Comparison of the mass tissue strength of strawberry fruit between vertical and horizontal compaction

Ansar, A., ^{*}Murad, M., Sukmawaty, S. and Ilmaknun, L.

Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Food Technology and Agroindustry, University of Mataram, Indonesia

Article history:

Abstract

Received: 30 May 2021 Received in revised form: 7 July 2021 Accepted: 27 September 2021 Available Online: 14 May 2022

Keywords: Consumer acceptance, Deformation field, Different speeds, Mass damage, Packaging, Post-harvest handling

DOI:

https://doi.org/10.26656/fr.2017.6(3).373

1. Introduction

Fruit mass tissue damage is one of the most critical attributes in evaluating consumer acceptance of the quality of strawberry fruit (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) (Rosnah *et al.*, 2020; Huang *et al.*, 2020). This fruit is very susceptible to damage during its post-harvest quality handling (Liang *et al.*, 2020). Damage can occur during picking in the land, the distribution process to traders and consumers, and the storage process in the supply chain (La Scalia *et al.*, 2016; Kelly *et al.*, 2019; Ha *et al.*, 2020). Bruised damage can cause accelerated damage to the whole fruit during subsequent handling (Li and Thomas, 2014). Many fruits with seemingly minor damage during harvest are then discarded by traders which results in waste and can affect economic benefits for farmers and traders (Yan *et al.*, 2019).

For food technology, damage to fruit tissue is the behaviour of tissue damage to cell mass when the fruit was exposed to excessive impact (Li, Andrews and Wang, 2017; Horison *et al.*, 2019). Fruit mass tissue damage is highly dependent on fruit texture (Sirisomboon *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, it is very important to measure the mass tissue damage of the fruit to assess the quality of postharvest fruit (Duarte-Molina *et al.*, 2017; Contigiani *et al.*, 2018). Several researchers

Strawberries have very thin skin that is prone to damage during post-harvest handling. The strength of this fruit mass tissue has a difference between vertical and horizontal directions. Therefore, this study aimed to compare the strength of the strawberry mass tissue between vertical and horizontal directions using a compressive test at different speeds and compressibility levels. The research was conducted by placing a load on the sample from the vertical and horizontal directions. The variations in compression speed were 2, 4, and 6 mm/s, and the compressibility levels were 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30%, respectively. The results showed that the compacting from the vertical direction obtained a combined mechanical response between the fruit structure and mass tissue cells, while from the horizontal direction of the compacting, mass tissue damage has started to occur. In this phase, the fruit cells begin to break down in the skin causing the mass tissue damage to decrease. The results of this study can be used to develop a more efficient and effective packaging model for strawberries that has never been disclosed before.

have previously estimated the tissue damage to the fruit mass during the harvesting process (Li *et al.*, 2015; Li, Miao and Andrews, 2017). The results showed that the hardness and strength of the tomato tissue tested by the puncture method using a probe varied between 0.37 and 2.25 MPa and 0.04 and 11.58 MPa.

Food loss of nutritional content due to mass tissue damage greatly affects consumer acceptance and purchasing power. Fruit that has been damaged is sold at a cheap selling price. Li and Thomas (2014) reported that fruit tissue damage resulted in price reductions of up to 50%. An effort to minimize the damage to mass tissue in the fruit is an important thing to do in order to maintain the quality and efficiency of agricultural products. Previous studies related to causing damage to the surface of the fruit have been investigated by Li et al. (2015) by storing the fruit for several days and then evaluating it by touch. Jones (2010) described a method for evaluating bruises on tomatoes using mechanical impact parameters related to the energy absorbed. Brinnel et al. (2020) reported that damage mechanics can provide an adequate description of ductile failure in small-scale experiments. Besides, there are several studies on the calculation of the volume of tissue damage to the mass of fruit after harvest by measuring fruit anatomy, such as apples

(Celik *et al.*, 2011), oranges (Ihueze and Mgbemena, 2017), passion fruit (Ansar *et al.*, 2019), but this method is not suitable for strawberries because of the colour of the mass tissue, the broken and the undamaged look the same.

