Yield potential improvement of upland red rice using gamma irradiation on local upland rice from southeast sulawesi indonesia

by Ni Wayan Sri Suliartini

Submission date: 02-Apr-2023 11:24PM (UTC-0500)

Submission ID: 2054249943

File name: Bioscience_research_2018_ketua.pdf (537.35K)

Word count: 3607
Character count: 18524



Available online freely at www.isisn.org

Bioscience Research

Print ISSN: 1811-9506 Online ISSN: 2218-3973

Journal by Innovative Scientific Information & Services Network



RESEARCH ARTICLE

BIOSCIENCE RESEARCH, 2018 15(3):1673-1678

OPEN ACCESS

Yield potential improvement of upland red rice using gamma irradiation on local upland rice from southeast sulawesi indonesia

Ni Wayan Sri Suliartini^{1*}, Teguh Wijayanto¹, Abdul Madiki¹, Dirvamena Boer¹, Muhidin¹and Muh Tufaila²

¹Department of Agrotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Halu Oleo University, Jl. HEA Mokodompit Kampus Bumi-Tridharma, Kendari, Southeast Sulawesi, **Indonesia**

²Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Halu Oleo University, Kendari Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia.

*Correspondence: sri.suliartini@gmail.com Accepted: 18May.2018 Published online: 04Aug. 2018

The objective of the research obtained the genotypes of upland red rice which has the character of high yield potential. The research material is second generation upland rice mutant genotypes of gamma irradiation result from Landrace Pae Loilo and Pae Pongasi from Southeast Sulawesi. The research was conducted at the Indonesian Sweetener and Fiber Crops Research Institute, Karang Ploso Malang Regency. The research used the randomized block design method (Augmented Design) consists of five blocks. Genotype was planted in one block, not planted in the next block, except checks of the parents and superior varieties (Inpago 7 and Inpago Unram). Observational data were analyzed by SAS Program. Eight hundred and seventy one genotypes were selected with the selection criteria were based on yields on the average parents added 1.5 standard deviations. The results showed that 80 genotypes M2 had high production (> 81.66 g clump⁻¹), while yield checks were 64.56, 56.62, 67.33and 66.18 g clump⁻¹.

Keywords: gamma irradiation, upland rice, anthocyanin, red rice, functional food.

INTRODUCTION

Upland rice is one of the best-developed rice in Southeast Sulawesi considering the condition of the land which is mostly dry land. Upland rice is planted by farmers is local upland rice and among them is red rice. The upland red rice is excellent rice for health (Se et al.,2016). In 100 g red rice contained 77.6 g carbohydrates, 7.5 g protein, 0.9 g fat, 163 mg phosphorus, 0.3 g iron, 16 mg calcium, 0.1 mg vitamin B1, anthocyanin (Indriyani et al., 2013) and Zn (Swamy et al. 2016). The type of rice anthocyanin is from cyanidin (Reddy, 1996). The content of anthocyanin red rice is still very diverse and ranges from 0.34-93.5 µg in every gram (Damanhuri, 2005). High nutritional

content and its function to prevent various types of diseases caused red rice is feasible as functional food. Red rice can function as a functional food because it contains anthocyanin as an antioxidant that prevents various types of diseases.

Although local upland rice has grown since a long time, now many are abandoned by farmers and switch to wet rice. Several cultivars of upland rice no found in farmers are cultivar PaebiuKolopoa and cultivar PaebiuTamalaki which have high anthocyanin content (Suliartini et al., 2011). This is due to, among others, relatively low production of upland rice compared to lowland rice. Wet rice yield is 4.24 tha⁻¹ while upland rice is 2.51 t ha⁻¹ (Central Statistics of Southeast

Sulawesi, 2017).

