

# C26. Syahrrial A.

*by* A. Syahrrial

---

**Submission date:** 12-May-2023 02:34AM (UTC-0500)

**Submission ID:** 2091158183

**File name:** C26. Prisma Sains, Vol. 10, No. 2, April 2022, Hal. 183-191.pdf (797.72K)

**Word count:** 4953

**Character count:** 27364



## The Validity of the Discovery Learning Model to Improve Students Creative Thinking Skills

Dwi Septia Kari, Syahrial Ayub, \*Ni Nyoman Sri Putu Verawati

Physics Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Mataram, Jl. Majapahit No.62 Mataram, 83125, Indonesia.

\*Corresponding Author e-mail: [veyra@unram.ac.id](mailto:veyra@unram.ac.id)

Received: January 2022; Revised: March 2022; Published: April 2022

### Abstract

This study aims to determine the validity of the product in the form of learning tools based on discovery learning models to improve creative thinking skills of students on momentum and impulse material. The type of research that used in this research is R&D (Research and Development) with a 4D model namely define, design, develop and disseminate. The products developed are syllabus, Learning implementation plan, teaching materials, Student worksheet and creative thinking ability test instruments. Validity data was obtained from the results of filling out the validation sheet by six validators, namely three expert validators and three practitioner validators. The validation sheets are filled by likert scale of 1-4. The percentage of validity results by expert validators has an average value of 84.82% with a fairly valid category. And the results of the validity value by the practitioner validators have an average value of 64.12% with a very valid category. The result of this study indicates that learning devices based on discovery learning models to improve students creative thinking skills are valid for use in learning at school.

**Keywords:** Learning tools, discovery learning, creative thinking skills

**How to Cite:** Kari, D., Ayub, S., & Verawati, N. (2022). The Validity of the Discovery Learning Model to Improve Students Creative Thinking Skills. *Prisma Sains : Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram*, 10(2), 183-191. doi:<https://doi.org/10.33394/j-ps.v10i2.4720>

<https://doi.org/10.33394/j-ps.v10i2.4720>

Copyright© 2022, Kari et al

This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License.



### INTRODUCTION

In the world of education, it is very important to ensure that students must have various abilities. 21<sup>st</sup> century abilities are abilities that are needed by students at this time (Hutagalung, 2019). In the face of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, of course it must be balanced with the progress of the abilities by students (Aji, 2017). Every school needs to equip students with today's 21<sup>st</sup> century abilities namely, critical thinking, creative thinking, problem solving, cooperative and communication. These skills are skills that can be developed from learning situations into daily life (Setiawan et al., 2014). Because new standards are needed so feature students will have the competencies that are needed in the 21<sup>st</sup> century (Zubaidah, 2016).

One of the 21<sup>st</sup> century abilities that students must have is the skills to think creatively. Creative thinking skills can make students more active, dare to express opinions, problems and solutions (Aswan, 2016). Creative thinking skills is a type of thinking that leads students into new insights, new approaches, new perspectives and whole new ways to understand things (Eragamreddy, 2013). Based on Torrance indicators the skills to think creatively has several indicators namely, fluency, flexibility, originality and elaboration (Sipayung et al., 2018). If these indicators can be achieved, it means that students have succeeded in applying creative thinking skills (Nurlaela et al., 2019). One of the advantages of having the ability to think creatively is being able to solve a problem in learning activities (Koestiari et al., 2015).

However, the creative thinking skills of students in current learning activities are less prominent, because schools do not facilitate students at this point (Tumurun et al., 2017).

47  
This statement is in line with the results of observations that have been made by researchers to students of SMAN 1 gerung. In learning activities, students are seen to be less active in responding to the material that has been taught. The application of learning tools such as student worksheet is also not implemented properly. As is known, student worksheet really helps students to understand the material by conducting experiments. So that activeness of students, which is a determinant of the implementation of learning activities can increase. This is in line with the results of Damanik's research (2018) in his research, there are some teachers who only make tools for administrative completeness and student worksheet is not applied. This resulted in the learning objectives could not be achieved properly. The results of observations also state that students in learning activities only take notes, attention to teacher explanations, summarize material books and work on questions (Butar et al., 2020). Teachers are still the only source of information in learning activities. And these activities are not in accordance with the learning process applied in the 2013 curriculum. That is student centered learning. The ongoing learning stills applies teacher centered learning (Damanik, 2018).