Aliasgarian *et al.* (2015) reported that the 'Selva' variety of strawberries cannot be sold if the skin has abrasions or bruises on the surface and more than 50% of the strawberries cannot be sold due to damage caused by impact during transportation. Chaiwong and Bishop (2015) reported that a vibration frequency ranging from 3 to 5 Hz affected the quality of the 'Elsanta' strawberry. Kelly *et al.* (2019) explained that the quality of the strawberries can be maintained by keeping the temperature constant during post-harvest handling.

The results of research on the quality of strawberries were more dominated by changes in fruit quality during post-harvest, such as the freshness of strawberries depending on the fruit variety (Pham and Liou, 2017; Zeliou et al., 2018), storage time and conditions (La Scalia et al., 2016), storage method (Contigiani et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2018), while the effect of vibration affects fruit firmness (Chaiwong and Bishop, 2015). The firmness of the fruit is a physical characteristic that describes the most important qualities of a strawberry. Many factors influence the firmness of strawberries, such as genetics, growing conditions, level of maturity, size, postharvest handling, and internal temperature (Doving and Mage, 2002). Fruit strength data is also influenced by the test method used and until now there is no standard test method for the texture strength of strawberries (Fu et al., 2008).

Information about the damage to the mass tissue of strawberries caused by compression, the direction of loading, and the percentage of fruit mass tissue damage is still limited. As a result, it is difficult to quantitatively assess the mass tissue damage and deformation of the strawberry fruit. According to An et al. (2020) strawberries are very susceptible to mechanical damage when subjected to loads, especially in the direction of the radial axis. This gap also hinders the development of non -destructive methods for fruit quality analysis (Zude-Sasse et al., 2019) and limits the opportunity to investigate the influence of external stresses during harvest (Zhuang et al., 2019), appropriate packaging and transportation methods for distribution processes (Li, Andrews and Wang, 2017). Therefore, this study aimed to compare the strength of the strawberry fruit mass between the vertical and horizontal directions using a compressive test at different speeds and levels of compressibility.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

The ingredients used are strawberries with Sweet Charlie varieties. This fruit was obtained from agricultural farmers in Sembalun, East Lombok, Indonesia. The strawberry fruit sample used has a maturity level of 80%, measuring 3×3.5 cm with an average weight of 53.75 g (Figure 1). After being carefully transported to the Bioprocess Engineering Laboratory of the University of Mataram, West Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia, the fruit was then cleaned manually with water and checked again to make sure it was not damaged and not infected by bacteria. The test was carried out within 24 hrs at room temperature ($29\pm1^{\circ}$ C) and RH 60-65%.



Figure 1. The strawberry size used for testing purposes

2.2 Deformation test

The sample deformation test used the CT3 texture analyzer (model of CT3-100, brand of Brookfield, USD) with a load range of 0-100 g (Figure 2). The type of probe used was cylindrical. Loading was done from the vertical and horizontal directions (An *et al.*, 2020). The variations in compression speed are 2, 4, and 6 mm/s, and the compressibility levels are 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30%, respectively. Each test was repeated 3 times. The deformation curve of the test results was recorded in real -time.



Figure 2. Strawberry fruit deformation test, (A) compression from the vertical direction, and (B) compression from the horizontal direction.

108

2.3 Determination of the percentage of fruit deformation

The percentage of fruit deformation after compression can be calculated using Equation (1) (Tabacu and Ducu, 2020):

$$\varepsilon c = \frac{\Delta L}{L} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

where ϵc is the fruit deformation (%), ΔL is the difference in sample length before and after testing (mm), and L is the initial length of the sample (mm) (depending on the direction of compaction).

2.4 Determination of the percentage of fruit mass tissue damage

After being compressed, each sample was halved and then placed on a table for 5 hrs to wait for the enzymatic browning reaction. Furthermore, the tissue damage to the sample mass marked with brown colour was separated and then weighed using an electronic scale.

