

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Relationship of some upland rice genotype after gamma irradiation

To cite this article: N W S Suliartini *et al* 2018 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **122** 012033

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [The potential of Southeast Sulawesi local gogo rice genotypes](#)
T Wijayanto, A A Jaya, Nurleni et al.
- [Local Rice Genotypes of Tana Toraja and North Toraja Regencies: Kinship Relations and Character Interaction](#)
M Riadi, Kasmiasi, I Saputra et al.
- [Seedling-stage screening method for tolerance of upland rice genotypes to low pH stress](#)
T Wijayanto, Y Susanti, N M Rahni et al.



The Electrochemical Society
Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology

243rd Meeting with SOFC-XVIII

Boston, MA • May 28 – June 2, 2023

Accelerate scientific discovery!

Learn More & Register



Relationship of some upland rice genotype after gamma irradiation

N W S Suliartini¹, T Wijayanto¹, A Madiki¹, D Boer¹, Muhidin¹ and Juniawan²

¹Department of Agrotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Halu Oleo Kendari 93232 Southeast Sulawesi Indonesia;

²Indonesian Agriculture Training Center, Ketindan Malang, East Java Indonesia
Email : sri.suliartini@gmail.com

Abstract. The objective of the research was to group local upland rice genotypes after being treated with gamma irradiation. The research materials were upland rice genotypes resulted from mutation of the second generation and two parents: *Pae Loilo* (K3D0) and *Pae Pongasi* (K2D0) Cultivars. The research was conducted at the Indonesian Sweetener and Fiber Crops Research Institute, Malang Regency, and used the augmented design method. Research data were analyzed with R Program. Eight hundred and seventy one genotypes were selected with the selection criteria were based on yields on the average parents added 1.5 standard deviation. Based on the selection, eighty genotypes were analyzed with cluster analyses. Nine observation variables were used to develop cluster dendrogram using average linked method. Genetic distance was measured by euclidean distance. The results of cluster dendrogram showed that tested genotypes were divided into eight groups. Group 1, 2, 7, and 8 each had one genotype, group 3 and 6 each had two genotypes, group 4 had 25 genotypes, and group 5 had 51 genotypes. Check genotypes formed a separate group. Group 6 had the highest yield per plant of 126.11 gram, followed by groups 5 and 4 of 97.63 and 94.08 gram, respectively.

1. Introduction

Indonesia has 50 million hectares of dry land but only 35 million hectares that can be utilized for cultivation [1]. The problem of dry land is low soil fertility. Working with cassava plants showed that there was a yield loss of 6.2 tons ha⁻¹ due to low soil fertility [2]. One alternative solution to solve the problem of dry land is by cultivation of plants tolerant with the condition of the land, such as upland rice [3,4). Upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is rice cultivated on dry land. Upland rice has become an alternative in increasing rice production and has been widely consumed by the community. Upland rice has nutrient content [5] that is able to meet 63% of total energy sufficiency and 37% protein. Policies of Government of Indonesia through the increasing rice production [4] and also promote local food [6] as an alternative food.

The production of upland rice is still far below the production of wetland rice. Productivity of wetland rice was 4.79 tons ha⁻¹ [7], while upland rice was only 2.69 tons ha⁻¹. The low production of upland rice was due to the decrease of harvested area caused by land conversion, varied soil and climate conditions, high pest and disease rate, and not optimal application of cultivation technology, especially in the use of superior varieties. The most crucial problem faced by farmers in the cultivation of upland rice was the lack of availability of varieties and superior seeds [8]. In general, farmers cultivate local varieties which have good taste, tolerance to marginal land, resistant to several types of



pests and diseases, require low input of fertilizer and easy and simple maintenance, although the production is still considered low.

Improvement of rice varieties continues to be done by researchers through plant breeding [4,5], both conventional and unconventional methods. Conventional assembly of varieties takes a long time and more than 5 years, more over by using a variety of varieties or parents that have desirable traits or the available population has low diversity for traits will be fixed. The method of mutation is one method to accelerate the assembly of new superior varieties. Induction of mutations is one way to increase plant diversity. Mutations are sudden and random changes in genetic material. The purpose of induction of mutation in plants is to create a population with a high level of genetic diversity [9], including the diversity of production supporting factors such as number of productive tillers, number of grains per panicle and 100 grain weight. Genetic diversity is very important in a plant breeding program to assemble a new superior variety [10]. New genotypes from mutation breeding can then be analyzed for their kinship relationship to facilitate further breeding programs [11].