Teacher learning centered is a method of learning lectures, questions and answers, assignments and discussions using the blackboard as the only medium in the learning process, so that the involvement of students in the learning process is less prominent (Simanjuntak et al., 2021). Teacher centered learning has an impact on classroom learning, which causes students to be less able to use their potential and do not understand the concept of learning well (Ramadhani, 2017). Coupled with the covid-19 pandemic which has an effect on online learning. Students only understand learning based on their own interpretation (Asmuni, 2020). The existence of a concept error is also one of the factors that makes it difficult to improve creative thinking skills. However, this can be changed by changing the learning paradigm from teacher centered to student centered (Verawati et al., 2017). One way is by applying a learning model. One of the learning models that can be used to improve or develop students creative thinking skills in learning is the discovery learning model.

Discovery learning model is a teaching method that can regulate students in order to gain new knowledge that has not been known before, so that knowledge is obtained from their own experience not through the teacher (Suwandari et al., 2019). Students can also find concepts in a more structured and can formulate learning problems in a better way. (Tumurun, 2016). Discovery learning model has six indicators namely, stimulation to stimulate students, identifying problems, collecting data, processing data, verifying data dan making conclusions (In'am & Hajah, 2015). These indicators will help students to improve their creative thinking skills. To support the development of the discovery learning model, a learning device is needed as a medium.

48  
Learning devices are tools that have been prepared by the teacher to support the learning process (Salam et al., 2017). With the learning tools, teacher can more easily to convey the material. The integration of the implementation learning model is poured in the form of learning tools. With the teachers learning tools, it will be easier to convey learning material (Sahidu, 2019). Based on this description, this researcher aims to develop learning tools that are in accordance with the 2013 curriculum, namely student-centered learning tools. Thus learning device based on a discovery learning model was develop.

## METHOD

10  
The type of research that used by researchers in this study is Research and Development. Research and development is research method that used to produce certain products and test the effectiveness of those products (Arifuddin et al., 2021). The development model that used in this study is the 4-D model. The development model consists of four stages namely, define, design, develop and disseminate, which was develop by Thiagarajan. However, in this study only three stages were used, that is until the development stage. Define stage, is the first stage of this research, this stage aims to obtain information about the curriculum used, student characteristics, field problems, learning

models and concepts in learning. Interview sheets are used in this stage, as a data collection tool. **Design stage**, aims to prepare a prototype that will be used in research. Learning tools that will be developed are syllabus, lesson plan, teaching materials, student worksheet and test instruments. **Develop stage**, aims to produce learning tools. The learning tools that were developed in validated for suggestions and comments by experts alidators and practitio validators. The validation of the learning tools was carried out by 3 expert validatots from the Physics Education lecturer at the University of Mataram and 3 practitioner validators who were physics subject teachers at SMAN 1 Gerung and SMAN 2 Gerung. The formula used in calculating the percentage of validation obtained is.

$$P = \frac{f}{N} \times 100\%$$

Description:

f = Score obtained

N = Maximum score

P = Percentage number

Changing the data from the assessment results from letters to scores with the provisions of using the rating scale in table 1.

**Table 1.** Assesment Score Against Answer Choices

23 Statement	SS	S	TS	STS
Positive statement	4	3	2	1
Negative statement	1	2	3	4

(Source: Hidayat Aziz, 2013).

Validation questionnaire and response using four choices of statements. The percentage data is then converted into the criteria for the validity of the learning device.

**Table 2.** Learning Toll Validity Criteria (Akbar, 2013)

Per 25 tage (%)	Validity Criteria
85.1-100	Very valid
70.1-85	Quite valid
50.1-70	Not valid valid
0-50	Invalid

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Define stage

At this stage, four activities are carried out, namely (a) The initial analysis was conducted to find out the basic problems that occur ins school through observation. Observations were made by interviewing physics teachers at SMAN 1 Gerung. It was obtained information that physics learning that took place in the classroom ter 27 to be less active in responding. The learning provided is still one way or teacher centered. This is in line with the research results by Purohita et al., (2020) where the learning that takes place in the classroom is only teacher centered, not in line with the expectations of the government which expects student centered learning.