The ratio of water loss (η) during 5 hrs of storage for the unprocessed fruit group (control) was calculated using Equation (2) (An *et al.*, 2020):

$$\eta = \frac{m_o - m_0}{m_0} \tag{2}$$

Where m_0 is the initial mass of fruit before storage and \dot{m}_0 is the mass of fresh fruit after 5 hrs of storage in the control group.

The brown mass tissue was associated with the mass tissue of the fruit mass damaged during compression and the non-brown mass tissue was associated with the mass tissue of the fruit mass that was not damaged. Compressed fruit has the same rate of water loss within 5 hrs after splitting. Therefore, the m_4 mass of the damaged mass tissue in each sample and the percentage of damaged mass tissue (R) were calculated using Equations (3) and (4) (Xu *et al.*, 2006):

$$m_4 = m_1 - m_2 - m_3 = m_1 - m_1 \eta - m_3 \tag{3}$$

$$R = \frac{m_4}{m_1} \times 100\%$$
 (4)

where m_1 is the initial mass of fresh fruit in the experimental group (g), m_2 is the mass of water loss in the compressed fruit after 5 hrs of storage (g), m_3 is the mass of undamaged tissue mass in the compressed fruit after 5 hrs of storage (g), m_4 is the mass of tissue mass damaged in the compressed fruit after 5 hrs of storage (g), and R is the percentage of the mass of damaged fruit tissue after 5 hrs of storage (%).

2.5 Statistical analysis

Two-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) using

SPSS (Statistical Product and Service Solutions) version 16.0 to analyse the ratio of tissue damage to the mass of strawberries between the vertical and horizontal directions after being compressed with different compression speeds and levels of compressibility. The difference was considered significant if the probability value was less than 0.05 (P<0.05) (Ansar *et al.*, 2020).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of compression direction on fruit mass tissue damage

Fruit compressed from the vertical direction showed less tissue mass damage when compared to the horizontal direction (Figure 3). This is presumably because the strawberry fruit is elliptical which causes the curvature contour in the horizontal direction to be much greater than in the vertical direction. As a result, the number of cells compressed in the horizontal direction is more than in the vertical direction and the damage in the horizontal direction is greater than in the vertical direction. The results showed that the compression direction had a significant effect (P<0.05) on the fruit mass tissue damage.



Figure 3. Fruit mass tissue damage after compression, (A1, A2, and A3) compression from the vertical direction, and (B1, B2, and B3) compression from the horizontal direction at velocities 2, 4, and 6 mm/s.

Fruit mass tissue damage always occurs in areas in direct contact with the probe. The mass tissue damage at the equator is always greater than that in the fruit stalk. This was related to the curvature of the fruit contour at the equator, where the contact surface was smaller than that of the fruit stalk. These results were in agreement with those reported by An *et al.* (2020) in which the percentage of fruit mass tissue damage was lower in the fruit stalk compared to the mass tissue damage that was near the probe which was compressed in the vertical direction.

At the beginning of the loading, the outer mass tissue more quickly receives the compressive force when compressed from the vertical direction because the outer layer was close to the fruit flower. When compressed from the horizontal direction, the probe exerts a compressive force on the outer mass tissue. Therefore, when the fruit was in the plastic deformation stage during compression, the peak force was higher from the vertical than in the horizontal direction. Besides, because the strawberry was elliptical, the curvature of the fruit from the vertical was much smaller than the contour from the horizontal. This shows that strawberries are more susceptible to mass tissue damage when loading was carried out from the horizontal direction than from the vertical direction.

