

UGB - Article Moyo Island

by Rosiady Husaenie

Submission date: 07-May-2023 09:54PM (UTC-0500)

Submission ID: 2087043357

File name: UGB_-_Article_Moyo_Island.pdf (713.14K)

Word count: 5573

Character count: 30469



The dynamics of spatial utilization and tenurial conflict in conservation area of Moyo Island, West Nusa Tenggara

Markum^a, Rosiady H. Sayuti^b, Sitti Hilyana^c, Galang Anugrah^d

^a Department of Forestry, Agriculture Faculty, Universitas Mataram, 83125, Indonesia

^b Department of Sociology, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Universitas Mataram, 83125, Indonesia

^c Marine Science Study Program, Agriculture Faculty, Universitas Mataram, 83125, Indonesia

^d Graduate Student, Department of Sociology, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Universitas Indonesia, 16424, Indonesia

Article Info:

Received: 07 - 02 - 2022

Accepted: 01 - 07 - 2022

Keywords:

Conservation area, small island, spatial utilization, tenurial conflict

Corresponding Author:

Markum

Department of Forestry,
Agriculture Faculty, Universitas
Mataram;

Tel. +6287865740044

E-mail:

markum.exp@unram.ac.id

Abstract. Much has been studied about the agrarian issue and its conflicts in Indonesia, but few of them have been explored in the context of small islands designated as conservation areas. To extend the issue, this research aims to analyze the dynamics of spatial utilization and tenurial conflict in the conservation area of Moyo Island, West Nusa Tenggara. Based on qualitative methods, the data was gathered through observation, in-depth interviews with 20 key informants, and secondary sources. This research found that spatial utilization practices on Moyo Island are problematic, which has triggered a conflict. Due to a lack of control by the local government, forest areas have been openly and collectively encroached on by the local community to become agricultural land. Meanwhile, extensive fishing practices and tourism activities are threatening the long-term viability of coral reefs in coastal areas. These difficulties overlap with tenurial conflicts between local communities and the Nature Conservation Agency of West Nusa Tenggara regarding land boundaries as well as the problem among local people and the private sector purchasing and selling property under the table. All in all, this research offers policy recommendations to resolve those problems in the conservation area of Moyo Island.

How to cite (CSE Style 8th Edition):

Markum, Sayuti RH, Hilyana S, Anugrah G. 2022. The dynamics of spatial utilization and tenurial conflict in conservation area of Moyo Island, West Nusa Tenggara. *JPSL* 12(3): 445-455. <http://dx.doi.org/10.29244/jpsl.12.3.445-455>.

INTRODUCTION

Society and its land have a complex and unique connection. They attach social and cultural values to their land, except for the economic considerations (Novenanto 2015). In another sense, the land gives resources for not just food security and livelihood sustainability but also social life and cultural practices. As a result, restricting, preventing, and excluding people from their land will lead to resistance, which will also contribute to tenurial conflicts (Anugrah 2020; Hall *et al.* 2011; Yusuf and Syafrial 2019).

In Indonesia, agrarian conflicts that are triggered by the restrictions and prevention of access to land remain to continue. There are 279 conflicts throughout the archipelago (KPA 2020), including the sectors of plantation (87 cases), infrastructure (83 cases), property (46 cases), mining (24 cases), forestry (20 cases), and others (3 cases). The spread of land conflict is not a new case whether in Indonesia or elsewhere. Historically, agrarian conflicts in Indonesia have spread to almost all provinces, especially since the end of the New Order regime in 1998 (Peluso *et al.* 2012). Social and political developments in the post-reform era, which were

defined by the decentralization of central power to the local level of governance, also contributed to the rise in violence (Hadiz 2010).

In the context of forestry, conflict not only creates social instability but also has a negative impact on environmental aspects. However, there is still debate in this regard whether forest destruction is caused by social conflict or vice versa. In certain conditions and levels, these things can be true because social conflict has its complexity depending on the local context (Markum *et al.* 2015). Considering this, it becomes relevant to conduct a study on social conflict in the forestry area to explore its complexities. It is hoped that this study will not only provide an evidence base related to tenure conflicts from the central region of Indonesia (West Nusa Tenggara) but also as an experience in identifying appropriate conflict resolutions.

Conflicts in forestry, in the context of contemporary Indonesia, are more concerned with issues of tenurial. Previous studies have shown that several factors that cause conflicts in the forestry sector are overlapping claims and regulatory ambiguity over the area (Ambarwati *et al.* 2018; Mutolib *et al.* 2017; Riggs *et al.* 2016; Yasmi *et al.* 2009), granting concessions to corporate actors for the sake of capital (Safitri *et al.* 2011; Syafi 2016), and denial of the existence of local communities and indigenous peoples living in forest areas (Afiff and Lowe 2007; Dhiaulhaq and McCarthy 2020; Muur 2018). The actions of one party (both on the part of the state, corporations, and communities) claiming forest boundaries—which is the main cause of tenurial conflicts—are often driven by overlapping forest policies in Indonesia (Suradireja *et al.* 2018).