The use of student worksheet learning tools is also not applied in learning. (b) Concept analysis is the identification stage of the main concepts. By linking the main concept and other concepts will form a concept maps (c) Task analysis is a collection of information needed to determine the contents of the assignment draft in the lesson plan derived from core competencies and basic competencies (Wiyatmo et al., 2015). 133 subject that used in this lesson is momentum and impulse material (d) The specification of learning objectives is the formulation of learning objectives based on core competencies and basic competencies about a material concept (Wiyatmo et al., 2015). Indicators become a reference in making learning objectives in this study.

### Desig 37 tage

The 13 purpose of this stage is to prepare a prototype of the learning tools use 13 in the research. The learning tools based on the discovery learning model are composed of syllabus,

lesson plans, teaching materials, worksheets and test instruments. Learning tools are then validated by expert validators and practitioners.

### **Develop stage**

Validation of learning devices, this validation stage aims to obtain data on the results of the validity of the devices developed by researchers before being tested (Saputro et al., 2015). The validation of learning tools was carried out by three expert validators and three practitioner validators. The expert validator is a lecturer in the Department of Physics Education, FKIP, University of Mataram and the practitioner validator is a physics subject teacher at school. In the validation there are qualitative data and quantitative data. Qualitative data obtained from comments and suggestions given by the validator. While quantitative data is obtained from the results of filling out the validation sheet by the validator. The validation sheet is filled out using a Likert scale. Based on the results of the validation sheet, the data obtained from research on the validity of learning devices from expert validators and practitioner validators with an average value of 89.47% which is in the very valid category.

### **Syllabus validity**

The syllabus is a reference for making other learning tools. The average percentage of syllabus validation is 90.8% with a very valid category. However, it still needs some improvements based on the suggestions and comments that have been given. This is in line with the results of the Indiastuti research (2016) where suggestions from the validator will later be used as material in making revisions. Based on the results of the assessment as well as suggestions and comments given by the validator, overall this syllabus is suitable for use in learning at school. Because it contains all the components of the syllabus in accordance with applicable school regulations. Where the components of the syllabus that are made generally contain competency standards, basic competencies, indicators, subject matter, learning activities, assessments, time allocation, and learning resources (Zubaidi, 2015:112). And all these components have been included in the syllabus made by the researcher.

### **Lesson plan validity**

The lesson plan is a short-term plan to estimate the things that will be done in learning. The average percentage of lesson plan validation is 90.8% with a very valid category. Based on the results of the assessment of suggestions and comments given, overall lesson plan with the application of the discovery learning learning model is feasible to use in learning in schools. Because it contains all the components of the lesson plan in accordance with applicable government regulations. Where according to article 20 of the government regulation, the lesson plan contains at least the learning objectives, teaching materials, teaching methods, learning resources and assessment of learning outcomes. (Zubaidi, 2015:110). And the lesson plan made by the researcher contains all of these things.

### **Validity of teaching materials**

Teaching materials are the main things that need to be conveyed by the teacher and understood by students, so that later students are able to master the learning delivered. The average percentage of teaching material validation is 88,5% with a very valid category. However, in the validation of this teaching materials, some improvements are still needed based on the validators suggestions and comments. Based on the overall assessment and suggestions and comments, this teaching materials is feasible to be applied in learning at school. This is because, learning tools in the form of concept maps and teaching materials contained in teaching materials really help students to understand the subject matter, because presentation in the form of books alone will not be enough for students, so other media are needed, namely teaching materials.

### **Validity of worksheet**

Student worksheet are sheets that used as guidelines in learning that contain experiments and questions. Student worksheet make experiments from each material

presented in several meetings that refer to indicators of creative thinking skills. The average percentage of validation for student worksheet is 88,05% with a very valid category. Based on the assessment of suggestions and comments, this student worksheet is feasible to be applied in learning in schools. This is also because the LKPD made by researchers has included components of creative thinking skills in the questions made. Another thing is because the student worksheet that is made contains interesting pictures/illustrations and brings up experimental/experimental activities that can support the performance of students to improve creative thinking skills.

#### **The validity of the creative thinking ability test instrument**

The test instrument is one part of the learning device that is used as a measure of the achievement of learning competencies. The instrument test prepared by the researcher was intended to measure the creative thinking skills of students at school. The average percentage validation of the creative thinking skills test instrument is 89,2% with a very valid category. Overall, the test instrument learning device to improve students' creative thinking skills is feasible to be applied in learning at school. This is because the test instrument made contains components of creative thinking abilities. So that the expected learning objectives can be realized properly.