At the time of loading the probe was only able to compress a small portion of the mass network that was from the vertical direction and compress the mass network more widely when loading from the horizontal direction. As a result, strawberries that experience a loading force from the horizontal direction show a higher resistance than from the vertical direction. These findings indicate that the percentage of tissue damage to the mass of strawberries can be minimized if the fruit was arranged vertically in the package. Similar results have been found by Sadrnia *et al.* (2008) for watermelons, Li *et al.* (2015) for tomatoes, Perez-Lopez *et al.* (2014) for peaches, and Liu *et al.* (2019) for apples.

When the fruit was compressed, the fruit cells at the bottom of the probe experience a high compression curve, while the adjacent cells experience tension or bending. The direction of compression has a significant effect on tissue damage to the fruit mass. The damage to the fruit mass tissue was greater in the horizontal direction than in the vertical direction. Compression from the direction of the vertical direction produces a combined mechanical response between the fruit structure and cell tissue mass. However, compression from the direction of the horizontal direction of the mechanical response only results from the structure of the fruit. These results were in agreement with those reported by Li et al. (2014) in which the compression from the horizontal direction only provides a mechanical response from the dense mass of biomaterial tissue as a result of which the fruit cell structure becomes weak.

This finding was very useful because evaluating the percentage of fruit mass tissue damage was tedious and challenging to produce accurate data, whereas the energy absorbed was easily measured by simply a compressive test. The same thing was revealed by Miraei-Ashtiani *et al.* (2019) that the tissue damage to fruit mass was closely related to fruit mechanics which can be measured quantitatively using the parameters of the energy absorbed.

3.2 Effect of compression speed on fruit mass tissue damage

The observational data showed that the compression rate had a significant effect on the tissue damage to the fruit mass. Fruit suffers a large percentage of damage at high loading speeds. Fruit compressed from the vertical direction and the horizontal direction always had a greater percentage of damage at a compression speed of 6 mm/s than at 4 and 2 mm/s (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Percentage curve of fruit mass tissue damage at the compression rate of 2, 4, and 6 mm/s.

The results of the analysis of variance show that the F-count value (78.088) was greater than the F-crit value (2.776). This means that there was a significant effect (P<0.05) of compression speed on the damage to fruit mass tissue. When the fruit is compressed from the direction of the vertical or horizontal direction, the damage to the fruit tissue at a compression rate of 6 mm/s was always greater than that of 4 and 2 mm/s. This was presumably because when the fruit was compressed, the mass tissue of the fruit experiences a volume reduction in a short and fast time. Consequently, the fruit provides a high defensive force to the probe because the impulses applied are the same for all compression rates of 2, 4, and 6 mm/s.

Another thing that needs to be expressed was that the strawberry fruit was elliptical and when compressed at high speed, the compressed fruit cells get bigger (Figure 3). The percentage of damage gradually increases with increasing compression speed. The phenomenon of increasing the percentage of fruit mass tissue damage is similar to the results of studies reported by Kohyama *et al.* (2013) that the tissue mass of strawberries when compressed at high speed always shows large tissue damage. The tissue damage to the mass of strawberries compressed at high speed (Singh *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, the process of distributing fruit from agricultural land to markets needs to be done carefully to avoid collisions. Based on these results, it was necessary to consider the

compression load threshold to maintain the quality of the fruit during post-harvest handling, packaging, and transportation.

3.3 Effect of compressibility level on fruit mass tissue damage

The study showed that the compressibility level had a significant effect (P<0.05) on the percentage of fruit mass tissue damage (Figure 3). At the beginning of compression, the percentage of fruit mass tissue damage increases rapidly and then decreases slowly. The percentage of fruit mass tissue damage that is in direct contact with the surface of the probe contact area increases gradually during loading before permanent tissue damage occurs. Likewise, the number of compressed cells increases gradually, and in the end, the fruit undergoes 3 phases of deformation, namely elastic, plastic, and permanent mass tissue damage. The threephase deformation of the fruit during compression has also been explained by Neto et al (2013) that when the fruit collides with each other, there will be a change in shape known as plastic deformation, elastic deformation, and a combination of both, namely shape deformation.