Although there are many studies regarding agrarian conflicts in Indonesia, similar studies in the context of small island communities are limited. Therefore, to enrich the discussion in the study of social conflicts in forestry, this article would like to explore the dynamics of spatial use conflicts in the conservation area of Moyo Island, which is a small island in West Nusa Tenggara Province. This study aims to answer the following questions: (1) What are the actual land use practices in the Moyo conservation area?; (2) What are the typologies and patterns of conflicts that are driven by these land use practices ?; and (3) What kind of policies are relevant to be applied to resolve the tenure conflicts?.

METHOD

Time and Location

This research was conducted based on qualitative research procedures. The research is carried out between April and May 2017. The locations for data collection were in five villages (see Figure 1), namely Labuhan Aji Village and Sebotok Village (Moyo Island), Ai Bari Village (Sumbawa Regency), Labuhan Kenanga Village (Bima Regency), and Nanga Miro Village (Dompu Regency). These locations were chosen considering that the three other villages adjacent to Moyo Island are villages that overlap in terms of utilization of the coastal area.

Data collection

The data in this research were collected through observation and in-depth interviews with 20 key informants ranging from village government officials, fishers, farmers, tourism actors, civil servants, youth groups, and women. These informants were chosen considering that they were the potential to provide in-depth information about spatial utilization and the conflict that occurred between various parties on Moyo Island. Furthermore, the authors supplement the field data using secondary sources such as previous scientific studies, archives (reports, yearbooks of local and national statistics), and mass media (online and offline) related to the case. Triangulation procedures were utilized in parallel using the outcomes of observations, in-depth interviews, and secondary sources to ensure the data's validity. Triangulation is used to determine consistency and, at the same time, inconsistencies or biases in data acquired by researchers. The research was conducted through five stages of activity: research design preparation, in-depth interviews, compilation and analysis of data, preparation of reports, and dissemination of research results.

Data analysis

The data in this research is analyzed using reduction and interpretation. This is the process of researchers classifying material into numerous components, categories, or specific topics and then interpreting the results (Creswell 2014). Interview transcripts, field notes, and visual elements (such as images or photos) are used to categorize information. The analysis is limited to aspects of the land use issue and a series of conflict episodes on Moyo Island.




Figure 1 Location of research, Moyo Island, West Nusa Tenggara Province

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of Moyo Island

Administratively, Moyo Island is divided into two villages: Labuhan Aji Village (south) and Sebotok Village (north). Moyo Island is adjacent to Ai Bari Village in Sumbawa Regency. Moyo is located near the uninhabited island of Satonda and is connected to people in Labuhan Kenanga Village in Bima District and Nanga Miro Village in Dompus District. The Moyo Island is home to 1333 households, and the majority of them have ties to the Bugis and Selayar for at least three generations. Despite its proximity to the Sumbawa District, the island has a small population of native Sumbawa people (Samawa). The Samawa, Lomboknese, and Javanese make up around 5% of the population, with most of them descended through Bima or Makassar marriages.

In terms of the economic sector, farmers and fishermen are the most prevalent occupations on the island, while some people also earn money through small businesses and tourism services. Yet, just a few of them work in local government as civil servants. Local inhabitants, on the other hand, are supported by side companies and do not rely just on one source of income. Farmers rely on more than just farm products; they also rely on cattle, honey searchers, and fishers in their leisure time. Fishermen have a similar situation in the sense that they are also active in farming and animal husbandry. Sources of income on the mainland come from agricultural businesses on owned land and forest area land.

Agricultural production in villages in and around Moyo Island (see Table 1) has a similar character, namely the cultivation of cashew commodities. Types of cashew plants have fairly diverse productivity. It depends on the intensity of management carried out by farmers, generally in the range of 700–1.000 kg/ha/year. The farmers also cultivate several types of crops as additional economic income, including coconut, mango, lebui, upland rice, srikaya, corn, and sesame. In addition, most of the farmers also own livestock, such as cows or goats. If farmers cultivate cashews and other mixed crops while raising livestock, then for every 1 ha unit of land they own, the farmer's income is between Rp15,9 million–Rp23,2 million/year. Farmers' land area is between 1–2 ha, so it can be projected that farmers' income from agricultural products is higher than that amount. Meanwhile, in terms of fishery activities (fishermen), their income ranges from Rp10,8 million–28,4 million/year. This fisherman's income is obtained by going to sea for a duration of 6 months.

