0 g L⁻¹, <0.3 g L⁻¹, 0.3 g L⁻¹, 1 g L⁻¹ and ≥ 20 g L⁻¹, respectively. Proteinuria was identified by results of +2 and ≥ 0.3 g L⁻¹ [19, 20]. This result was similar to findings of proteinuria in patients exposed to products containing mercury [21]. In addition, proteinuria is a clinical manifestation of mercury intoxication with elemental, inorganic and ethyl-mercury [22]. This study found that two children have proteinuria, also the same as found by same studies [5, 9, 21, 23-26]. Nephrotoxicity happened when mercury exposure level more the level for neurotoxicity. Common causes of urinary mercury excretion were elemental [27] and organic mercury exposure [8]. The source of mercury was from minerals containing cinnabar (mercury containing ore) as source of mercury from nature [2]. These children lived around small scale mining, that activity in processing gold was conducted at home, this process would directly exposed the children to mercury vapor during the smelting process. When inhaled, mercury would be dispersed rapidly into the blood and might deposit in other organs e.g. brain, kidney, placenta, thyroid and others [6]. In ASGM area Sekotong, most of the water sources for amalgamation processes and daily life come from the same well, and often the tailing ponds are nearby the well [5]. In this study found that mercury concentration in well water samples were higher than Indonesia Ministry of Health standard [28, 29].

Persistent proteinuria indicates kidney disease and the most common impacts of this condition are diabetes, hypertension, obesity and medicine or chemical substances [12, 24]. One of the chemical substances that affects proteinuria is mercury [27], and to confirm this, repeated tests are required. People with consistent positive results in repetitive measurement could be categorized as having persistent proteinuria and considered to have kidney disease. A weakness of this preliminary study was that there were no repeated tests to confirm persistent proteinuria. Evaluation of blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine is needed in future studies.

The distance from gold processing location determined the level of mercury concentration that accumulates in well water, where the closer the distance from the gold processing location, the higher mercury concentration level [30]. In addition, according to Hanafiah study, soil nature affected mercury uptake into groundwater, where sand-dominated soil had large macro pores, soil dominated

4

The 7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture and Environment IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 637 (2021) 012055 doi



by dust (sift) had moderate pores (meso), and those that are predominantly mud had a lot of small pores (micro). The slope of the soil affected speed of water flow which in turn will affect the deposition of mercury in water [31]. The construction of a watertight well wall is a construction that meets the requirements according to Chandra study that watertight well can reduce mercury pollution from the ground [32]. Most of well were watertight well, and all of wells were closer to gold processing location (gelondong)

4. Conclusion

It concluded that drinking water in ASGM Sekotong West Lombok has been contaminated by mercury and affected kidney function of school-age children. This result need further investigation to evaluate kidney function test.

Acknowledgments

We thank to Mataram University for funding this research. We thank to the headmaster of SDN 4 Sekotong Tengah for supporting sample collection. We thank to H. Idris for helping sample preparation and sample measurement.

References

- [1] WHO 2008 Guidance for Identifying Population at Risk from Mercury Exposure (Geneva: UNEP)
- [2] Gunradi R 2005 Evaluasi Sumber Daya Cadangan Bahan Galian untuk Pertambangan Skala Kecil Daerah Pulau Lombok Provinsi Nusa Tenggara Barat (Evaluation of Mineral Reserve Resources for Small-Scale Minig in Lombok Island, West Nusa Tenggara Province) (Lombok: Pemaparan Hasil Kegiatan Subdit Konservasi) Available from: psdg.bgl.esdm.go.id
- [3] Krisnayanti B D, Anderson C W N, Utomo W H, Feng X, Handayanto E, Mudarisna N, Ikram H and Khususiah 2012 J. Environ. Monit. 14 2598
- [4] Veiga M M, Angeloci S G and Meech J A 2014 *The Extractive Industry and Society* **1** 351–361
- [5] Ekawanti A and Krisnayanti B D 2015 J. Health Pollut. 5 25–32
- [6] Fornazier, Mariana Z G, Nascimento, Tatiani B, Marques, Vinicius B, Saint'Pierre, Tatiana D, Vassalo, Dalton, Brandão, Geisamanda P, Santos, Leonardo D, Carneiro and Maria T W D 2018 Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society 29 1579–1584
- [7] Bose O S 2008 Environtmental Research **107** 89–97
- [8] Claire D B, Buchet J P, Leroyer A, Nisse C, Haguenoer J M, Mutti A, Smerhovsky Z, Cikrt M, Ochocka M T, Razniewska G, Jakubowski M and Bernard A 2005 *Environ Health Perpect*. 114 584–590
- [9] Franko A, Budihna M V and Fikfak M D 2005 Ann. Occup. Hyg. 49 521-7
- [10] Kobal A B, Flisar Z, Miklavcic V, Dizdarevic T and Briski A S 2000 Arh. Hig. Rada Toxicol 51 369–380
- [11] Lentini P, Zanoli L, Granata A, Signorelli S S, Castellino P and Dell A R 2017 Mol. Med. Rep. 15 3413–3419
- [12] Kadriyan H, Sulaksana M A, Nurhidayati and Suprihartini B E 2019 International Journal Of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma 1 1–2
- [13] Holmes P, James K A F and Levy L S 2009 Science of The Total Environment 408 171–182
- [14] Weidemann D K, Weaver V M and Fadrowski J J 2016 Pediatr. Nephrol. 31 2043-2054
- [15] Roels H A, Hoet P and Lison D 1999 Ren. Fail. 21 251-262
- [16] Limbong D, Kumampung J, Rimper J, Arai T and Miyazaki N 2003 Science of The Total Environment 302 227–236
- [17] Zhang C, Gan C, Ding L, Xiong M, Zhang A and Li P 2020 Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 189 109987
- [18] Kim N H, Hyun Y Y, Lee K B, Chang Y, Rhu S, Oh K H and Ahn C 2015 J. Korean Med. Sci.