Based on the analysis of variance, it was known that the F-count value (450.571) was greater than the F-Crit value (3.838). This shows that the fruit has different cell structures due to the different physical characteristics of the fruit with different loading directions. This phenomenon is important as the basis for determining the fruit transport design model.

Collisions always occur during postharvest handling and transportation because the fruit at the bottom of the container can be subjected to additional compressive forces from the container. Fruit mass tissue damage can occur if the compressive force exceeds the threshold strength of the fruit mass tissue. Due to its viscoelastic nature, the mass tissue of the fruit can be damaged even with the slightest impact but occurs repeatedly (Link *et al.*, 2018). The compression movement that occurs repeatedly consists of 2 models, namely compression between fruit and fruit and compression from solid to fruit.

3.4 Strawberry fruit deformation

The study showed that during compression, there was deformation and the surface contact area increases with increasing compression force (Figure 5). This phenomenon can be illustrated using a circular contact plane theory approach, where the size of the contact area is smaller more than the radius of the contact surface. Jahanbakhshi *et al.* (2018) have explained that contact plane theory can be used to predict the collision behaviour between 2 round pieces during compression,

but it is difficult to measure and validate the compressive forces and fruit deformations that occur during compression.



Figure 5. Deformation curve of the compressed strawberry fruit from the vertical direction at different speeds and compressibility levels.

The compressibility level was 6%, the deformation curve was close to linear and no brown color appeared on the longitudinal equatorial part of the fruit at any load (Figure 6). This showed that the compressibility level was 6% as the elastic deformation limit for compression in the direction of the vertical direction with velocities of 2, 4, and 6 mm/s. Before the inflection point, the deformation curve looks linear. After the inflection point was reached, the deformation percentage no longer increases, although the loading force tends to increase. However, the percentage of brown color in the longitudinal equatorial portion of each sample increased very rapidly with increasing fruit deformation.



Figure 6. Deformation curve of strawberry which is compressed from the horizontal direction at different speeds and compressibility levels.

Figure 6 shows the deformation curve of a strawberry fruit compressed from the horizontal direction at different speeds and degrees of compressibility having a similar pattern to the compressed sample from the vertical direction, where the deformation of 6% was considered the elastic deformation limit. The inflection point was seen at a compression speed of 2 mm/s and a compressibility level of 6%. The point of this curve is

FULL PAPER

the limit of the plastic deformation susceptibility of the sample to the load from the horizontal direction.

The compressibility level of 24% was the limit of the percentage of plastic deformation that causes damage to the inner tissue of the fruit when compressed from the horizontal direction at speeds of 2, 4, and 6 mm/s. When the compressibility level was more than 24% at a compression speed of 2 mm/s or 30% at a compression speed of 6 mm/s, the percentage of fruit brown increases with the increasing percentage of deformation. In contrast, the percentage of brown colour decreases at the longitudinal equator when the compressibility is less than 24% at a compression rate of 2 mm/s or 30% at a compression rate of 6 mm/s. The same study had reported by Braun and Ivanez (2020) that the difference in outcome between cell sizes was more pronounced at a 10% damage percentage. However, the difference between the three cell sizes shows a variation of not more than 6% and the highest percentage of damage was around 50%.

Another interesting phenomenon during compaction was that the combined mechanical response between the fruit structure and the mass tissue cells occurs in the vertical direction, whereas the compression from the horizontal direction was only obtained from the mechanical response of the fruit structure. In addition, the fruit undergoes 3 deformation phases, namely elastic, plastic, and permanent mass tissue damage. In the elastic deformation phase, the fruit has not been damaged. As compression time increases, permanent mass tissue damage begins to occur. In this phase, the fruit cells begin to break and break on the skin, causing damage to the fruit structure.