Tabel 1 The main livelihoods of the people in/and around Moyo Island

Sector	Commodity	Productivity	Value (IDR/year)	Description
Agriculture	Cashew	700–1.000 kg/ha	11,2–16 million/ha	Managed land range 1-2 ha; for owned land; and 1-2 ha of forest land
	Upland rice, <i>lebui</i> , honey	Harvest once a year	1,7–2,2 million/ha	Some are self-consumed
	Cattle	Give birth 1 time/year	5–7 million/head	Ownership of 1–2 livestock
	Goat	Give birth 1 time/year	0,5–1,5 million/head	Ownership of 2–5 livestock
Marine	Net	4–6 months effective at sea	30.000–80.000/day	Profit-sharing system
	Fishing rod, spear	4–6 months effective working	30.000–80.000/day	Gain range 3–15 kg/day

Source: Primary data 2017

According to the social aspect, the village administration and the apparatus (village-sub–village-Neighborhood unit–Community unit) plays a key role in the community. The village institution provides a service administrative but also as a mediator of conflicts and a facilitator of religious rituals. Village officials, hamlet heads, and neighborhood and community heads are thus the dominant actors on this island. It reveals that the village head and its apparatus have a dominant position in the social structure. The concentration of authorities in the local elite, and especially in the village head, both culturally and structurally, is not a special case in Indonesia but a historical process throughout Southeast Asia: the consolidation of the village as an administrative unit took place simultaneously with the increasing authority of the village head which was accommodated by the Village Law no. 6 of 2014 (White 2017); while people believed that the other social institutions (official organizations, such as the Fishermen's Group, Peasant Group, and Partners of the Forest Security Police (MPP), is significant for the community as well.

Spatial Utilization in the Moyo Island: Forest and Coastal Area

Given Moyo Island's position as a conservation area, supporting sustainable environmental management is an essential agenda. By Decree of the Minister of Forestry No.308/Kpts-II/1986 dated September 29, 1986, Moyo Island was declared as a conservation area for the Buru Park and Marine Nature Tourism Park, with an area of 22.250 ha Buru Park and 6.000 ha Marine Nature Park. The Ministry of Forestry of the Republic of Indonesia, in collaboration with the Natural Resources Conservation Center of West Nusa Tenggara, manages the region. However, as previously said, a number of issues have been discovered, including 1) forest land usage, 2) coastal areas, and 3) land tenure for tourism (see table 2).

Table 2 Spatial utilization on Moyo Island

Aspect	Issues	Location	Description
Forest area	Encroachment of forest area on Moyo Island (approximately 1.500 ha)	Sebotok and Labuhan Aji	Encroachment continues (at Arung Santek and Lepa Luang in Lab Aji)
	Hunting of wildlife (e.g deer, and birds) by communities and poachers	Sebotok and Labuhan Aji	Hunting exceeds the carrying capacity of animals
	Honey hunt	Sebotok and Labuhan Aji	Ignoring sustainable management
Coastal area	Fishing using nets in coral reef areas	Moyo and Satonda island	Destroying the beauty of coral reefs
	Fishing using spears and potassium (injection)	Idem	Damage corals and marine life
	Boat and boat mooring activities at the pier	Labuhan Aji, Sebotok, Satonda	Anchor mooring and fuel spills can damage corals
	Turtle egg retrieval	Satonda and Moyo island	There are green turtles in the waters of Moyo and Satonda
Land tenure	Buying and selling coastal land, triggers encroachment	Sebotok, Labuhan Aji	Most of the area (with the status of Other Use Area or owned land) along the coast of Moyo Island has been sold

Source: Primary Data 2017

Land use in the forestry sector may be defined as an activity that involves managing a forest area to get environmental, social, and economic advantages while maintaining the forest's primary purpose. The utilization of forest lands as part of natural resource management is mandated by Article 33 paragraph (3) of the 1945 Constitution, which aspires for the development of the Indonesian people. Furthermore, the provision is governed by Article 23 of the Forestry Law (Law No. 41 of 1999). As a result, the community is permitted to optimally collect and utilize forest products, both wood, and non-timber, for the benefit of welfare as long as the forest's sustainability is maintained as well.

Forest resources are significant to the Moyo population, particularly those who live in Labuhan Aji Village and Sebotok Village. Non-timber forest products (HBK) such as honey, tourism services, and water are among the advantages that forests bring to communities. Residents of Sebotok Village and Labuhan Aji Village, on the other hand, have turned the woodland area into agricultural land. Locals use forest areas for agricultural fields, with cashew, Lebui, sesame, and upland rice as the main crops. Locals who become farmers spend a significant amount of time on the community-owned and forest-area property. Farmers are already engaged in activities such as cultivating the soil, planting, fertilizing plants, managing pests, and collecting produce during particular months. They are also seeking honey during this season, in addition to being active in the crops.