The 7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture and Environment


IOP Publishing


IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 637 (2021) 012055 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/637/1/012055

30 272-277


- [19] De S D A, Halsted A C, Cote A M, Sabr Y, Dadelszen P and Magee A L 2014 J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Can. 36 605–612
- [20] BPAC N Z 2013 Interpreting Urine Dipstick Test in Adult (A Reference Guide for Primary Care) (New Zealand: BPAC)
- [21] Li P, Du B, Chan H M and Feng X 2015 Environmental Research 140 198-204
- [22] Clarkson T W 2002 Environmental Health Perspective 110 11-23
- [23] Dhanapriya J, Gopalakrishnan N, Arun V, Dineshkumar T, Sakthirajan R, Balasubramaniyan T and Haris M 2016 Indian J. Nephrol. 26 206–8
- [24] Sommar J N, Svensson M K, Björ B M, Elmståhl S I, Hallmans G, Lundh T, Schön S M I, Skerfving S and Bergdahl I A 2013 Environ. Health. 12 9
- [25] Li S J, Zhang S H, Chen H P, Zeng C H, Zheng C X, Li S H and Liu Z H 2010 Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 5 439–444
- [26] Rana M N, Tangpong J and Rahman M M 2018 Toxicol Rep. 5 704–713
- [27] Abdennour C, Khelili K, Boulakoud MS, Nezzal A, Boubsil S and Slim S 2002 Environmental Research 89 245–249
- [28] Menkes RI 1990 Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan Nomor: 416/MEN.KES/PER/IX/2009 (Minister of Health Regulation Number: 416/MEN.KES/PER/IX/2009) (Indonesia: Minister of Health of the Republic of Indonesia)
- [29] Menkes RI 2010 Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan Nomor: 492/MEN.KES/PER/IV/2010 (Minister of Health Regulation Number: 492/MEN.KES/PER/IV/2010) (Indonesia: Minister of Health of the Republic of Indonesia)
- [30] Boky H, Umboh J and Ratag B 2015 Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan Masyarakat Unsrat 5 63–70
- [31] Hanafiah K A 2005 Dasar-Dasar Ilmu Tanah (Basics of Soil Science) (Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada)
- [32] Chandra B 2007 Pengantar Kesehatan Lingkungan (Introduction to Environmental Health) (Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kedokteran)


6






File Edit Vie	w Sign	Window Help		
Home	Tools	_2020_IOP_Conf	_2021_IOP_Conf	_2021_IOP_Conf ×
🖺 ☆	എ	🖶 🔍 🗇 🕹	2 / 3 📐 🖑	
		Committee of ICSAE 2 Honorary Planning Committee (in 1. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Yumu, Vice Ree 2. Dr. Mutarifa Şahin, Prezident, Sele 3. Dr. Muhammad Sayian, Mattarami 4. Prof. Dr. Samanhudi, Dean Facul Conference Chairt (in alphabetical 1. Dr. Mithat Durek, Aspricultural Eco 2. Dr. Sigit Prastowo, Animal Science Technical Program Committee (in 1. Dr. Ahmad Mohammed Ahmed, A 2. Dr. Azam Kaka, Biotechnology, V Conference Secretary (in alphabeti 1. Dr. Adit Rativyanto, Animal Science 2. Dr. Sigit Prastowo, Animal Science 2. Dr. Analia Tetrani Sakya, MS Indonesia Treasurer 1. Prof. Dr. Sri Hartati, Agrotechnolo Organizing Committee Members (in 3. Ms. Raina Paji Lestani 3. Ms. Raina Rahmadwiyati 4. Mr. Rohmad Setaji 3. Ms. Wafa Nur Hanrifsh 6. Ms. Wima Candra M Scientific Committee Members (in 1. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Ahm 2. Dr. Anissa Gara, Institut atolog 3. Dr. Anissa Gara, Institut atolog 3. Dr. Anissa Gara, Institut atolog 4. Dr. Agalar Ali, Institute of Ag 1. Dr. Banbang Pujasamato, 3. Dr. Anissa Gara, Agricultural, Rajoculture, Pakat 3. Dr. Anissa Gara, Institut atolog 4. Dr. Banbang Pujasamato, 5. Prof. Dr. Banbang Pujasamato, 7. Dr. Bilal Canek, Agricultural, Rajoculture, Pakat 3. Dr. Balla Canek, Agricultural Banden Alm 3. Dr. Balla Canek, Agricultural Banden Alm	al Science 637 (2021) 011002 doi:10.10 2020 alphabetical order) tor I, Sebelan Maret University, Indone ta University, Turkey University, Turkey University, Indonesia ty of Agriculture Sebelas Maret Univer- order) onomy, Selcuk University, Konya, Turk e, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia alphabetical order) gy, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia a loganomic of Agrotechnology, S bogy, Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia a laphabetical order) sed, Tanta University, Egypt echnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Matra al agronomice de Tuniste and Institi a and Resource Economice, Fi	eria sity, Indonesia vey ta University, Egypt debelas Maret University, ia aram University, Indonesia ut national de recherches aculty of Social Sciences, iversity, Indonesia University, Indonesia University, Indonesia University, Indonesia University, Turkey Si University, Turkey