Many efforts have been done to increase upland rice production (Kadidaa et al., 2017; Sutariati et al., 2017, 2018a, 2018b) and decrease level rice consumption while promoting local food (Muhidin et al., 2016) to reach fulfillment rice needs (Muhidin et al., 2013, 2018; Syaiful et al., 2013). One of them is through the breeding mutation (Suliartini et al., 2015; 2018) to increase upland rice production.

Mutation could increase genetic diversity that is very important in a breeding program. The population of upland rice that has a low genetic diversity in the character of production, genetic diversity needs to be improved. Genetic diversity can be increased through the introduction, crosses, and mutations. Mutations aim to provide basic populations with high genetic diversity (Wei et al., 2013) as a material of selection or crosses. Based on the mutation material, mutations are grouped into two: physical mutagen and chemical mutagen. Physical mutation is often performed in Indonesia is gamma irradiation. An individual from mutation is called mutant. Mutants are selected several generations to obtain the desired character by breeders. The pedigree selection is the main breeding method used to improve rice (Poli et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2015).

The mutation breeding is directed at increasing the production of local cultivars. Mutation induction has potential to increase upland rice production (Sobrizal, 2016). Also mutation breeding can be used to enhance the desired character of the crossed line. Sobrizal (2008) stated that increases the benefits of crossbreeding lines that have too high stems and late matured through mutation.

The research material was a secondgeneration mutant that is re-selected for high yield character. This mutant is the result of the firstgeneration selection for high production characters and harvest age. Selection for several generations is expected to obtain mutants with stable high production character.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research materials are the second generation of upland rice, PaeLoilo cultivar, PaePongasi cultivar. Inpago 7 and InpagoUnram used as control cultivar. The research design used Augmented Design which is divided into six blocks. The number of mutant genotypes planted was 171 genotypes. Genotypes that already exist in one block, not repeated in the next block except check test. Each genotype was planted as many

as 50 plants. Observations were done to several parameters such as plant high, the number of productive tillers, the length of panicle, harvest age, the number of empty grains, the number of full grain, the weight of 1000 grains, and grain number per panicle. Observational data were analyzed by SAS Program. Eight hundred and seventy-one genotypes were selected with the selection criteria were based on yields on the average parents added 1.5 standard deviation (> X+1.5 SD).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 871 genotypes were observed in various character results and supporting results. The genotypes were analyzed and selected initially based on the average of the elderly plus 1.5 standard deviations. Mutant genotypes having yields above 90 g of clump-1 were selected to obtain potentially high-yield genotypes. Of the 30 selected mutant genotypes, the three genotypes SSJ21.185-35, SSJ21.72-11 and SSJ31.104-40 showed the highest production between 116.61 - 126.63 g clumps-1 (Table 1). This production is higher than the genotypes of the two elders (PaePongasi and PaeLoilo) and the two comparators (Inpago 7 and InpagoUnram).

The low yieldupland rice, especially in South eastSulawesi causes the fulfillment of food depends on wet rice. The development of upland rice is a key strategy in meeting the needs of rice in Southeast Sulawesi. The main purpose of plant breeding programs is to increase production including rice (Khan et al., (2015). Rice line with higher yield potential is crucial to meet the needs of food in the world, including southeast Sulawesi. In addition, rice with high yield potential is important for reducing other external inputs that have an environmental impact, gaining a higher chance of getting the largest harvesting potential (Huang et al., 2017) and reducing forest land clearing for upland rice cultivation and utilization the land remaining due to industrialization and urbanization (Zhang et al., 2017). The results of previous generations (Mutant 2) obtained ten genotypes that have high production potential and a shorter harvest than their parents (Suliartini et al., 2016). The genotypes were further tested, numbered and coded into 817 re-selected genotypes to obtain more stable genotypes. The eight hundred and sixty seven genotypes were selected based on the average production of elder plus 1.5 standard deviations. Based on these values are selected eighty genotypes of both PaeLoilo and PaePongasioffspring.