Questioning the issue of forest encroachment, in particular, is currently being carried out with an open model, in the sense that people have entered the forest area no longer secretly but explicitly in a collective. The act of encroachment by the community is a logical implication due to the government's insufficient control and protection of forest areas. On Moyo Island, the community is estimated to have encroached on roughly 1.400 hectares of territory. The approximate location of encroachment is in the north and south of Moyo Island (the detail can be seen in Figure 2 below).




Figure 2 Encroachment of Moyo Island forest area

Furthermore, the utilization of Moyo Island's coastal territories causes environmental issues. Apart from catching, fishing, and shooting fish, the local population also provides transportation (sampans) to the islands of Moyo and Satonda, as well as diving. As a result, there are negative consequences, such as the degradation of coral reefs in the area. Fishing activities that are not ecologically friendly continue to be practiced, together with increased mobility of boats and tourism transport vessels along the coast, posing a danger to coral reef sustainability. It is undeniable that tourism has a significant contribution to the economic aspect, especially for the small island in Indonesia. With limited agricultural land and few alternative sources of revenue, tourism plays an essential role in helping small islands grow their economies (Kinseng *et al.* 2018; McElroy 2006). However, like a double edge sword, it was found that tourism also contributed to triggering environmental damage as was the case on Moyo Island (Budilestari *et al.* 2014; Kurniawan *et al.* 2016).

¹ According to Bachtiar (2004), the majority of coral reef cover in West Nusa Tenggara Province showed poor condition (52,94%), while a small portion was classified as good (8,82%). In this case, the Moyo Island area is included in the good category (Edrus *et al.* 2010). However, since 2010 it is estimated that there has been a gradual decline in coral reef diversity by 3–5% per year. This was caused by four factors: bombardment of fish, use of fish poison, coral bleaching due to El Nino, and anchor removal. Damage to coral reefs has an impact on reducing fish diversity and population, seawater quality, and community economic income (Hermansyah and Febriani 2020).

In describing how the dynamics of spatial use in the conservation area of Moyo Island, the access theory formulated by Jesse Ribot and Peluso (2003, 2020) may be useful in this regard. In their seminal and most comprehensive review in the journal *Rural Sociology*, Ribot and Peluso (2003) conceptualized access as the ability to take advantage of a resource—as opposed to property, which is defined as the right to benefit from that resource. So far, ownership is often associated with legal aspects and social recognition of a person and his resources. However, in this case, the people who live near the conservation area do not have ownership rights to the area, considering that based on legal rights, Moyo Island is under the jurisdiction and authority of the West Nusa Tenggara Natural Resources Conservation Agency (BKSDA NTB). Nevertheless, the community can still access and benefit from it: such as by hunting animals and taking honey to plant agricultural crops. Thus, without having the right to ownership, there are still possibilities for obtaining benefits from the resource without having to legally own it.

In Ribot and Peluso's (2003) terms, the community's actions are called structural and relational access mechanisms. What is meant by structural and relational mechanisms relates to how technology, capital, markets, knowledge, authority, social identity, and social relations can shape and influence actors' access to resources. Furthermore, Ribot and Peluso (2003) explain that access to technology emphasizes the use of methods, tools, or knowledge. Then access to capital emphasizes the financial ability of one party to access or maintain a resource. Market access is described as the ability to utilize resources in many ways that are influenced by the access of certain parties to the market. Access to markets requires access to capital, authority, and social relations. In this case, the strategy used by the community in accessing the area is done by consolidating collective power through encroachment in groups. In another sense, aspects related to social relations and identity as a local community become a precondition for the practice of using areas outside of formal legal mechanisms to become possible.

Tenurial Conflict

Conflicts are usually generated by a conflict of interest between two or more parties who are in disagreement with one other (Kriesberg and Dayton 2012). Meanwhile, conflict escalation happens when each party concerned becomes increasingly interested in the situation (Fringka 2017; Prayogo 2007). According to Rachmawan (2016), when there are acts of threats and intimidation, as well as the use of violence and repression by those in power, the pattern of conflict escalation reaches its peak. Land area borders are a source of conflict on Moyo Island, whether it's a vertical dispute between the government and the community or a horizontal conflict between the business sector and the community.