C	○ 合 == http	s://iopscience. iop.org /issue/1755-1315/637/1
eer review decl	aration	
Open abstract	TView article	Z PDF
Papers		
OPEN ACCESS		012001
	ucing environmental	disaster based on social capital (A case study in Indonesia)
	di, B Sudardi and M Wija	
+ Open abstract	View article	DF PDF
OPEN ACCESS		012002
Semar Sandy-ap	p: monitoring system	n for sandy soil irrigation based on android application
I Arifin, A Herawati	, Sumani Mujiyo and H	Widijanto
+ Open abstract	View article	D PDF
OPEN ACCESS		012003
The importance	of tropical edible fru	it plants for tribal communities in East Aceh region, Indonesia
Z I Navia, A B Suwi	ardi and Nuraini	
+ Open abstract	View article	D PDF
OPEN ACCESS		012004
Seasonal variation	on in hybrid seed ad	option: The case of chilli in Indonesia
A L Sayekti, R A Nu	igrahapsari and A M Ha	sibuan
+ Open abstract	View article	PDF
OPEN ACCESS		012005
The effect of row North Lombok,		e and soybean intercropping on growth and yield of component crops in sandy soil
W Astiko, N M L Er	nawati and I P Silawiba	Na
+ Open abstract	View article	D PDF
OPEN ACCESS		012006
		l organic carbon and maize yield in a semiarid area
I K D Jaya, Sudirma	an and I W Sudika	To pho



Mercury pollution in water and its effect on renal function of school age children in gold mining area Sekotong West Lombok

ORIGINA	ALITY REPORT				
SIMILA	2% ARITY INDEX	8% INTERNET SOURCES	10% PUBLICATIONS	<mark>%</mark> student pa	PERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES				
1	Bannikov paramet mine sha heteroge	Alkhdour, Oleks v, lhor Heletiuk. ers for a non-ci aft under constr eneous rock ma arth and Enviro	"Substantiati rcular structu ruction in a issif", IOP Con	ing the re of the Iference	3%
2	eprints.L	ithm.edu.my ^e			3%
3	ARAI, N I environr artisanal Indonesi	ONG, J KUMAMP MIYAZAKI. "Emi nental implicati gold mining in a", The Science nent, 2003	ssions and ons of mercu north Sulawe	ry from	1 %
4		es, K.A.F. James, nental mercury			1%

to human health?", Science of The Total Environment, 2009

Publication

5	link.springer.com	1%
6	repository.ung.ac.id	1%
7	centaur.reading.ac.uk	<1%
8	www.neliti.com Internet Source	<1%
9	Mengistie Tariku. "Evaluation of Maternal Death Surveillance and Response System in Dewachefa Woreda, Oromia Zone, Amhara Region, Ethiopia, 2018", Research Square, 2020 Publication	<1%
10	kushecleaner.com	<1%
11	repositorio.ufsc.br Internet Source	<1%
12	www.thieme-connect.com	<1%
13	Arifin, Yayu, Masayuki Sakakibara, and Koichiro Sera. "Impacts of Artisanal and Small- Scale Gold Mining (ASGM) on Environment	<1%

and Human Health of Gorontalo Utara Regency, Gorontalo Province, Indonesia", Geosciences, 2015.

Publication

14 Justice Afrifa, Samuel Essien-Baidoo, Richard K.D. Ephraim, Daniel Nkrumah, Daniel Osei Dankyira. "Reduced egfr, elevated urine protein and low level of personal protective equipment compliance among artisanal small scale gold miners at Bibiani-Ghana: a crosssectional study", BMC Public Health, 2017 Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		