Cluster analysis is useful to determine kinship and similarity between mutant genotypes. Genotypes in one group have a high degree of similarity, so if researchers want to select genotypes, they can choose one genotype per group depending on superiority of each group. Analyzing kinship relationships among local crops has been done, among others, on local rice in Central Java [12], local red rice from Central Kalimantan [13], taro [14]. However, similarity information between the parents and their derived mutants is still very limited. The cluster results will allow researchers to select which genotypes are re-selected, where the genotypes have almost the same characters, or close genetic distance, so one of them is selected for plant breeding further. This will improve research efficiency as it saves time, land and energy.

2. Materials and methods

The research materials were the second generation of upland rice mutants, *Pae Loilo* cultivar and *Pae Pongasi* cultivar as parent and check test, *Inpago 7* and *Inpago Unram* as check tests. The research design used was Augmented Design which was divided into 6 blocks. The number of mutant genotypes was 18 genotypes. Genotype that already existed on one block was not repeated in the next blocks except check tests. Each genotype was planted as many as 50 plants, numbered according to the genotype code of the parent and the sequence of plants in the row. Observations were done to several parameters such as plant high, the number of productive tillers, the length of panicle, harvest age, the number of empty grains, the number of full grain, the weight of 1000 grains, and grain number per clump.

Research data were analyzed with R Program. There were 871 genotypes analyzed for selection. Selection was done based on production above 1.5 standard deviation plus the average of both parents. Based on the results of the selection, 84 genotypes were analyzed by cluster analysis to determine the relationship between genotypes. Genetic distance between genotypes was tested by the euclidean distance method.

3. Results and discussion

The kinship relationship or similarity relationship can be used as a foundation for cross breeding to obtain new superior varieties. The crosses will be better if it done between cultivars of distant kinship [14]. Kinship relationships can also be used to streamline the selection process. Similar genotypes or close kinship relationships are assumed to have similar genotypes and phenotypes that can be selected as a further breeding material.

A total of 871 genotypes were analyzed for selection. Selection was based on production above 1.5 standard deviation plus the mean of both parents ((yield = $\geq 60.694 + (1.5 * 18.009)$). The selection resulted in 84 genotypes being analyzed to know the kinship relationship between upland rice genotypes.




Figure 1. Dendrogram of upland rice genotypes.

Dendrogram as a result of cluster analysis is shown in Figure 1. Similarity analysis of 84 mutant upland rice genotypes on quantitative characters resulted in the division of 8 genotype groups reflecting similarities within groups or subgroups and dissimilarities between groups or subgroups. *Inpago Unram* (check variety) has the highest genetic distance (> 7) compared to other genotypes.

The high genetic distance indicates the greatest dissimilarity. Another check genotype, *Inpago 7*, has a higher similarity with SSJ 23.190-27 compared to other mutant genotypes. The genetic distance between the two genotypes namely *Inpago 7* is the only high-yielding varieties of upland red rice released by the Indonesian government in 2011. As with *Inpago 7*, all mutant genotypes and parent are an upland red rice, contain anthocyanins from the group sianidin [15].

There were nine genotypes of upland rice in Southeast Sulawesi (*Paebiu Tamalaki*, *Paebiu Kolopua*, *Pae Tanta Mohalo*, *Paebiu Tamalaki Mataiwoi*, *Paebiu Sitoro*, *Paebiu Tamalaki Pewutaa*, *Paebiu Kolopua Kosebu*, *Ranggo Hitam*, and *Hitam Lapodidi*) which had high anthocyanin content [16].

Group 1, 2, 7 and 8 consisted of one genotype (Figure 1; Table 1). This was due to the considerable dissimilarities between genotypes in each group with other group genotypes. *Inpago 7* (group 1) and *Inpago Unram* (group 2) genotypes were superior varieties of upland rice that served as comparative plants. The separation of *Inpago Unram* into a separate subgroup with the highest genetic distance was because the genotype had a distinct difference compared to other genotypes. *Inpago Unram* was the shortest plant (125.2474 cm) and the shortest harvest age (111.59 day) compared to the other 7 groups. Group 7 (SSJ23.190-27) had the highest total number of grains but with the highest grain of hollow (90,000 grains) compared to the other groups. Group 8 (SSJ31.6-27) was the highest plant (193.00 cm) with the longest harvest age (138.00 day), the least productive tiller (16.00 tillers).

The advantage of this genotype was the highest 100 grain weight (4.25 g) (Table 2). The two parents (K2D0 and K3D0) formed a separate group from mutant genotypes. The genetic distance was 3. This suggested that gamma irradiation successfully altered the production and production support characteristics of the mutant genotypes. This also indicated a fairly high incidence between the

genotypes of the parents and the mutants. In group 4, the highest dissimilarity was between genotype SSJ21.239-41 and genotype SSJ21.86-27. The group was subdivided into 2 subgroups i.e.4 subgroup 1 with the highest dissimilarity between genotype SSJ21.239-41 and genotype SSJ21.86-8 and 4 sub group 2 between genotype SSJ21.72-24 with genotype SSJ21.86-27. Subgroup 2 consisted of 2 sub-sub groups with a sufficiently large number of members (17 genotypes). The highest dissimilarity in sub-subgroup 1 occurred between the genotype SSJ21.167-21 and genotype SSJ21.27-38. The highest dissimilarity in sub-sub group 2 occurred between genotypes SSJ21.78-38 and genotype SSJ21.86-27.