The following are the results of the analysis of the validity of learning tools from expert validators and practitioner validators.

**Table 3.** The Results of the Validity Analysis of the Validator Learning Tool

No	Learning Tools	Scoring scores by expert validators						Total	(%)	Category
		V.1	V.2	V.3	V.4	V.5	V.6			
1	Syllabus	87.5	80	90	92.5	100	95	544.8	90.8	Very valid
2	Lesson plan	85	80	92.5	90	100	97.5	544.8	90.8	Very valid
3	Student worksheet	90.6	78.1	87.5	78.1	94	100	528.3	88.05	Very valid
4	Teaching materials	84.3	78.1	87.5	90.6	97	93.7	531.3	88.5	Very valid
5	Test instrument	89.2	78.5	83.9	94.6	92.8	96.4	535.4	89.2	Very valid
<b>Average</b>							<b>89.47</b>			
<b>Category</b>							<b>Very valid</b>			

The results of the percentage of validity obtained from the expert validators are in the quite valid category with an average value of 84.82%. As for the practitioner validator, the average percentage value is 94.12% and is in the very valid category. In general, for research from expert validators and practitioner validators, the learning tools developed are assessed in a very valid category from the syllabus to the creative thinking skills test instrument. Thus, the learning tools used in the research are considered adequate. This is supported by the research results of Purohita et al., (2020) where researchers found that the use of discovery learning-based learning tools was able to improve students' creative thinking skills.

Calculation of validity data from expert validators and practitioner validators can be seen in the following Table 4 and Table 5.

**Table 4.** The Results of the Analysis Validity of the Expert Validator Learning Tool

No	Learning tools	Scoring scores by expert validators			Total	(%)	Category
		V.1	V.2	V.3			
1	Syllabus	87.5	80	90	257.5	85.8	Very valid
2	Lesson plan	85	80	92.5	257.5	85.8	Very valid
3	Student worksheet	90.6	78.1	87.5	256.2	85.4	Very valid
4	Teaching materials	84.3	78.1	87.5	249.9	83.3	Quite valid
5	Test instrument	89.2	78.5	83.9	251.5	83.8	Quite valid
<b>Average</b>				<b>84.82</b>	<b>Quite valid</b>		

8

**Table 5.** The Results of the Analysis Validity of the Practitioners Validator Learning Tool

No	Learning tools	Scoring scores by practitioners validators			Sum	(%)	Category
		V.4	V.5	V.6			
1	Syllabus	92.5	100	95	287.5	95.8	Very valid
2	Lesson plan	90	100	97.5	287.5	95.8	Very valid
3	Student worksheet	78.1	94	100	272.1	90.7	Very valid
4	Teaching materials	90.6	97	93.7	281.3	93.7	Very valid
5	Test instrument	94.6	92.8	96.4	283.8	94.6	Very valid
<b>Average</b>					<b>94.12</b>		<b>Very valid</b>

Qualitative data obtained from the validation sheet in the form of suggestions and comments from expert validators and practitioner validators. These suggestions and comments are used by researchers as a reference in improving learning devices. However, if the learning device made is in the invalid category, it can be revised first. So that the learning tools are categorized as valid and can be used in learning at school. The following are suggestions and comments provided by expert validators and practitioner validators.

**Table 6.** Suggestions and Comments of Expert Validators and Practitioners

No	Learning tools	Suggestions and Comments	Repair
1	Syllabus	Validator ahli In the syllabus, it is necessary to add time to test students creative thinking skills in the form of daily tests Validator praktisi In learning activities, it is more clear about the activities of students and teachers	Adding time to test students' creative thinking skills during daily tests Adding student learning activities to the syllabus
2	Lesson plan	The assessment is broken down between knowledge, skills and attitudes Validator ahli In the validation rubric there needs to be a statement of the relevance of the learning process to improve students' creative thinking skills On the validation sheet it is necessary to have a TPACK learning process Initial activities should be varied and there are alternative teacher choices Validator praktisi	Detailing/adding assessment of knowledge, skills and attitudes Adding a description of creative thinking skills on the lesson plan validation sheet Adjusting the TPACK learning process on lesson plan validation Adding alternative apperception options for teachers
3	Student worksheet	Validator ahli The validation sheet does not only talk about technique or completeness but contains the content or contents of the student worksheet to support improving creative thinking skills Validator praktisi Activities in student worksheet are adjusted to thr learning objectives in the lesson plan The activities steps are explained in the sentence structure The result recording table is made clearer	- Adding a description of creative thinking skills on the student worksheet validation sheet Adjusting student worksheet activities with the objectives of the lesson plan Clarifying sentence structure in student worksheet Make the table of recording results clearer
4	Teaching materials	Validator ahli Adjust the validation statement with the material or teaching materials, namely momentum and impulse, so that it is more specific and not general	Clarify the validation statement by adding momentum and impulse descriptions to the appropriate criteria