Tabel 1. Production of upland rice mutans and yield support character

SSJ21.185-35 126.63 SSJ21.72-11 123.58 SSJ31.104-40 116.61 SSJ31.104-40 116.61 SSJ31.86-10 111.37 SSJ31.86-10 111.01 SSJ31.104-6 109.83 SSJ31.104-6 109.83 SSJ31.104-6 109.49 SSJ31.104-7 106.73 SSJ31.104-3 106.73 SSJ31.104-3 105.41 SSJ31.102-3 103.59 SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.104-33 101.04	A A Abc Cde Cde Cci	134 124 133 134 135 148 137 135	190.00	(tiller clump ')	0.75	(cm)	
SSJ31.104-40 116.61 SSJ31.104-40 116.61 SSJ31.104-40 116.61 SSJ31.6-8 111.90 SSJ31.6-8 111.37 SSJ31.6-10 111.01 SSJ31.104-6 109.83 SSJ31.104-6 109.83 SSJ31.104-6 109.83 SSJ31.104-6 109.83 SSJ31.104-4 106.68 SSJ31.104-3 105.56 SSJ31.104-36 103.58 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.08	Abb Bod Cde Cde Cde Cde Cde Cde Cde Cde Cde Cd	124 133 134 135 148 137	187.00		-	- x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	271
SSJ31.104-40 116.61 SSJ31.6-8 111.90 SSJ31.6-8 111.90 SSJ31.8-10 111.37 SSJ31.8-10 111.01 SSJ31.104-6 109.83 SSJ31.104-6 109.83 SSJ31.104-4 106.68 SSJ31.6-25 107.77 SSJ31.104-4 106.68 SSJ31.6-20 105.56 SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.08	Abc Cde	133 134 135 148 137	178.50	28	236	30.70	2.96
SSJ31.6-8 SSJ31.6-8 SSJ31.6-8 SSJ31.6-9 SSJ31.86-10 SSJ31.81-10 SSJ31.81-10 SSJ31.104-6 SSJ31.104-3 SSJ31.104-3 SSJ31.106.73 SSJ31.104-3 SSJ31.106.73 SSJ31.106.73 SSJ31.106.73 SSJ31.106.73 SSJ31.106.73 SSJ31.106.73 SSJ31.106.86 SSJ31.106.80 SSJ31.106.20 SSJ31.106.30 SSJ31.106.41 SSJ31.106.43 SSJ31.106.43 SSJ31.106.43	Bod Cde Cde	134 135 148 137 135		29	140	26.23	3.70
SSJ31.6-8 SSJ31.6-8 SSJ21.86-10 SSJ21.81-10 SSJ31.6-12 SSJ31.6-12 SSJ31.104-6 SSJ31.104-6 SSJ31.104-4 SSJ31.106.68 SSJ31.104-4 SSJ31.162-29 SSJ31.162-29 SSJ31.162-29 SSJ31.104-36 SSJ31.104-36 SSJ31.104-36 SSJ31.102-4 SSJ31.102-4 SSJ31.102-4 SSJ31.102-4 SSJ31.102-4 SSJ31.102-4 SSJ31.102-4 SSJ31.102-4	CG	135 148 137 135	160.00	34	165	25.17	2.91
SSJ21.86-10 111.37 SSJ21.81-10 111.01 SSJ31.6-12 110.61 SSJ31.104-6 109.83 SSJ31.104-6 109.49 SSJ31.104-6 107.77 SSJ31.104-7 106.73 SSJ31.104-7 106.73 SSJ31.104-3 105.56 SSJ31.162-20 105.41 SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.04	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	148 137 135	195.20	29	161	25.93	3.55
SSJ21.81-10 111.01 SSJ31.6-12 110.61 SSJ31.104-6 109.83 SSJ33.203-35 109.49 SSJ31.6-25 107.77 SSJ31.6-10 106.73 SSJ31.104-3 105.56 SSJ31.6-20 105.41 SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.04	\$ 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	137	192.60	23	259	28.07	2.88
SSJ31.64-6 SSJ31.104-6 SSJ33.203-35 SSJ31.6-25 SSJ31.6-25 SSJ31.6-10 SSJ31.6-20 SSJ31.6-20 SSJ31.6-20 SSJ31.162-29 SSJ31.104-36 SSJ31.104-36 SSJ31.102-4	555555	135	198.30	23	258	28.03	2.86