Conflicts related to land and natural resources are generally referred to as tenurial conflicts. Tenurial conflicts occur when related actors have differing understandings and interpretations of their rights to land and forest resources (Safitri *et al.* 2011). Conflicts in forest areas involve various stakeholders: The government generally has an interest in securing state forests; the community has an interest in fulfilling their social and economic needs; while the private sector has an interest in profit and capital. On the island of Moyo, the details of the conflict are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3 Conflict identification

Form of Conflict	Causes	Actor	Case	Location
Intimidation and use of violence	Differences in setting land boundaries	Local community and government (BKSDA, Police, TNI)	3 case	Sebotok Village
Intimidation and destruction of facilities	Land sale and purchase transactions	Local community and private sector	1 case	Stema and Labuhan Aji village
Contention	Coastal resource access disputes	Fishermen – BKSDA; among fishermen	1 case	Satonda and Moyo Island

Source: Primary Data, 2017

According to the local community, the mapping procedure carried out by the Nature Conservation Agency of West Nusa Tenggara Province (BKSDA NTB) in 1980, 1990, and 1993 was the initial source of conflict. Border mapping began in 1980 with a stone boundary *pal*, followed by wood *pal* in 1990, and concrete *pal* in 1993. The contention begins as the 3rd mapping has shifted to land owned by residents. Most people first assumed that the goal of this mapping was to construct a ring road. As a result, the homeowners granted their permission to the BKSDA to measure their property in the hopes that the value of the community's land would grow if the region became a highway. However, in practice, the BKSDA's measurement becomes the forest area's boundary rather than the ring road as the community understands it. They then requested that the borders be reset to line A, which was the original boundary line. As a result of the locals' dismay and unhappiness, encroachment has occurred, with the encroached site now reaching line B and even entering *pal* boundary B (see Figure 3).




Figure 3 Causes of disputes over forest area boundaries

BKSDA officials operated under threat and tension throughout the conflict, making the mission of monitoring and safeguarding forest areas in the Sebotok Village region difficult. Local inhabitants, on the one hand, are looking forward to the conflict resolution process. While, on the other hand, according to interviews with forest security officers, they hope that conflict resolution can be achieved. So far, there has been no conflict on the coastal aspect as has occurred in forest areas, but conflict regarding the use of access to fishing

sometimes occurs between fishermen themselves horizontally. Meanwhile, a conflict arose between the community and BKSDA security over access to coastal areas on Satonda Island, which the community claimed had limited access. The disagreement, however, did not develop into repression or bloodshed. Through collaboration with stakeholders—among the BKSDA, local communities, and Koramil—coastal security can be carried out properly.

The potential conflicts that need to be anticipated in the foreseeable future are related to coastal land transactions, utilization of access to coastal resources, and non-timber forest products (NTFPs). Conflicts between the community and the private sector in the sale and purchase of land in the Stema Hamlet, Labuhan Aji Village, have the potential to reoccur as long as the land transaction mechanism on Moyo Island is not clearly regulated. The involvement of various parties in the sale and purchase of underhand land causes confusion regarding (1) the buyer's identity because the person who buys it frequently hides in anonymity, either at the buyer's request or disguised by the broker; and (2) land price-fixing, because it was alleged that the community and brokers had a significant price difference; and (3) ambiguous brokerage actors, due to the involvement of multiple parties in the transaction, including the claimed involvement of government authorities. On the one hand, the selling of land along the coast has the effect of making people landless. People's incapacity to handle money from sales, on the other hand, might lead to bankruptcy. It is projected that this situation may encourage landless people to encroach further on Moyo Island's forest area.

Last, the people of Moyo hope that the authorities will make a serious attempt in the foreseeable future to deal with the issues—both in terms of land use and tenurial conflict—in the island immediately. People believe that if a strategy exists to analyze the status area for becoming a National Park, it will have a significant impact on the community's livelihood in the future. This is because the community is aware that there are a variety of potential resources owned by local residents, both in terms of agriculture, animal husbandry, tourism, and fishing. The community will profit if these resources are developed appropriately and in accordance with a sustainable paradigm. In another sense, designating the Moyo Island region as a National Park is preferable since it would allow for greater natural resource management, community economic development, and more effective resolution of current issues.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, spatial utilization patterns on Moyo Island, both forest and coastal areas are problematic. Forest areas are being openly and collectively encroached upon by local populations to become agricultural fields due to a lack of control and security by the local authorities. In coastal areas, the long-term viability of coral reefs is threatened due to unsustainable fishing practices and massive tourism activities indicated by rising boat mobility.

These things are also intertwined with the issue of tenurial conflicts between the local community and the government regarding the boundaries of forest areas. Cases of conflict that are neglected, on the other hand, might lead to more massive forest encroachment while also creating disharmonious relations amongst related actors. Other conflicts arise as a result of the community and private sector purchasing and selling land under the table, which has the potential to reoccur as long as the land transaction mechanism on Moyo Island is unregulated.