4. Conclusion

Strawberry undergoes three stages fruit of deformation during compression, namely elastic deformation, plasticity, and permanent damage to tissue mass. The amount of energy absorbed depends on the direction of the direction and the speed of compression, whereas the percentage of mass damaged depends only on the direction of the compression direction. Fruit mass tissue damage is greater when compressed from the horizontal direction than the vertical direction. Compression from the direction of the vertical direction produces a combined mechanical response between the fruit structure and cell tissue mass. However, in the horizontal direction, the mechanical response results only from the fruit structure.

The outer mass tissue of a strawberry is more susceptible to damage than the deep mass tissue. Therefore, the post-harvest handling process from

om the
When
b at a
ressionused to predict changes in fruit volume non-
destructively. These findings provide the information
needed to develop a primary packaging design that can
prevent damage to the strawberry mass tissue.Conflict of interest
is lessConflict of interest
The authors declare that they have not a conflict of

interest that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

agricultural land to the hands of consumers requires

gentle handling to maintain fruit quality. The percentage of mass tissue damage of strawberries can be minimized

if arranged vertically in the package. The percentage of

fruit mass tissue damage obtained from this study can be

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Faculty of Food Technology and Agroindustry, the University of Mataram for all supporting facilities in this research.

References

- Aliasgarian, S., Ghassemzadeh, H.R., Moghaddam, M. and Ghaffari, H. (2015). Mechanical damage of strawberry during harvest and postharvest operations. *Acta Technologica Agriculturae*, 18(1), 1 -5. https://doi.org/10.1515/ata-2015-0001
- An, X., Li, Z., Zude-Sasse, M., Tchuenbou-Magaia, F. and Yang, Y. (2020). Characterization of textural failure mechanics of strawberry fruit. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 282, 110016. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2020.110016
- Ansar, Nazaruddin and Azis, A.D. (2019). Effect of vacuum freeze-drying condition and maltodextrin on the physical and sensory characteristics of passion fruit (*Passiflora edulis* Sims) extract. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 355, 012067. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/355/1/012067
- Ansar, Nazaruddin and Azis, A.D. (2020). Caking mechanisms of passion fruit powder during storage. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity, and Change*, 13(2), 618-628.
- Braun, M. and Ivanez, I. (2020). Numerical study of damaged micro-lattice blocks subjected to uniaxial compressive loading. *Extreme Mechanics Letters*, 39, 100821. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.eml.2020.100821
- Brinnel, V., Schaffrath, S., Munstermann, S. and Feldmann, M. (2020). Efficient, scale-bridging simulation of ductile failure in a burst test using damage mechanics. *International Journal of*

Pressure Vessels and Piping, 188, 104242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpvp.2020.104242

- Celik, H.K., Rennie, A.W. and Akinci, I. (2011). Deformation behavior simulation of an apple under drop case by finite element method. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 104(2), 293-298. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2010.12.020
- Chaiwong, S. and Bishop, C.F. (2015). Effect of vibration damage on the storage quality of 'Elsanta' strawberry. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 9 (9), 859-864.
- Contigiani, E.V., Jaramillo-Sanchez, G., Castro, M.A., Gomez, P.L. and Alzamora, S.M. (2018).
 Postharvest quality of strawberry fruit (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch cv. Albion) as affected by Ozone washing: fungal spoilage, mechanical properties, and structure. *Food Bioprocess Technology*, 11(9), 1639-1650. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-018-2127-0
- Doving, A. and Mage, F. (2002). Methods of testing strawberry fruit firmness. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B-Soil and Plant Science, 52 (1), 43-51. https:// doi.org/10.1080/090647102320260035
- Duarte-Molina, F., Gomez, P.L., Castro, M.A. and Alzamora, S.M. (2016). Storage quality of strawberry fruit treated by pulsed light: fungal decay, water loss, and mechanical properties. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 34, 267-274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2016.01.019
- Fu, X., Ying, Y., Zhou, Y., Xie, L. and Xu, H. (2008). Application of NIR spectroscopy for firmness evaluation of peaches. *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE B*, 9(7), 552-557. https:// doi.org/10.1631/jzus.B0720018
- Ha, J., Choi, S.M., Shin, B., Lee, M., Jung, W. and Kim, H.Y. (2020). Hygroresponsive coiling of seed awns and soft actuators. *Extreme Mechanics Letters*, 38 (1), 100746. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.eml.2020.100746
- Horison, R., Sulaiman, F.O., Alfredo, D. and Wardana, A.A. (2019). Physical characteristics of nanoemulsion from chitosan/nutmeg seed oil and evaluation of its coating against microbial growth on strawberry. *Food Research*, 3(6), 821-827. https:// doi.org/10.26656/fr.2017.3(6).159
- Huang, X., Pan, Q., Lin, Y. and Gu, T. (2020). A native chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) protocol for studying histone modifications in strawberry fruits. *Plant Methods*, 16, 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13007-020-0556-z
- Ihueze, C.C. and Mgbemena, C.E. (2017). Design for limit stresses of Orange fruits (*Citrus sinensis*) under