SSJ31.104-6 109.83 SSJ33.203-35 109.49 SSJ31.6-25 107.77 SSJ31.6-10 106.73 SSJ31.104-4 106.68 SSJ31.62-29 105.56 SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.09	- C-		180.00	30	162	30.30	4.84
SSJ33.203-35 109.49 SSJ31.6-25 107.77 SSJ31.6-10 106.73 SSJ31.104-4 106.68 SSJ31.62-29 105.56 SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.08	·	133	185.20	30	137	27.70	3.81
SSJ31.6-25 107.77 SSJ31.6-10 106.73 SSJ31.104-4 106.68 SSJ31.104-3 105.56 SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ31.102-4 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.08	4 C- G-	142	146.30	27	160	25.17	4.09
SSJ31.6-10 106.73 SSJ31.104-4 106.68 SSJ31.104-4 106.68 SSJ31.62-20 105.56 SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ31.72-5 103.58 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.04	# C- #	135	183.20	24	211	27.20	3.78
SSJ31.1044 106.68 SSJ21.239-3 105.56 SSJ31.62-29 105.41 SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ31.72-5 103.58 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.104-33 101.04	U-S	135	190.40	24	192	29.83	4.01
SSJ21.239-3 105.56 SSJ31.6-20 105.41 SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ21.72-5 103.58 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.304-33 101.04	4	133	180.90	26	165	26.50	3.73
SSJ31.62-29 105.41 SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ21.72-5 103.58 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.102-4 101.08	2-5	137	171.20	25	253	27.90	3.18
SSJ31.162-29 103.59 SSJ21.72-5 103.58 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.104-33 101.04	90	135	183.00	30	154	26.43	3.91
SSJ21.72-5 103.58 SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.104-33 101.04	e-r	139	155.40	19	158	27.20	3.62
SSJ31.104-36 102.60 SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.104-33 101.04	e-s	130	182.00	27	156	27.63	2.78
SSJ31.102-4 101.08 SSJ31.104-33 101.04	e-t	133	183.40	20	149	29.03	3.81
SSJ31.104-33 101.04	t-v	134	176.10	23	186	27.10	3.61
	e-w	133	180.50	21	184	27.93	3.63
21 SSJ31.104-8 100.24 f-x	ţ.	133	180.50	24	145	26.77	4,00
SSJ31.102-45 100.19	t-x	134	160.90	22	192	27.73	3.72
23 SSJ21.81-9 99.28 g-z	Z-6	137	191.50	22	271	31.17	2.93
SSJ31.104-35 99.26	h-z-a1	133	170.90	22	193	27.50	3.78
SSJ21.72-31 98.68	i-z-a1b2	130	173.00	34	120	28.87	3.17
26 SSJ33.203-33 98.45 j-y-a ¹	j-y-a1c1	142	170.80	28	133	24.10	3.68
27 SSJ21.72-6 97.87 k-z-a	k-z-a1b1	130	181.30	33	173	27.20	2.57
28 SSJ21.86-8 97.82 k-z-a1	k-z-a1b1c1	148	195.30	20	278	27.10	2.82
29 SSJ21.167-21 97.26 k-z-a1	k-z-a1b1c1	132	187.60	12	206	27.80	3.04
30 SSJ31.6-2 97.14 l-z-a1k	l-z-a1b1c1	135	168.30	26	125	25.87	3.70
31 Control Production of PaePongasi 65.15 g clump ⁻¹ ;	aePongasi 65	.15 g clump ⁻¹		oilo 54.93 g clump ⁻¹ ; Inț 74.18 g clump ⁻¹ ;	oago-7 67.6	2 g clump ⁻¹	Pae Loilo 54.93 g clump¹¹; Inpago-7 67.62 g clump¹and Inpago Unram 74.18 g clump¹¹