Table 1. Name of genotype of upland rice in each group

Group	Genotypes	Number of group members
1	Inpago 7	1
2	Inpago Unram	1
3	K2D0; K3D0	2
4	SSJ21.239-41 SSJ21.81-9 SSJ21.81-10 SSJ21.86-10 SSJ21.86-8 SSJ21.72-24 SSJ23.190-11 SSJ23.190-7 SSJ21.167-21 SSJ21.81-18 SSJ21.167-42 SSJ21.185-17 SSJ21.239-40 SSJ21.27-14 SSJ21.239-4 SSJ21.27-38 SSJ21.78-38 SSJ21.78-6 SSJ21.239-3 SSJ21.78-45 SSJ21.167-30 SSJ21.185-45 SSJ21.167-46 SSJ21.185-32 SSJ21.86-27	25
5	SSJ31.6-12 SSJ31.37-22 SSJ21.185-15 SSJ31.104-36 SSJ21.185-1 SSJ21.72-6 SSJ21.72-31 SSJ21.72-19 SSJ21.72-22 SSJ21.185-33 SSJ21.72-5 SSJ31.24-3 SSJ31.24-5 SSJ31.37-3 SSJ33.203-25 SSJ31.6-2 SSJ33.203-33 SSJ33.203-10 SSJ33.203-5 SSJ31.6-21 SSJ31.6-8 SSJ31.104-4 SSJ31.104-8 SSJ31.104-40 SSJ31.104-6 SSJ31.6-20 SSJ31.170-9 SSJ31.6-10 SSJ31.6-25 SSJ31.37-34 SSJ33.203-20 SSJ33.203-23 SSJ31.6-28 SSJ31.6-7 SSJ31.104-11 SSJ31.104-39 SSJ31.102-45 SSJ31.104-33 SSJ31.102-4 SSJ31.104-35 SSJ31.104-5 SSJ31.6-9 SSJ31.104-10 SSJ31.6-16 SSJ31.6-23 SSJ31.6-5 SSJ33.203- 35 SSJ31.102-2 SSJ33.203-3 SSJ31.162-29 SSJ33.203-48	51
6	SSJ21.185-35; SSJ21.72-11	2
7	SSJ23.190-27	1
8	SSJ31.6-27	1

Group 5 had the largest number of member namely 51 genotypes (Figure 1; Table 1). This group was divided into two sub groups namely 5 sub group 1 consisting of one mutant genotype and 5 sub group 2 consisting of 50 mutant genotypes. The highest dissimilarity occurred between genotype SSJ31.6-12 and genotype SSJ33.203-48. The highest similarity existed between the genotype SSJ31.104-10 and SSJ31.6-16.

Group 6 consisted of 2 genotypes i.e.genotype SSJ21.185-35 and SSJ21.72-11, had the lowest number of empty grain (7.00 grains) and the highest number of filled out grains (248.00 grains). This caused the groups to have the highest yield (126.115 gclump⁻¹) (Table 2). This result was higher than 8 genotypes from crosses of upland rice with wetland rice obtained by that was 35.92 -61.61g clump⁻¹ [17].

Table 2. Characters of production and production support in each group

No. Group	Harvest age (day)	Plant height (cm)	Productive tillers (tiller)	Number of filled out grains (grain)	Number of empty grains (grain)	Number of total grains (grain)	Long panicle (cm)	Yield (g clump ⁻¹)	100 grain weight (g)
1	131.2727	151.80	22.34667	145.0865	55.95870	201.0452	27.27	66.91	2.58
2	111.5918	125.25	24.85537	130.2697	17.38421	147.6539	22.90	66.72	2.49
3	137.7500	178.32	19.08606	162.7965	16.36257	179.1590	24.37	61.24	3.08
4	132.6000	184.29	21.32000	208.7200	11.56000	220.2800	27.45	94.08	2.99
5	136.0196	176.53	25.66667	147.6275	11.41176	159.0392	26.83	97.63	3.67
6	129.0000	188.50	27.00000	248.0000	7.50000	255.5000	29.78	126.11	2.83
7	132.0000	181.30	22.00000	186.0000	90.00000	276.0000	24.70	91.59	2.91
8	138.0000	193.00	16.00000	197.0000	13.00000	210.0000	31.90	88.42	4.25