axial and radial compression as related to transportation and storage design. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, 16, 72-81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2015.02.005

- Jahanbakhshi, A., Abbaspour-Gilandeh, Y. and Gundoshmian, T.M. (2018). Determination of physical and mechanical properties of carrots in order to reduce waste during harvesting and postharvesting. *Food Science and Nutrition*, 6(7), 1898-1903. https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.760
- Jones, N. (2010). Dynamic energy absorption and perforation of ductile structures. *International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping*, 87(9), 482-492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpvp.2010.07.004
- Kelly, K., Madden, R., Emond, J.P. and Do Nascimento Nunes, M.C. (2019). A novel approach to determine the impact level of each step along the supply chain on strawberry quality. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 147, 78-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.postharvbio.2018.09.012
- Kohyama, K., Kato-Nagata, A., Shimada, H., Kazami, Y. and Hayakawa, F. (2013). The texture of sliced cucumbers measured by subjective human-bite and objective instrumental tests. *Journal of Texture Studies*, 44(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4603.2012.00360.x
- La Scalia, G., Aiello, G., Miceli, A., Nasca, A., Alfonzo, A. and Settanni, L. (2016). Effect of vibration on the quality of strawberry fruits caused by simulated transport. *Journal of Food Process Engineering*, 39 (2), 140-156. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpe.12207
- Li, Z., Lv, K., Wang, Y., Zhao, B. and Yang, Z. (2015). Multi-scale engineering properties of tomato fruits related to harvesting, simulation, and textural evaluation. *LWT–Food Science and Technology*, 61 (2), 444-451. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.lwt.2014.12.018
- Li, Z., Andrews, J. and Wang, Y. (2017). Mathematical modeling of mechanical damage to tomato fruits. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 126, 50-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2016.12.001
- Li, Z., Miao, F., and Andrews, J. (2017). Mechanical models of compression and impact on fresh fruits. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 16(6), 1296-1312. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12296
- Li, Z. and Thomas, C. (2014). Quantitative evaluation of mechanical damage to fresh fruits. *Trends Food Science and Technology*, 35(2), 138-150. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2013.12.001
- Liang, Z., Xu, L., Baerdemaeker, J., Li, Y. and Saeys, W. (2020). Optimization of a multi-duct cleaning

113

device for rice combine harvesters utilizing CFD and experiments. *Biosystems Engineering*, 190, 25-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2019.11.016