Production of upland rice mutant was 92.075-126.629 g clump⁻¹. That production was much higher than two parents that of only 54.935 g clump⁻¹ and 65.195 g clump⁻¹. The yield is higher than the yield of upland rice from crosses obtained by Sadimantara et al., (2016) is 35.92 -61.61g clump⁻¹. It is also higher than the selection of some drought-resistant upland accession in Merangin District Jambi of 2.06 t ha⁻¹ (Edi et al., 2015)

The high yield was obtained genotype SSJ21.185-35,SSJ21.72-11, and SSJ31.104-40. High yield of genotype SSJ21.185-35 and SSJ21.72-11 were supported by some supporting factors of production that is much of full grain and panicle length, while genotype SSJ31.104-40 was supported by the weight of 100 grains. All three denotypes are supported by a high number of productive tillers between 26-29 tillers. The same result obtained Edi et al.,(2015),upland rice accession from Tunggung supported component result better than another accession, number of tiller productive (8.3 stems per clump) and panicle long (28 cm), the percentage of full grain (70.93%). Manurung and Ismunadji (1988) states that the yield of a crop is determined by the components of the crop, that the character of the components of one product to another has a close relationship, where the imbalance between the yield components will greatly affect the potential yield is obtained.

The best genotypes have a low number of empty grains between 6-11 grains per panicle. The percentage of low full rice indicates the inability of plants to fill the grain, empty grain causes low yield. Genetic or environmental factors are the cause of this (Horrie et al., 2006).

The acceptance of a superior variety (adoption of a superior variety by a farmer) is not always subject to yield. Some characters become the consideration of farmer preference to newly released superior varieties, such as harvest age, plant height and weight of 1000 grains. Hairmansis et al., (2015) showed that some rice lines have high preference values based on harvest age and plant height.

Grains weight is an important character in rice plant breeding programs, as one of the factors that determine the outcomes. According to Segami et al., (2016), seed size is determined by genes that control cell division and cell clearance. The results showed that 50 selected genotypes weighed of 100 grains between 2.57 - 4.84 g.The grains weight in this study is higher than that

obtained by Ediet al., (2015) that is in the range of 20.4 to 24.4 q.

Higher plants tend to be a late harvest; lateharvest age leads to the process of filling the seeds longer so that the photosynthate that fills the grain becomes higher. It will affect the high yield. The result of Rohaeni and Permadi (2012), show that there is a close correlation between plant height and number of pithy rice with yield. The results showed that M2 genotype had plant height that ranged from 146.30-198.30 cm. These genotypes include high crops because they have a height above 125 cm (IRRI, 2002). The plant height of the three best genotypes is 178.50-190.00 cm.

CONCLUSION

There are three genotypes that can be developed further as a candidate of high yield lines consist of SSJ21.185-35, SSJ21.72-11 and SSJ31.104-40

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared that present study was performed in absence of any conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education Republic Indonesia for providing research grant under the scheme of PSNI; and Mr. Kerisna Pande

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NWSS designed and performleed the experiments and also wrote the manuscript. TW, AM, DB and MT performed plant treatments, field experiment and data analysis. MHD reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version.

opyrights: © 2017 @ author (s).