	Validator praktisi	
5	Test instrument	
	Validasi ahli	
	The statement on the validation sheet must contain elements that support creative thinking skills	Add a description of the ability to think creatively on the appropriate criteria
	The concepts of momentum and impulse are written down	Adding momentum and impulse description to the appropriate criteria

Suggestions and comments given by expert validators and practitioner validators indicate improvements that must be made by researchers to the learning tools developed. Improvements made by researchers have been listed in the repair column above. Thus, the learning tools developed as a whole in the study are feasible or valid to be used in the learning process in schools. This is based on the results of the percentage value of validity and the improvement of suggestions and comments given by expert validators and practitioner validators.

Learning tools based on the discovery learning model is one way that can be used to implement the 2013 curriculum comprehensively. Discovery learning based learning activities can make learning more meaningful for students to understand the material with the ability of the relevance of the information they have (Roza *et al.*, 2018). This is in accordance with research (Arifani, 2016) which states that the discovery learning model is the most effective learning model in learning in the form of a team or independently. The learning tools developed are expected to change the learning paradigm from what was originally teacher centered to become student centered, the approach that was originally more textual turned into contextual. This is supported by the results of research by Damanik *et al.*, (2018) which says that the discovery learning model is considered capable of changing teacher centered learning into student centered learning.

#### CONCLUSION

Based on the results of research and discussion, it can be concluded that the product development of discovery learning model devices to improve students creative thinking skills on momentum and impulse material is valid for use in learning at school.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Based on research result, (1) limited time in learning due to the covid-19 pandemic; (2) the problem of creative thinking skills made only consists of one question for one indicator; (3) the covid-19 pandemic has made interactions between researchers and student rare outside of class hours; so for researchers who will conduct research on the development of similar devices to pay attention to each part and element in the learning device and described obstacles.

#### REFERENCES

- Aji, D. S. (2017). Pengembangan Modul Pembelajaran Fisika Berbasis *Problem Based Learning* untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Fisika. *Science Education Journal*, 1(1), 36-51.
- Akbar, S. (2013). *Instrumen Perangkat Pembelajaran*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya Offset.
- Arifani, Y. (2016). The Implementation of Team Based Discovery Learning to Improve Students Ability in Writing Research Proposal. *Canadian Center of Science and Education*. 9(2), 111-119.
- Arifuddin, M., Hidayah, N. P., & Mahtari, S. (2021). The Development of Electronic Modulus with Science Literature Through Direct Instruction of Impulse and Momentum Materials. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1-6.