All in all, learning from the case of Moyo Island, this research offers practical recommendations for relevant stakeholders to deal with the problem of land use and tenurial conflicts on this small island: (1) Encouraging conflict resolution regarding land area boundaries; (2) strengthening capacity and community organization in land and coastal management to make it more sustainable; (3) regulating the protection zone and community use of coastal resources; (4) improving monitoring and use of coastal areas through multi-stakeholder collaboration; and (5) optimizing community-based tourism management, including infrastructure provision and determination; (6) Formulation of spatial planning policies on Moyo Island and marine conservation areas through block arrangement (block of conservation, protection, and utilization).

REFERENCES

- [KPA] Konsorsium Pembaruan Agraria. 2020. *Catatan Akhir Tahun 2019 Konsorsium Pembaruan Agraria (Dari Aceh Sampai Papua: Urgensi Penyelesaian Konflik Struktural dan Jalan Pembaruan Agraria ke Depan)*. Jakarta: Konsorsium Pembaruan Agraria.
- Afiff S, Lowe C. 2007. Claiming indigenous community: political discourse and natural resource rights in Indonesia. *Alternatives*. 32(1):73–97. doi:doi.org/10.1177/030437540703200104.
- Ambarwati EM, Sasongko G, Therik W. 2018. Dinamika konflik kenurial pada kawasan hutan negara: kasus di BKPH Tanggung KPH Semarang. *Sodality: Jurnal Sosiologi Pedesaan*. 6(2):112-120. doi:doi.org/10.22500/sodality.v6i2.23228.
- Anugrah G. 2020. The power of exclusion in agrarian conflict of Bangko-Bangko National Natural Park, West Lombok. *MASYARAKAT: Jurnal Sosiologi*. 25(2):213–231. doi:doi.org/10.7454/M.
- Bachtiar I. 2004. Status terumbu karang di Provinsi Nusa Tenggara Barat: sebuah kajian. *Jurnal Biologi Tropis*. 5(1):1–9.
- Budilestari N, Hutomo M, Ardiwidjaja R. 2014. Permasalahan lingkungan di sempadan pantai Taman Wisata Perairan Gili Trawangan, Nusa Tenggara Barat. *Jurnal Kepariwisataaan*. 9(1):91–107.
- Creswell JW. 2014. *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. 4th ed. New York (NY): SAGE Publications Inc.
- Dhiaulhaq A, McCarthy JF. 2020. Indigenous rights and agrarian justice framings in forest land conflicts in Indonesia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology*. 21(1):34–54. doi:doi.org/10.1080/14442213.2019.1670243.
- Edrus IN, Arief S, Setyawan IE. 2010. Kondisi kesehatan terumbu karang Teluk Saleh, Sumbawa: tinjauan aspek substrat dasar terumbu dan keanekaragaman ikan karang. *Jurnal Penelitian Perikanan Indonesia*. 16(2):147–161.
- Fringka Y. 2017. Resistensi berbasis adat: perlawanan masyarakat Nagari III Koto, Tanah Datar, Sumatera Barat, terhadap rencana tambang Bukit Batubasi. *MASYARAKAT: Jurnal Sosiologi*. 21(2):205–231. doi:doi.org/10.7454/mjs.v21i2.4670.
- Hadiz VR. 2010. *Localising Power in Post-Authoritarian Indonesia: A South Asia Perspective*. California (CA): Stanford University Press.
- Hall D, Hirsch P, Li TM. 2011. *Powers of Exclusion: Land Dilemmas in Southeast Asia*. Singapore: NUS Press.
- Hermansyah, Fania F. 2020. Dampak kerusakan lingkungan ekosistem terumbu karang. *Jurnal Kependudukan Dan Pembangunan Lingkungan*. 1(3):42–51.
- Kinseng RA, Nasdian FT, Fatchiya A, Mahmud A, Stanford RJ. 2018. Marine-tourism development on a small island in Indonesia: blessing or curse?. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*. 23(11):1062–1072. doi:doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2018.1515781.
- Kriesberg L, Dayton BW. 2012. *Constructive Conflicts: From Escalation to Resolution (fourth ed)*. Maryland (MD): Rowman and Littlefield Publisher, inc.
- Kurniawan F, Adrianto L, Bengen DG, Prasetyo LB. 2016. Vulnerability assessment of small islands to tourism: the case of the Marine Tourism Park of the Gili Matra Islands, Indonesia. *Global Ecology and Conservation*. 6:308–326. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2016.04.001.
- Markum, Setiawan B, Sabani R. 2015. *Hutan Kemasyarakatan Sebuah Ikhtiar Mewujudkan Hutan Lestari Masyarakat Sejahtera di Provinsi Nusa Tenggara Barat*. Mataram: Balai Pengelolaan DAS Dodokan Moyosari NTB.
- McElroy JL. 2006. Small island tourist economies across the life cycle. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*. 47(1):61–77. doi:doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8373.2006.00303.x.
- Mutolib A, Yonariza, Mahdi, Ismono H. 2017. Forest ownership conflict between a local community and the state: A case study in Dharmasraya, Indonesia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*. 29(2):163–171.