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medition, provided the original author(s) and source are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

REFERENCES

- Central Statistics of Southeast Sulawesi, 2017.Southeast Sulawesi in Figure.Central Biro Statistic, Southeast Sulawesi.Kendar
- Damanhuri, 2005. DamanhuriPewarisan antosianin dan tanggap klon tanaman ubijalar (*Ipomea batatas* (L.) Lamb) terhadap lingkungan tumbuh. Disertasi Program Studi Ilmu Pertanian Program Pascasarjana Universitas Brawijaya. pp. 106.
- EdiS, Mildaerizanti, Nofriati D, 2015. The Study Of Growth and Result Potential The Tolerant Drought Of Local Varieties Upland Rice. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Lahan Suboptimal 2015, Palembang 8-9 Oktober 2015 ISBN: 979-587-580-9
- Hairmansis A, Supartopo, Suwarno, 2015. Participatory varietal selection elites upland rice at farmer's field. Ilmu Pertanian 18 (2):61-68
- HuangM, Jiang P, Shan S, Gao W, Ma G, Zou Y, Uphoff N, Yuan L, 2017. Higher yields of hybrid rice do not depend on nitrogen fertilization under moderate to high soil fertility conditions. Rice 10:43
- HorrieT, Homma K, Yoshida H, 2006. Physiological and morfological traits assosiated with high yield potential in rice. Abstracts, Second International rice congress. International rice research conference. P. 12-13.
- Indriyani F, Nurhidajah, Agus S, 2013. Physical, chemical and organoleptic characteristics of brown rice flour based on the variation of drying time. J. pangan dan gizi 4(8): 27-34
- IRRI, 2002. Standard Evaluation System for Rice. International Rice Risearch Institute. pp 56.
- Manurung So, Ismunadji, 1988.Morfologidanfisiologipadi.PadiBuku 1. BadanPenelitiandanPengembanganPertania n.PusatPenelitiandanPengembanganTanam anPangan. Bogor.
- Kadidaa B, Sadimantara GR, Suaib, Safuan LO, Muhidin, 2017. Genetic diversity of local upland rice (*Oryzasativa* L) genotypes based on agronomic traits and yield potential in marginal land of North Buton Indonesia. Asian Journal of Crop Science 9(4):109-117.
- KhanMH, Dar ZA, Dar SA, 2015. Breeding strategies for improving rice yield—A review. Agricultural Sciences 6:467-478.
- Muhidin, Jusoff K, Syam'un E, Musa Y, Kaimuddin, Meisanti, Sadimantara GR, Baka LR, 2013. The development of upland red rice under shade trees. World Applied Sciences Journal 24(1):23-30.

- Muhidin, Leomo S, Alam S, Wijayanto T, 2016.
 Comparative studies on different agroecosystem base on soil physicochemical properties to development of sago palm on dryland. International Journal of ChemTech Research 9 (8): 511-518.
- Muhidin, Syam'un E, Kaimuddin, Musa Y, Sadimantara GR, Usman, Leomo S, Rakian TC. 2018. The effect of shade on chlorophyll and anthocyanin content of upland red rice. IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 122 012030.
- PoliY, Basava RK, Panigrahy M, Vinukonda VP, Dokula NR, Volet SR, Desiraju S, Neelamraju S, 2013. Characterization of a Nagina22 rice mutant for heat tolerance and mapping of yield traits. Rice 6:36
- Reddy AR, 1996. Genetic and molecular analysis of anthocyanin pigmentation pathhway in rice Proceedings of the third international rice genetics symposium. 16-20 Oct 1995. IRRI. Manila.Phillipines. p 16-20
- Rohaeni WR, Permadi K, 2012. Pathway analysis of certain characters for rice yield character on agrisimbaaplication. Agrotropp, 2(2):185-190.
- Sadimantara GR, Muhidin, Ginting S and Suliartini NWS 2016 The Potential Yield of Some Superior Breeding Lines of Upland Rice of Southeast Sulawesi Indonesia. Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia13(4) 1867-70
- Sadimantara GR, Kadidaa B, Suaib, Safuan LO, Muhidin. 2018. Growth performance and yield stability of selected local upland rice genotypes in Buton Utara of Southeast Sulawesi. IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 122 012094
- Se CH, Chuah K, Mishra A,Wickneswari R, Karupaiah T. 2016. Evaluating crossbred red rice variants for postprandial glucometabolic responses: A comparison with commercial varieties. Nutrients 8(308):1-16
- SegamiS, Yamamoto T, Oki K, Noda T, Kanamori H, Sasaki H, Mori S, Ashikari M, H. Kitano H, Y. Katayose, Y. Iwasaki and K. Miura 2016. Detection of Novel QTLs Regulating Grain Size in Extra-Large Grain Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Lines. Rice 9:34
- Sobrizal, 2016. Potential of Mutation Breeding in Improving Indonesian Local Rice Varieties. A Scientific Journal for The Applications of Isotopes and Radiation 12:23-35.
- Sobrizal, 2008. mutation breeding for enhancement of the benefit of selected lines