- Asmuni, A. (2020). Problematika Pembelajaran Daring di Masa Pandemi Covid-19 dan Solusi Pemecahannya. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Pengembangan Pendidikan*, 4(7), 281-288.
- Aswan, A. (2016). *Strategi Pembelajaran Berbasis PAIKEM*. Yogyakarta: Aswaja Pressindo.
- Butar, M., Murni, A., & Roza, Y. (2020). Peraktilitas Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran dengan Penerapan Model *Discovery Learning* untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif. *Jurnal Cendikia: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(2), 480-486.
- Damanik, J. W., & Syahputra, E. (2018). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Matematis Siswa Menggunakan Model *Discovery Learning*. *Jurnal Inspiratif*, 4(1), 27-38.
- Eragamreddy, N. (2013). Teaching Creative Thinking Skills. *IJ:ELTS: International Journal of English Language & Translation Studies*, 1(2), 124-145.
- Hutagalung, T. H. (2020). Mengembangkan Keterampilan Abad 21 dalam Pembelajaran Kompetensi Keahlian RPL Mata Pelejaran Pemrograman Dasar. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Teknologi Pendidikan Pascasarjana UNIMED*, 0(3), 338-342.
- In'am, A., & Hajar, S. (2017). Learning Geometry Through Discovery Learning Using a Scientific Approach. *International Journal of Instruction*, 10(1), 55-70.
- Indiastuti, F. (2016). Pengembangan Perangkat Model *Discovery Learning* Berpendekatan Saintifik untuk Meningkatkan Berfikir Kreatif dan Rasa Ingin Tahu. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 2 (1), 41-55.
- Koestiari, T., Wasis, W., & Saputro, R. P. (2015). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Fisika Model *Discovery Learning* untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar dan Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif. *Pendidikan Sains Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Surabaya*, 5(1), 693-702.
- Nurlaela, L., Ismayati, E., Samani, M., & Buditjahjanto, P. S. (2019). *Strategi Belajar Berpikir Kreatif*. Jakarta: PT.Media Guru Digital Indonesia.
- Purohita, I. G. A. A.M., Suardana, I. N., & Selamet, K. (2020). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran *Discovery Learning* pada Pokok Bahasan Getaran dan Gelombang. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran Sains Indonesia*, 3(1), 12-20.
- Rahman, M. H. (2017). Using Discovery Learning to Encourage Creative Thinking. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies*, 4(2), 98-103.
- Ramadhani, H.S. (2017). Efektivitas Metode Pembelajaran SCL (*Student Centered Learning*) dan TCL (*Teacher Centre Learning*) pada Motivasi Intrinsik & Ekstrinsik Mahasiswa Psikologi UNTAG Surabaya Angkatan Tahun 2014-2015. *Jurnal Psikologi Indonesia*, 2(6), 66-74.
- Roza, N., Arnawa, M., & Yerizon, Y. (2018). Practically of Mathematics Learning Tools Based on Discovery Learning for Topic Sequence and Series. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 7(5), 236-241.
- Sahidu, C. (2019). *Evaluasi Pembelajaran Fisika*. Mataram: FKIP Press.
- Salam, A., Zaibuddin, Z., & Annisa, Y. N. (2017). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Berorientasi Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif Siswa SMP pada Pokok Bahasan Cahaya dengan Model Penemuan Terbimbing. *Berkala Ilmiah Pendidikan*, 5(1), 72-87.
- Saputro, R. P., Wasis, W., & Koestiari, T. (2015). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Model *Discovery Learning* untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar dan Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif. *Pendidikan Sains Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Surabaya*, 5(1), 693-702.
- Setiawan, A., Malik, A., Suhandi, A., & Permanasari, A. (2018). Effect of Higher Order Thinking Laboratory on the Improvement of Critical and Creative Thinking Skills. *Iop Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*.
- Simanjuntak, M. P., Hutahaean, J., Marpaung, N., & Ramadhani, R. (2021). Effectiveness of Problem Based Learning Combined with Computer Simulation on Students Problem

- Solving and Creative Thinking Skills. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(3), 519-534.
- Sipayung, D. H., Sani, R. A., Rahmatsyah, R., & Bunawan, H. (2018). Collaborative Inquiry for 4C Skills. *Atlantis Press*, 200, 440-445.
- Suwandari, S., Ibrahim, M., & Widodo, W. (2019). Application of Discovery Learning to Train the Creative Thinking Skills of Elementary School Student. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 4(12), 410-417.
- Tumurun, S. W., Gusrayani, D., & Jayadinata, A. K. (2016). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran *Discovery Learning* Terhadap Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif Siswa pada Materi Sifat-Sifat Cahaya. *Jurnal Pena Ilmiah*, 1(1), 101-110.
- Verawati, S. P., Kosim, K., Gunawan, G., 'Ardhuha, J., & Arizona, K. (2017). Pengembangan Bahan Ajar Fisika Berbasis LKM Ceria untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis dan Kreatif Mahasiswa Calon Guru Fisika. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika*, 5(1), 18-22.
- Wiyatmo, Y., & Dwisiwi, R. (2015). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Fisika Berbasis *Outbond* Guna Pencapaian Kompetensi Sikap, Pengetahuan dan Keterampilan pada Peserta Didik SMA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika dan Sains*, 2(3), 111-122.
- Zubaidah, S. (2016). Keterampilan Abad 21: Keterampilan yang Diajarkan Melalui Pembelajaran. *Seminar Nasional Pendidikan "Isu-isu Strategis Pembelajaran MIPA Abad XXI (Vol.21)*.
- Zubaidi, Ahmad. (2015). Model-Model Pengembangan Kurikulum dan Silabus Pembelajaran Bahasa Arab. *Cendikia*, 13(1), 107-121.