- Muur MVD. 2018. Forest conflicts and the informal nature of realizing indigenous land rights in Indonesia. *Citizenship Studies*. 22(2):160–174. doi:doi.org/10.1080/13621025.2018.1445495.
- Novenanto A. 2015. Manusia dan tanah: kehilangan dan kompensasi dalam kasus Lapindo. *BHUMI Jurnal Agraria Dan Pertanahan*. 1(1):1–11.
- Peluso NL, Afiff S, Rachman NF. 2012. Membuat alasan dan alas yang sama demi reformasi: gerakan-gerakan agraria dan lingkungan di Indonesia. *Wacana: Jurnal Transformasi Sosial*. 28:13–56.
- Peluso NL, Ribot J. 2020. Postscript: a theory of access revisited. *Society and Natural Resources*. 33(2):300–306. doi:doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2019.1709929.
- Prayogo D. 2007. *Konflik antara Korporasi dengan Komunitas Lokal: Studi Kasus pada Industri Geotermal di Kecamatan Pengalengan Kabupaten Bandung Jawa Barat*. Depok: Universitas Indonesia.
- Rachmawan D. 2016. Pola eskalasi konflik pembangunan infrastruktur: studi kasus pembangunan Waduk Jatigede Kabupaten Sumedang. *MASYARAKAT: Jurnal Sosiologi*. 20(2):193–211. doi:doi.org/10.7454/mjs.v20i2.4618.
- Ribot J, Peluso N. 2003. A theory of access. *Rural Sociology*. 68(2):153–181.
- Riggs RA, Sayer J, Margules C, Boedihartono AK, Langston JD, Sutanto H. 2016. Forest tenure and conflict in Indonesia: contested rights in Rempek Village, Lombok. *Land Use Policy*. 57:241–249. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.06.002.
- Safitri MA, Muhshi MA, Muhajir M, Mary SR, Widawati E, Berliani H, Moniaga S, Andiko, Nagara G, Sirait M, et al. 2011. *Menuju Kepastian dan Keadilan Tenurial*. Jakarta: Epistema Institute.
- Suradireja DY, Pramaria A, Markum, Santoso WJ, Hakim MR. 2018. *Menoleh jalan panjang hutan kemasyarakatan: catatan perjalanan tiga dasawarsa program hutan kemasyarakatan di Pulau Lombok*. Mataram: Balai Pengelolaan DAS Dodokan Moyosari NTB.
- Syafi I. 2016. Agrarian conflict in Indonesia: a reflection of palm oil plantation conflict in East Kotawaringin. *Jurnal Masyarakat dan Budaya*. 18(3):415–432.
- White B. 2017. UU nomor 6 tahun 2014 tentang desa: pertarungan visi dan wacana dalam penelitian dan kebijakan. *Wacana: Jurnal Transformasi Sosial*. 36:15–28.
- Yasmi Y, Guemier J, Colfer CJP. 2009. Positive and negative aspects of forestry conflict: lessons from a decentralized forest management in Indonesia. *International Forestry Review*. 11(1):98–110. doi:doi.org/10.1505/ifor.11.1.98.
- Yusuf M, Syafrial. 2019. A big battle: the state vs indigenous people (case study in Jambi Province). *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik*. 23(1):59–71. doi:doi.org/10.22146/jsp.37568.

UGB - Article Moyo Island

ORIGINALITY REPORT

5%

SIMILARITY INDEX

5%

INTERNET SOURCES

0%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

www.researchgate.net

Internet Source

5%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 5%

Exclude bibliography On

UGB - Article Moyo Island

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10

PAGE 11

RUBRIC: 6TH-8TH SCIENCE ARGUMENT (CER)

CLAIM

Take an arguable position on the scientific topic and develop the essay around that stance.

ADVANCED	The essay introduces a precise, qualitative and/or quantitative claim based on the scientific topic or text(s), regarding the relationship between dependent and independent variables. The essay develops the claim and counterclaim fairly, distinguishing the claim from alternate or opposing claims.
PROFICIENT	The essay introduces a clear, qualitative and/or quantitative claim based on the scientific topic or text(s), regarding the relationship between dependent and independent variables. The essay effectively acknowledges and distinguishes the claim from alternate or opposing claims.
DEVELOPING	The essay attempts to introduce a qualitative and/or quantitative claim, based on the scientific topic or text(s), but it may be somewhat unclear or not maintained throughout the essay. The essay may not clearly acknowledge or distinguish the claim from alternate or opposing claims.
EMERGING	The essay does not clearly make a claim based on the scientific topic or text(s), or the claim is overly simplistic or vague. The essay does not acknowledge or distinguish counterclaims.