4. Conclusions

The results of cluster dendrogram showed that tested genotypes were divided into eight groups. Group 1, 2, 7, and 8 each had 1 genotype, group 3 and 6 each had 2 genotypes, group 4 had 25 genotypes, and group 5 had 51 genotypes. Check genotypes formed a separate group. Group 6 had the highest yield per plant of 126.11 gram, followed by groups 5 and 4 of 97.63 and 94.08 gram, respectively

References

- [1] Agus W and Suwardi 2015 *Status of Indonesian Soil Resources*. Asian Soil Partnership Consultation Wor on Sustainable Management and Protection of Soil Resources 13-15 May 2015, Bangkok, Thailand. 31p
- [2] Kintche K, Hauser S, Mahungu N M, Ndonda A, Lukombo S, Nhamo N, Uzokwe V N E, Yomeni M, Ngamitshara J, Ekoko B, Mbala M, Akem C, Pypers P, Matungulu K P, Kehbila A and Vanlauwe 2017 Cassava yield loss in farmer fields was mainly caused by low soil fertility and suboptimal management practices in two provinces of the Democratic Republic of Congo *European Journal of Agronomy* **89** 107-23
- [3] Muhidin, Jusoff K, Elkawakib S, Musa Y, Kaimuddin, Meisanti, Sadimantara G R, Baka L R 2013 The development of upland red rice under shade trees *World Applied Sciences Journal* **24(1)** 23-30
- [4] Kadidaa B, Sadimantara G R, Suaib, Safuan L O, Muhidin 2017 Genetic diversity of local upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L) genotypes based on agronomic traits and yield potential in marginal land of North Buton Indonesia *Asian Journal of Crop Science* **9(4)** 109-117
- [5] Suliartini N W S, Kuswanto, Basuki N, Soegianto A 2016 Superior lines candidates evaluation of two local red rice Southeast Sulawesi cultivars (Indonesia) derived from gamma rays irradiation techniques *International Journal of Plant Biology* **7** : 6475
- [6] Muhidin, Leomo S, Alam S and Wijayanto T 2016 Comparative studies on different agroecosystem base on soil physicochemical properties to development of sago palm on dryland. *International Journal of ChemTech Research* **9(8)** 511-18
- [7] BPS Sultra 2015 *Produksi Tanaman Padi dan Palawija di Sulawesi Tenggara* (Kendari : BPS Provinsi Sulawesi Tenggara) 72p
- [8] Soerjandono N B and Robi'in 2012 Teknik pengujian galur harapan padi gogo *Buletin Teknik Pertanian* **17(1)** 7-9
- [9] Poespodarsono S 1988 *Dasar-dasar Ilmu Pemuliaan Tanaman* (Bogor : Pusat Antar Universitas, Institut Pertanian Bogor)

- [10] Mangoendidjojo W 2003 *Dasar-dasar Pemuliaan Tanaman* (Yogyakarta : Penerbit Kanisius Yogyakarta)
- [11] Makmur A 1992 *Pengantar Pemuliaan Tanaman* (Jakarta : Penerbit Rineka Cipta Jakarta Cetakan 3)
- [12] Susila A, Rustini S, Rohman E, Cempaka I G and Prasetya V E 2015 *Kekerabatan Kultivar Padi Lokal Jawa Tengah Berdasarkan Karakter Agronomi Dan Morfologi* Prosiding Seminar Nasional Sumber Daya Genetik Pertanian "Pengelolaan Sumber Daya Genetik Lokal sebagai Sumber Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Daerah" 2015 p 80-89
- [13] Subekti A 2015 *Karakteristik Dan Pola Kekerabatan Plasma Nutfah Padi Beras Merah Di Kalimantan Barat. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Sumber Daya Genetik Pertanian* Prosiding Seminar Nasional Sumber Daya Genetik Pertanian "Pengelolaan Sumber Daya Genetik Lokal sebagai Sumber Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Daerah" 2015,p 118-124
- [14] Hafisah, Hidayat T and Kusdianti 2014 The phenetic relationship among taro cultivar (*Colocasia esculenta*) based on vegetative morphological characters *Jurnal Bioslogos* **4(1)** 17-25
- [15] Reddy A R 1996 *Genetic and molecular analysis of anthocyanin pigmentation pathway in rice* Proceedings of the third international rice genetics symposium. 16-20 Oct 1995. IRRI. Manila.Phillipines. p 16-20
- [16] Suliartini N W S, Sadimantara G R, Wijayanto T and Muhidin 2011 Pengujian kadar antosianin padi gogo beras merah hasil koleksi plasma nutfah Sulawesi Tenggara *Jurnal Crop Agro* **4(2)**:43-48
- [17] Sadimantara G R, Muhidin, Ginting S and Suliartini N W S 2016 The Potential Yield of Some Superior Breeding Lines of Upland Rice of Southeast Sulawesi Indonesia. *Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia* **13(4)** 1867-70