## C26. Syahril A.

---

### ORIGINALITY REPORT

---

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

10%

INTERNET SOURCES

13%

PUBLICATIONS

5%

STUDENT PAPERS

---

### PRIMARY SOURCES

---

- 1 S Astutik, I K Mahardika, Supeno, Indrawati, F Sugianto. "Development of reqol (real quest outdoor learning) learning model to improve critical thinking skills (criticall thinking skill) in physics education", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020  
Publication 1%
- 2 [journal.iain-samarinda.ac.id](http://journal.iain-samarinda.ac.id)  
Internet Source 1%
- 3 [journal.staihubbulwathan.id](http://journal.staihubbulwathan.id)  
Internet Source 1%
- 4 Submitted to Universitas Mulawarman  
Student Paper 1%
- 5 [repository.uinsaizu.ac.id](http://repository.uinsaizu.ac.id)  
Internet Source 1%
- 6 Adam Malik, Rena Denya Agustina, Wilda Alisia Wardhany. "Improving creative thinking skills of student related to the concept work and energy", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019  
Publication 1%

7	<p>Lalu Rudyat Telly Savalas, I Nyoman Loka, Jannatin 'Ardhuha. "Chemical Analysis of Rice from Converted-to-Organic Paddy Field in Lombok Island", Indo. J. Chem. Res., 2021</p> <p>Publication</p>	1 %
8	<p><a href="http://ejurnal.ung.ac.id">ejurnal.ung.ac.id</a></p> <p>Internet Source</p>	1 %
9	<p>Elsiaulavita Gracia, Haratua Tiur Maria, M. Musa Syarif Hidayatullah. "Difficulty Analysis of Solving HOTS Problems on Harmonic Vibration Using Heller Troubleshooting", Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika, 2022</p> <p>Publication</p>	1 %
10	<p><a href="http://download.atlantis-press.com">download.atlantis-press.com</a></p> <p>Internet Source</p>	1 %
11	<p>I Sitanggang, E S Gultom. "Developing of student worksheets with scientific approach on growth and development topic at SMAN 1 berastagi grade XII academic year 2019/2020", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020</p> <p>Publication</p>	<1 %
12	<p>Submitted to Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia</p> <p>Student Paper</p>	<1 %
13	<p>N Andriani, E Suhendi, A Samsudin, T R Ramalis. "Identification of Creative Thinking Ability of Malay Ethnic Students in</p>	<1 %

Archimedes Law with Rasch Analysis Model (RAM): A Case Study", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

---

14 Submitted to Universitas Jember <1 %  
Student Paper

---

15 ijessr.com <1 %  
Internet Source

---

16 Submitted to Universitas Brawijaya <1 %  
Student Paper

---

17 jes.ejournal.unri.ac.id <1 %  
Internet Source

---

18 E Fikriah, I M Arnawa, Yerizon, A Asmar, S Bahri. "Development of learning media based on to improve the mathematical problem solving abilities of grade 11 senior high school student", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 <1 %  
Publication

---

19 Linda Tri Antika, Atikutul Mukarromah. "Being a scientist during covid-19 pandemic: does guided inquiry affect students' creative thinking skills?", JP BIO (Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi), 2021 <1 %  
Publication

---

20 S Adhiriyanti, H Solihin, M Arifin. "Improving students' creative thinking skills through <1 %

guided inquiry practicums learning with STEM approach", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021

Publication

---

21 [digital.lib.usf.edu](http://digital.lib.usf.edu) <1 %  
Internet Source

---

22 [jurnal.staiannawawi.com](http://jurnal.staiannawawi.com) <1 %  
Internet Source

---

23 [text-id.123dok.com](http://text-id.123dok.com) <1 %  
Internet Source

---

24 Submitted to California State University, Fresno <1 %  
Student Paper

---

25 Submitted to Sriwijaya University <1 %  
Student Paper

---

26 [journal.iaincurup.ac.id](http://journal.iaincurup.ac.id) <1 %  
Internet Source

---

27 A S Ulfah, Y Yerizon, I M Arnawa. "Preliminary Research of Mathematics Learning Device Development Based on Realistic Mathematics Education (RME)", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 <1 %  
Publication