EVIDENCE

Include relevant facts, definitions, and examples to back up the claim.

ADVANCED	The essay supplies sufficient relevant, accurate qualitative and/or quantitative data and evidence related to the scientific topic or text(s) to support its claim and counterclaim.
PROFICIENT	The essay supplies relevant, accurate qualitative and/or quantitative data and evidence related to the scientific topic or text(s) to support its claim and counterclaim.
DEVELOPING	The essay supplies some qualitative and/or quantitative data and evidence, but it may not be closely related to the scientific topic or text(s), or the support that is offered relies mostly on summary of the source(s), thereby not effectively supporting the essay's claim and counterclaim.
EMERGING	The essay supplies very little or no data and evidence to support its claim and counterclaim, or the evidence that is provided is not clear or relevant.

REASONING

Explain how or why each piece of evidence supports the claim.

ADVANCED	The essay effectively applies scientific ideas and principles in order to explain how or why the cited evidence supports the claim. The essay demonstrates consistently logical reasoning and understanding of the scientific topic and/or text(s). The essay's explanations anticipate the audience's knowledge level and concerns about this scientific topic.
----------	--

PROFICIENT	The essay applies scientific reasoning in order to explain how or why the cited evidence supports the claim. The essay demonstrates logical reasoning and understanding of the scientific topic and/or text(s). The essay's explanations attempt to anticipate the audience's knowledge level and concerns about this scientific topic.
DEVELOPING	The essay includes some reasoning and understanding of the scientific topic and/or text(s), but it does not effectively apply scientific ideas or principles to explain how or why the evidence supports the claim.
EMERGING	The essay does not demonstrate clear or relevant reasoning to support the claim or to demonstrate an understanding of the scientific topic and/or text(s).

FOCUS

Focus your writing on the prompt and task.

ADVANCED	The essay maintains strong focus on the purpose and task, using the whole essay to support and develop the claim and counterclaims evenly while thoroughly addressing the demands of the prompt.
PROFICIENT	The essay addresses the demands of the prompt and is mostly focused on the purpose and task. The essay may not acknowledge the claim and counterclaims evenly throughout.
DEVELOPING	The essay may not fully address the demands of the prompt or stay focused on the purpose and task. The writing may stray significantly off topic at times, and introduce the writer's bias occasionally, making it difficult to follow the central claim at times.
EMERGING	The essay does not maintain focus on purpose or task.

ORGANIZATION

Organize your writing in a logical sequence.

ADVANCED	The essay incorporates an organizational structure throughout that establishes clear relationships among the claim(s), counterclaims, reasons, and evidence. Effective transitional words and phrases are included to clarify the relationships between and among ideas (i.e. claim and reasons, reasons and evidence, claim and counterclaim) in a way that strengthens the argument. The essay includes an introduction and conclusion that effectively follows from and supports the argument presented.
PROFICIENT	The essay incorporates an organizational structure with clear transitional words and phrases that show the relationship between and among ideas. The essay includes a progression of ideas from beginning to end, including an introduction and concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the argument presented.
DEVELOPING	The essay uses a basic organizational structure and minimal transitional words and phrases, though relationships between and among ideas are not consistently

clear. The essay moves from beginning to end; however, an introduction and/or conclusion may not be clearly evident.

EMERGING

The essay does not have an organizational structure and may simply offer a series of ideas without any clear transitions or connections. An introduction and conclusion are not evident.

LANGUAGE

Pay close attention to your tone, style, word choice, and sentence structure when writing.

ADVANCED

The essay effectively establishes and maintains a formal style and objective tone and incorporates language that anticipates the reader's knowledge level and concerns. The essay consistently demonstrates a clear command of conventions, while also employing discipline-specific word choices and varied sentence structure.

PROFICIENT

The essay generally establishes and maintains a formal style with few possible exceptions and incorporates language that anticipates the reader's knowledge level and concerns. The essay demonstrates a general command of conventions, while also employing discipline-specific word choices and some variety in sentence structure.

DEVELOPING

The essay does not maintain a formal style consistently and incorporates language that may not show an awareness of the reader's knowledge or concerns. The essay may contain errors in conventions that interfere with meaning. Some attempts at discipline-specific word choices are made, and sentence structure may not vary often.

EMERGING

The essay employs language that is inappropriate for the audience and is not formal in style. The essay may contain pervasive errors in conventions that interfere with meaning, word choice is not discipline-specific, and sentence structures are simplistic and unvaried.