- derived from an inter sub-specific cross of rice. A Scientific Journal for The Applications of Isotopes and Radiation 4(1): 1-11.
- SuliartiniNWS, Sadimantara GR, Wijayanto T, Muhidin, 2011. Examination of anthocyanin contents in red upland rice obtained from germ plasm collection in Southeast Sulawesi. Crop Agro 4 (2): 43-48.
- Suliartini NWS, Kuswanto, Basuki N, Soegianto A, 2016. Superior lines candidates evaluation of two local red rice Southeast Sulawesi cultivars (Indonesia) derived from gamma rays irradiation techniques. International Journal of Plant Biology.7:6475
- Suliartini NWS, Wijayanto T, Madiki A, Boer D, Muhidin, Juniawan, 2018. Relationship of some upland rice genotype after gamma irradiation. IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 122 012033.
- Sutariati GAK, Arif N, Muhidin, Rakian TC, Mudi L, Nuralam, 2017. Persistency and seed breaking dormancy on local upland rice of southeast sulawesi, indonesia. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 20(11):563-570.
- Sutariati GAK, Muhidin, Rakian TC, Afa LO, Widanta IM, Mudi L, Sadimantara GR, Leomo S, 2018a. The effect of integrated application of pre-plant seed bio-invigoration, organic and inorganic fertilizer on the growth and yield of local upland rice Bioscience Research 15(1):160-165.
- Sutariati GAK, Bande LOS, Khaeruni A, Muhidin, Mudi L, Savitri RM, 2018b. The effectiveness of preplant seed bioinvigoration techniques using *Bacillus* sp. CKD061 to improving seed viability and vigor of several local upland rice cultivars of southeast Sulawesi. IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 122 012031
- SwamyBPM, Rahman MA, Inabangan-Asilo MA, Amparado A, Manito C, Chadha-Mohanty P, Reinke R, Slamet-Loedin IH, 2016. Advances in breeding for high grain zinc in rice. Rice 9:49.
- Syaiful SA, Syam'un E, Dachlan A, Jusoff K, Haerani N, 2013. The effect of inoculating nitrogen fixing bacteria on production of rice. World Applied Sciences Journal 26(26):94-99.
- Wei FJ, Droc G, Guiderdoni E, Hsing YC, 2013. International Consortium of Rice Mutagenesis: resources and beyond. Review. Rice 6:39. http://www.thericejournal.com/content/6/1/39.

A SpringerOpen Journal.

ZhangS, He X, Zhao J, Cheng Y, Xie Z, Chen Y, Yang T, Dong J, Wang X, Liu Q, Liu W, Mao X, Fu H, Chen Z, Liao Y, Liu B, 2017. Identification and validation of a novel major QTL for harvest index in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Rice 10:44.

Yield potential improvement of upland red rice using gamma irradiation on local upland rice from southeast sulawesi indonesia

ORIGINALITY REPORT

24_% SIMILARITY INDEX

21%
INTERNET SOURCES

17%
PUBLICATIONS

10% STUDENT PAPERS

MATCH ALL SOURCES (ONLY SELECTED SOURCE PRINTED)

1%

★ Submitted to Queensland University of Technology

Student Paper

Exclude quotes Off
Exclude bibliography Off

Exclude matches

Off