- Link, J.V., Tribuzi, G., Oliveira de Moraes, J. and Laurindo, J. B. (2018). Assessment of texture and storage conditions of mangoes slices dried by a conductive multi-flash process. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 239, 8-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jfoodeng.2018.06.024
- Liu, S., Yang, H., Bian, Z., Tao, R., Chen, X. and Lu, T. (2019). Regulation on mechanical properties of spherically cellular fruits under osmotic stress. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 127, 182-190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2019.03.007
- Miraei-Ashtiani, S.H., Sadrnia, H., Mohammadinezhad, H., Aghkhani, M.H., Khojastehpour, M. and Abbaspour-Fard, M.H. (2019). FEM-based simulation of the mechanical behavior of grapefruit under compressive loading. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 245, 39-46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.10.006
- Neto, A.A., Olivier, F., Dantas, N. and Marcos, A.I. (2013). Mechanical behavior of pumpkin fruits subjected to compression during maturation. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Tropical*, 43(3), 223-231. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1983-40632013000300003
- Perez-Lopez, A., Chavez-Franco, S.H., Villaseor-Perea, C.A., Espinosa-Solares, T., Hernandez-Gomez, L.H. and Lobato-Calleros, C. (2014). Respiration rate and mechanical properties of peach fruit during storage at three maturity stages. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 142, 111-117. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jfoodeng.2014.06.007
- Pham, Q.T. and Liou, N.S. (2017). Investigating the texture and mechanical properties of Asian pear flesh by compression tests. *Journal of Mechanical Science* and Technology, 31(8), 3671-3674. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12206-017-0707-y
- Rosnah, S., Hamzah, H.Z., Shuso, K., Yasunaga, E. and Mahat, F. (2020). A review: nutrition quality and processing of Malaysian strawberries. *Food Research*, 4(1), 43-51. https://doi.org/10.26656/ fr.2017.4(S1).S10
- Sadrnia, H., Rajabipour, A., Jafari, A., Javadi, A., Mostofi, Y., Kafashan, J., Dintwa, E. and De Baerdemamaeker, J. (2008). Internal bruising prediction in watermelon compression using nonlinear models. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 86 (2), 272-280. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jfoodeng.2007.10.007
- Singh, F., Katiyar, V.K. and Singh, B.P. (2014). Analytical study of turgor pressure in apple and

potato tissues. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 89, 44-48. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.postharvbio.2013.11.007

- Sirisomboon, P., Tanaka, M. and Kojima, T. (2012). Evaluation of tomato textural mechanical properties. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 111(4), 618-624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2012.03.007
- Tabacu, S. and Ducu, C. (2020). Numerical investigations of 3D printed structures under compressive loads using damage and fracture criterion: Experiments, parameter identification, and validation. *Extreme Mechanics Letters*, 39, 100775. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eml.2020.100775
- Xu, Y., Zhang, M., Mujumdar, A.S., Duan, X. and Jincai, S. (2006). A two-stage vacuum freeze and convective air-drying method for strawberries. *Drying Technology: An International Journal*, 24(8), 1019-1023. https://doi.org/10.1080/07373930600776209
- Yan, J., Luo, Z., Ban, Z., Lu, H., Li, D., Yang, D., Aghdam, M.S. and Li, L. (2019). The effect of the layer-by-layer (LBL) edible coating on strawberry quality and metabolites during storage. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 147, 29-38. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2018.09.002
- Zeliou, K., Papasotiropoulos, V., Manoussopoulos, Y. and Lamari, F.N. (2018). Physical and chemical quality characteristics and antioxidant properties of strawberry cultivars (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch) in Greece: assessment of their sensory impact. *Journal* of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 98(11), 4065 -4073. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8923
- Zhang, C., Li, W., Zhu, B., Chen, H., Chi, H., Li, L., Qin, Y. and Xue, J. (2018). The quality evaluation of postharvest strawberries stored in Nano-Ag packages at refrigeration temperature. *Polymers*, 10(8), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym10080894
- Zhuang, J., Hou, C., Tang, Y., He, Y., Guo, Q., Zhong, Z. and Lou, S. (2019). Computer vision-based localization of picking points for automatic litchi harvesting applications towards natural scenarios. *Biosystems Engineering*, 187, 1-20. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2019.08.016
- Zude-Sasse, M., Hashim, N., Hass, R., Polley, N. and Regen, C. (2019). Validation study for measuring absorption and reduced scattering coefficients by means of laser-induced backscattering imaging. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 153, 161-168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2019.04.002