---

28 Hendra Simanjuntak, Hasian P.K.A Silalahi. "The Effect of Discovery Learning Model to <1 %

## Improve Learning Outcomes and Chemical Process Skills", Jurnal Basicedu, 2022

Publication

---

29

Main Sufanti, Markhamah Markhamah, Winarni Winarni. "The characteristics of spelling learning tools oriented to increasing Higher Order Thinking Skills in Elementary Schools", BAHASTRA, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

30

[ijtmer.saintispub.com](http://ijtmer.saintispub.com)

Internet Source

---

<1 %

31

D Yuniar, Hobri, A C Prihandoko, K Aini, A K A Faozi. "The analyze of students' creative thinking skills on Lesson Study for Learning Community (LSLC) based on Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) approach", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

---

<1 %

32

Fariq Isy Ely Rusly, Rifqi Aulia Erlangga. "Development of Video-Based on Contextual Teaching and Learning Electric Energy Subtheme", MUDARRISA: Jurnal Kajian Pendidikan Islam, 2020

Publication

---

<1 %

33

Yosa Fitriani, Yerizon, I. Made Arnawa, Ali Asmar, Nurul Afifah Rusyda. "Development of mathematical learning tools based on

<1 %

discovery learning to improve problem-solving skills in class XI", AIP Publishing, 2023

Publication

34

[journal.trunojoyo.ac.id](http://journal.trunojoyo.ac.id)

Internet Source

<1 %

35

[journal.unismuh.ac.id](http://journal.unismuh.ac.id)

Internet Source

<1 %

36

[jptk.ppj.unp.ac.id](http://jptk.ppj.unp.ac.id)

Internet Source

<1 %

37

[jurnalkip.unram.ac.id](http://jurnalkip.unram.ac.id)

Internet Source

<1 %

38

B E Saputro, S Supratno. "Implementation of the use of AutoCAD applications in increasing basic competence in describing techniques in vocational education", IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2020

Publication

<1 %

39

Chandra Kirana, Ety Tejo Dwi Cahyowati. "An implementation of open-ended approach with TPS (Think Pair Share) to improve creative thinking skills for student of class VII-B of SMP Negeri 9 Malang", AIP Publishing, 2020

Publication

<1 %

40

Dina Chamidah, Sonny Kristianto, Sunaryo, Otto Fajarianto et al. " Feasibility of Based Augmented Reality Devices Discovery Learning on Students Learning Outcomes in

<1 %



41

Elza Ulfani, Susilawati Susilawati, I Wayan Gunada. "VALIDITAS MODUL BERBASIS PROBLEM BASED LEARNING UNTUK MENINGKATKAN PENGUASAAN KONSEP PADA MATERI GETARAN HARMONIS", ORBITA: Jurnal Kajian, Inovasi dan Aplikasi Pendidikan Fisika, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

42

Herni Yuniarti Suhendi, Diah Mulhayatiah, Rizki Zakwandi. "The Effectiveness of Worksheet Based Learning of Rotational Dynamics on Students' Critical Thinking Skills Viewed from IQ Score", Scientiae Educatia, 2018

Publication

---

<1 %

43

J Rokhmat, I W Gunada, S Ayub, Hikmawati, T Wulandari. "The use of causalitic learning model to encourage abilities of problem solving and creative thinking in momentum and impulse", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

44

R Diani, Y Yuberti, S Anggereni, G N Utami, A Iqbal, I Kurniawati. "ECIRR (Elicit, Confront, Identify, Resolve, Reinforce) learning model

<1 %

with the pictorial riddle method: is it effective in reducing physics misconceptions?", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

45

S L Manurung, Elfitra, S Frisniory. "Developing integrated creative problem solving (CPS) textbook for logic and set", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019

Publication

<1 %

46

T Tirmayasari, A W Jufri, A Harjono. "Learning materials of natural science of characters-based problems on light and optical concept", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019

Publication

<1 %

47

[eprints.uad.ac.id](http://eprints.uad.ac.id)

Internet Source

<1 %

48

[repository.uinjambi.ac.id](http://repository.uinjambi.ac.id)

Internet Source

<1 %

49

Adam Malik, Agus Setiawan, Andi Suhandi, Anna Permanasari. "Enhancing pre-service physics teachers' creative thinking skills through HOT lab design", AIP Publishing, 2017

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

